

ANALYSIS OF WARPAGE AND SPRINGBACK OF THICK
UNIDIRECTIONAL CARBON-EPOXY LAMINATES
CURED USING AUTOCLAVE

BY

MUHAMMAD MUSHAB BIN ZAKARIA

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirement for the
Master of Science (Mechanical Engineering)

Kulliyyah of Engineering
International Islamic University Malaysia

SEPTEMBER 2022

ABSTRACT

This thesis presents the study of the post-cure behaviour of thick carbon-epoxy composite laminates manufactured to Airbus specification AIMS05-27-002 cured using autoclave. The post-cure behaviour being studied is the warpage of flat laminates and the springback of curved or angled laminates. These laminates resemble the geometrical features found on the actual structure of the rib of the flap of Airbus A350. The gap left from previous works using similar material and process is the uncertainty that exists within the predictive finite element model for the thicker range of the laminates. This study thus attempted to close this gap by first extending the warpage and springback database from the thin laminates (16 layers and below) to thick laminates of up to 28 layers. Then the predictive model is iterated several times by changing the initial stress within the first layer of the elements until the warpage in the simulation matches that of the experiment respectively. The outcomes are a warpage and springback database covering both thin (less than 20 layers) and thick (more than 20 layers) range of thickness as well as a predictive finite element model that is able to predict the behaviour of laminates of the actual aircraft structure. Three categories of specimen were defined; flat unidirectional, curved unidirectional, and flat symmetrical. The effect of four parameters were studied; specimen size, corner angle, laminate thickness, and ply configuration. The results of the analysis indicate that the effect of specimen size for flat unidirectional and aspect ratio for curved unidirectional specimens is negligible. Quadratic response can be found for the effect of specimen size for curved unidirectional and aspect ratio for flat unidirectional. The effect of specimen thickness is linear for all specimen types. The accuracy of the predictive finite element model is mostly above 70% and peaking above 90% around the middle range of each parameter. Overall, the results of the experiment are satisfactory and the practicality of the predictive model is acceptable but more works are required in order to further improve the accuracy of the model.

ملخص البحث

تقدم هذه الأطروحة دراسة سلوك ما بعد المعالجة للصفائح السميكة المركبة من الكربون والإيبوكسي، المصنعة وفقا لمواصفات إيرباص نوع (-AIMSOS-27-002)، والمعالجة باستخدام جهاز الأوتوكلاف. سلوك ما بعد المعالجة الذي يتم دراسته هنا هو انفتال الصفائح المسطحة والإرتداد الخلفي للصفائح المنحنية. هذه الصفائح تماثل الخصائص الهندسية الموجودة في الهيكل الحقيقي لضلع رفرف طائرة إيرباص (A350) الفجوة الباقية في الأعمال السابقة باستخدام نفس المادة وإجراء مماثل، هي الضبابية المتواجدة في نموذج العنصر المحدود التنبؤي للصفائح ذات المدى السميكة. حاولت هذه الدراسة حل هذه الفجوة أولا عن طريق توسيع قاعدة بيانات الانفتال والإرتداد الخلفي من الصفائح الرقيقة (16 طبقة وما دون ذلك) إلى الصفائح السميكة إلى حد 28 طبقة. ثم تم تكرار النموذج التنبؤي عدة مرات عبر تغيير الضغط الأولي داخل الطبقة الأولى للعناصر، حتى تتطابق الانفتال خلال المحاكاة مع التجربة. النتائج هي قاعدة بيانات للانفتال والإرتداد الخلفي للنطاق الرقيق (أقل من 20 طبقة) والسميكة (أكثر من 20 طبقة)، وإضافة إلى ذلك، أثبت نموذج العنصر المحدود قدرته على التنبؤ بسلوك صفائح الهيكل الحقيقي للطائرة. ثلاث فئات تم تحديدها من العينة؛ مسطح أحادي الاتجاه ومنحني أحادي الاتجاه و مسطح متماثل. تمت دراسة تأثير أربعة معايير؛ حجم العينة، الزاوية، سمك الصفيحة، وتكوين الرقائق. تشير نتائج التحليل إلى أن تأثير حجم العينة على المسطح الأحادي ومعدل النسبة للعينات أحادية الاتجاه المنحنية لا يكاد يذكر. يمكن إيجاد الإستجابة التربيعية لتأثير حجم العينة للمنحني أحادي الاتجاه وكذلك معدل النسبة للمسطح أحادي الاتجاه. تأثير سمك العينة خطي لجميع أنواع العينة. كانت دقة نموذج العنصر المحدود التنبؤي غالبا أعلى من 70% وفي ذروتها أعلى من 90% حول المدى المتوسط لكل معيار. بشكل عام، كانت نتائج التجربة مرضية وكذلك التطبيق العملي للنموذج التنبؤي كان مقبول، ولكن هناك حاجة إلى مزيد من العمل لأجل زيادة تحسين دقة النموذج.

APPROVAL PAGE

I certify that I have supervised and read this study and that in my opinion, it conforms to acceptable standards of scholarly presentation and is fully adequate, in scope and quality, as a thesis for the degree of Master of Science (Mechanical Engineering).

.....
Mohd Sultan Ibrahim Shaik Dawood
Supervisor

.....
Jaffar Syed Mohamed Ali
Co-Supervisor

I certify that I have read this study and that in my opinion it conforms to acceptable standards of scholarly presentation and is fully adequate, in scope and quality, as a thesis for the degree of Master of Science (Mechanical Engineering).

.....
Hanan Mokhtar
Examiner

.....
Jamaluddin Mahmud
External Examiner

This thesis was submitted to the Department of Mechanical Engineering and is accepted as a fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science (Mechanical Engineering).

.....
Fadly Jashi Darsivan
Head, Department of Mechanical
Engineering

This thesis was submitted to the Kulliyah of Engineering and is accepted as a fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science (Mechanical Engineering).

.....
Sany Izan Ihsan
Dean, Kulliyah of Engineering


DECLARATION

I hereby declare that this thesis is the result of my own investigations, except where otherwise stated. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted as a whole for any other degrees at IIUM or other institutions.

Muhammad Mushab Bin Zakaria

Signature.....

Date



INTERNATIONAL ISLAMIC UNIVERSITY MALAYSIA

**DECLARATION OF COPYRIGHT AND AFFIRMATION OF
FAIR USE OF UNPUBLISHED RESEARCH**

**ANALYSIS OF WARPAGE AND SPRINGBACK OF THICK
UNIDIRECTIONAL CARBON-EPOXY LAMINATES CURED
USING AUTOCLAVE**

I declare that the copyright holders of this thesis are jointly owned by the student and IIUM.

Copyright © 2022 Muhammad Mushab Bin Zakaria and International Islamic University Malaysia. All rights reserved.

No part of this unpublished research may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise without prior written permission of the copyright holder except as provided below.

1. Any material contained in or derived from this unpublished research may be used by others in their writing with due acknowledgement.
2. IIUM or its library will have the right to make and transmit copies (print or electronic) for institutional and academic purposes.
3. The IIUM library will have the right to make, store in a retrieved system and supply copies of this unpublished research if requested by other universities and research libraries.

By signing this form, I acknowledged that I have read and understand the IIUM Intellectual Property Right and Commercialization policy.

Affirmed by Muhammad Mushab Bin Zakaria

.....

Signature

.....


Date


ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Firstly, it is my utmost pleasure to dedicate this work to my dear parents and family, who granted me the gift of their unwavering belief in my ability to accomplish this goal. Thank you for your support and patience.

I wish to express my appreciation and thanks to those who provided their time, effort and support for this project especially to the staffs of CTRM AC and AMIC who were involved directly or indirectly.

Finally, a special thanks to Prof. Yulfian Aminanda and Dr. Mohd Sultan Ibrahim Shaik Dawood for their continuous support, encouragement and leadership, and for that, I will be forever grateful.





*This thesis is dedicated to my parents for laying the foundation of what I turned out to
be in life.*

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Abstract	ii
Abstract in Arabic	iii
Approval Page.....	iv
Declaration	v
Copyright	vi
Acknowledgements.....	vii
Dedication	viii
Table of Contents	ix
List of Tables	xii
List of Figures	xiii
List of Symbols	xviii
List of Abbreviations	xxi
CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background of the Study	1
1.2 Statement of the Problem.....	2
1.3 Purpose of the Study	3
1.4 Research Objectives.....	3
1.5 Research Questions	3
1.6 Theoretical Framework.....	4
1.7 Research Hypotheses	5
1.8 Research Methodology	5
1.9 Significance of the Study	6
1.10 Limitations of the Study.....	7
1.11 Definitions of Terms	9
1.12 Thesis Organisation	10
CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW	11
2.1 Introduction.....	11
2.2 Thermoset versus Thermoplastic Composites	11
2.3 Definition of Warpage and Springback	13
2.4 Quantification of Warpage and Springback.....	15
2.5 Real World Structure - Ribs of Flaps of Airbus A350.....	17
2.6 Aero-Composites Manufacturing Process Overview.....	20
2.7 Autoclave Curing	23
2.8 Cure Cycle	24
2.9 Factor of Warpage and Springback Phenomena.....	26
2.9.1 Tool-Part Thermal Expansion Mismatch	28
2.9.2 Cure Shrinkage	32
2.9.3 Moisture Absorption	32
2.10 Theories of Curvatures.....	33
2.11 3D Scanning.....	34
2.12 Finite Element Analysis	40
2.12.1 Selection of Finite Element Software	40
2.12.2 Model Idealisation and Geometric Representation	41

2.12.3	Material Properties	44
2.13	Summary	45
CHAPTER THREE: RESEARCH METHODOLOGY		46
3.1	Introduction	46
3.2	Design of Experiment	48
3.3	Specimen Fabrication.....	51
3.3.1	Kitting	51
3.3.2	Layup	52
3.3.3	Curing	54
3.4	Measurement Procedure.....	55
3.4.1	Flat Specimen	58
3.4.2	Curved Specimen	60
3.5	Data Analysis Procedure.....	61
3.5.1	Derivation of Change of Curvature for Flat Specimen	64
3.5.2	Derivation of Change of Curvature for Curved Specimen	67
3.5.3	Determination of Principal Curvature Direction	74
3.5.4	Mode of Warpage	76
3.6	Regression Analysis	78
3.7	Finite Element Method	82
3.7.1	Geometries and Mesh	83
3.7.2	Load and Boundary Condition	85
3.7.3	Material and Element Properties	90
3.7.4	Analysis Setup	91
3.8	Validation of Finite Element Simulation	91
3.9	Summary	93
CHAPTER FOUR: RESULTS AND DISCUSSION		95
4.1	Introduction.....	95
4.2	Warpage and Springback Analysis	95
4.2.1	Flat Unidirectional	96
4.2.2	Curved Unidirectional	103
4.2.3	Flat Symmetrical	111
4.3	Finite Element Simulation	116
4.3.1	Results of Displacement	117
4.3.2	Results of Stress Distribution	120
4.3.3	Factor of Thermal Expansion Mismatch	125
4.3.4	Factor of Cure Shrinkage	130
4.4	Summary	134
CHAPTER FIVE: CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION.....		136
REFERENCES.....		139

LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	149
APPENDIX A: PART DRAWINGS	150
APPENDIX B: TOOL DRAWINGS	152
APPENDIX C: RAW DATA FOR FLAT SPECIMENS	156
APPENDIX D: RAW DATA FOR CURVED SPECIMENS.....	168
APPENDIX E: FINITE ELEMENT BULK DATA	178
INDEX.....	180



LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1	Ply stacking table for Main Rib 1 of Outboard Flap (Airbus Operations, 2014)	20
Table 2.2	Summary of composites manufacturing processes	21
Table 2.3	Summary of effect of intrinsic and extrinsic parameters on springback	27
Table 2.4	Basic specification of the portable measuring arm	40
Table 2.5	Basic specification of the 3D laser scanner	40
Table 2.6	Mechanical and physical properties of the carbon fibre epoxy laminate	45
Table 3.1	Summary of the 51 parameter configurations of flat unidirectional, curved unidirectional and flat symmetrical specimens	49
Table 3.2	Parameters to be kept constant	51
Table 3.3	Layup stacking sequence	54
Table 4.1	Database table of nine extraction points for each specimen	97
Table 4.2	Warpage result summary of flat unidirectional specimens	98
Table 4.3	Springback result summary of curved unidirectional specimens	105
Table 4.4	Warpage result summary of flat symmetrical specimens	113
Table 4.5	Interquartile calculation for finding outliers	131
Table 4.6	Summary of the effect of each parameter to the warpage and springback	134
Table 4.7	Summary of the effect of each parameter to the residual stress build-up in flat unidirectional specimens	134
Table 4.8	Summary of the effect of each parameter to the FE model accuracy of curved unidirectional specimens	135

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1	In-plane shear of a flat specimen is assumed to have no warpage	13
Figure 2.2	Twisting of a curved specimen without a change of corner angle is assumed to have no springback	14
Figure 2.3	Elongation of two unequal length bars of the same material under the same tensile force	15
Figure 2.4	Warpage of a flat specimen as quantified by the maximum deflection	16
Figure 2.5	Warpage of two specimens of different sizes, showing similar shape of warpage but different maximum deflection	16
Figure 2.6	Warpage of two specimens of different sizes, showing same maximum deflection but different shape of warpage	17
Figure 2.7	Location of flaps on Airbus A350 (Kaminski-Morrow, 2015)	18
Figure 2.8	Structural breakdown of Airbus A350, showing the position of main rib	19
Figure 2.9	Isometric view of Main Rib 1 of Outboard Flap (Airbus Operations, 2014)	19
Figure 2.10	Overall manufacturing process of aerospace composites	21
Figure 2.11	Schematic diagram of a typical industrial autoclave (Sang Yoon Park, 2017)	23
Figure 2.12	Typical double-dwell curing profile of a composite part	25
Figure 2.13	Through-thickness cross-section showing tool-part interaction after thermal expansion during curing process	28
Figure 2.14	Through-thickness in-plane stress gradient of the laminate after thermal expansion during curing process	29
Figure 2.15	Effect of curvature on linear thermal expansion	31
Figure 2.16	Curvature of a curve from three points in Euclidean space	33
Figure 2.17	Different modes of surface distortion	34
Figure 2.18	A two-dimensional representation of the measuring arm with the laser scanner	35
Figure 2.19	Measurement principle of the 3D laser scanner (Ebrahim, 2013)	36
Figure 2.20	Components of surface reflectance	37

Figure 2.21	Scanning at different incident angles	38
Figure 2.22	Hexagon portable measuring arm (left) (Hexagon AB, 2017) and its 3D laser scanning peripheral (right) (Hexagon AB, 2017)	39
Figure 2.23	Three-dimensional analysis using three-dimensional hexagonal elements	41
Figure 2.24	Three-dimensional analysis using two-dimensional quad elements	42
Figure 2.25	Two-dimensional planar analysis using 2D quad elements	43
Figure 2.26	Two-dimensional planar analysis using one-dimensional line elements	43
Figure 3.1	Springback research framework (Aminanda, 2017)	47
Figure 3.2	Overall workflow for the semi-empirical research of warpage and springback study	47
Figure 3.3	An example of ply map of a square specimen showing nesting of ply pieces	52
Figure 3.4	Flat and curved mould tools used for the layup of flat and curved specimens	53
Figure 3.5	Example of scanned points cloud with a close-up view, showing millions of points	56
Figure 3.6	General workflow of measurement process	56
Figure 3.7	3D laser scanning process in action	57
Figure 3.8	Extraction pattern for flat specimens	58
Figure 3.9	Alignment process of flat specimen (right) against its CAD model (left)	59
Figure 3.10	Post-processing of flat specimens, with colour plot representing thickness (left) and nine measurement points table (right)	59
Figure 3.11	Alignment process of curved specimen (left) against its CAD model (right)	60
Figure 3.12	Post-processing of curved specimens, with colour plot represents thickness (left) and angle measurement table (right)	61
Figure 3.13	Change of curvature for flat specimens	62
Figure 3.14	Change of curvature for curved specimens	63
Figure 3.15	Curvature change of flat specimens along both directions	64
Figure 3.16	Determination of curvature change for flat specimens from three extraction points in Euclidean space	65
Figure 3.17	Nominal geometry of curved specimens	67

Figure 3.18	Determination of curvature change for curved specimens	68
Figure 3.19	Derivation of curvature change for curved specimen based on change of corner angle	70
Figure 3.20	Compensation of curvature change due to flat flange warpage	72
Figure 3.21	Determination of direction of maximum principal curvature	74
Figure 3.22	Derivation of extraction points for diagonal directions	75
Figure 3.23	Mode of warpage (negative Gaussian shown)	77
Figure 3.24	Fringe lines on result plots of finite element analysis, showing different modes of warpage	78
Figure 3.25	Inputs and options for performing regression analysis using Data Analysis Toolpak in MS Excel 2013	79
Figure 3.26	Detailed result of regression analysis using Data Analysis Toolpak	80
Figure 3.27	Trendline format pane in MS Office showing selection for linear curve fitting with options to display the fitting equation and R-squared value	81
Figure 3.28	Regression equation generated from chart tool in MS Office	82
Figure 3.29	Meshing of a flat specimen in finite element model	84
Figure 3.30	Meshing of curved specimens in finite element model	84
Figure 3.31	Constraints applied on flat specimen model	85
Figure 3.32	Constraints applied on curved specimen model	86
Figure 3.33	Normalised initial stress (F) versus normalised distance from neutral plane (V1) for flat unidirectional specimens	87
Figure 3.34	Normalised initial stress (F) versus normalised distance from neutral plane (V1) for curved unidirectional specimens	88
Figure 3.35	Initial condition applied on curved specimen models	89
Figure 3.36	Orthotropic material properties input for single layer of the unidirectional carbon epoxy laminar	90
Figure 3.37	Layered composite material properties input for thick laminates	91
Figure 3.38	Superimposition of warpage from experiment with that of finite element analysis	92
Figure 4.1	Snapshot from CAM software, showing warpage colour plot of a flat unidirectional specimen	96
Figure 4.2	Surface chart of vertical displacement of a flat unidirectional specimen, showing nearly cylindrical mode of warpage	99

Figure 4.3	Surface chart of vertical displacement of a flat unidirectional specimen, showing highly spherical mode of warpage	100
Figure 4.4	Graph of flat unidirectional specimen warpage versus specimen size	101
Figure 4.5	Graph of flat unidirectional specimen warpage versus specimen thickness	102
Figure 4.6	Graph of flat unidirectional specimen warpage versus specimen aspect ratio	103
Figure 4.7	Snapshot showing springback colour plot and displacement vectors of a 90° curved unidirectional specimen	104
Figure 4.8	Graph of curved specimen springback versus specimen size	106
Figure 4.9	Graph of curved specimen springback versus specimen aspect ratio	107
Figure 4.10	Graph of curved specimen springback versus specimen corner angle	108
Figure 4.11	Evolution of a bent plate, from fully bent on the left (0°) to fully unfolded (180°) on the right	109
Figure 4.12	Graph of curved specimen springback versus specimen corner angle with flat specimen data point included	110
Figure 4.13	Graph of curved specimen springback versus specimen thickness	111
Figure 4.14	Snapshot showing warpage colour plot of a flat symmetrical specimen with ply stacking of [0/90] _s	112
Figure 4.15	Surface chart of vertical displacement of one of the flat specimens, showing hyperbolic mode of warpage	114
Figure 4.16	Graph of flat symmetrical specimen warpage versus specimen size	115
Figure 4.17	Graph of flat symmetrical specimen warpage versus specimen aspect ratio	116
Figure 4.18	Displacement result plot of a flat unidirectional specimen showing a cylindrical mode of warpage	118
Figure 4.19	Displacement result plot of a flat unidirectional specimen showing spherical mode of warpage	119
Figure 4.20	Displacement result plot of a flat unidirectional specimen, showing a mixed-mode of warpage	119
Figure 4.21	Displacement result plot of a curved unidirectional specimen showing a spring-in deformation	120
Figure 4.22	Longitudinal stress result plot of the bottom layer of a flat unidirectional specimen	121

Figure 4.23	Graph of through-thickness longitudinal stress gradient of a flat unidirectional specimen	122
Figure 4.24	Lateral stress result plot of the bottom layer of a flat unidirectional specimen	123
Figure 4.25	Longitudinal stress result plot of the bottom layer of a curved unidirectional specimen	123
Figure 4.26	Graph of through-thickness longitudinal stress gradient in the corner region	124
Figure 4.27	Lateral stress result plot of the bottom layer of a curved unidirectional specimen	125
Figure 4.28	Graph of residual stress build-up due to thermal expansion mismatch versus specimen thickness	126
Figure 4.29	Laminate predicted warpage with linear regression	126
Figure 4.30	Graph of residual stress build-up due to thermal expansion mismatch versus specimen size	127
Figure 4.31	Graph of FE model accuracy versus specimen size	128
Figure 4.32	Graph of FE model accuracy versus specimen thickness	129
Figure 4.33	Graph of FE model accuracy versus specimen corner angle	130
Figure 4.34	Graph of residual stress build-up due to cure shrinkage near the part-tool interfacing layer versus specimen thickness	131
Figure 4.35	Graph of residual stress build-up due to cure shrinkage near the part-tool interfacing layer versus specimen thickness with outlying data removed	132
Figure 4.36	Graph of residual stress build-up cure shrinkage near the part-tool interfacing layer versus laminate size	133

LIST OF SYMBOLS

A	Area (mm^2)
AR	Aspect ratio
E	Modulus of elasticity (GPa)
E_{11}	Modulus of elasticity along fibre (GPa)
E_{22}	Modulus of elasticity perpendicular to fibre (GPa)
E_{33}	Modulus of elasticity normal to tape (GPa)
FAW	Fibre areal weight (g/m^2)
F_{cu1}	Compressive ultimate strength along fibre (MPa)
F_{cu2}	Compressive ultimate strength perpendicular to fibre (MPa)
F_{su12}	Shear ultimate strength (MPa)
F_{tu1}	Tensile ultimate strength along fibre (MPa)
F_{tu2}	Tensile ultimate strength perpendicular to fibre (MPa)
G_{12}	Modulus of rigidity in-plane (GPa)
G_{13}	Modulus of rigidity out-of-plane along fibre (GPa)
G_{23}	Modulus of rigidity out-of-plane perpendicular to fibre (GPa)
L_1	Length of first segment of measuring arm (mm)
L_{12}	Length of side of triangle between point 1 and point 2 (mm)
L_{13}	Length of side of triangle between point 1 and point 3 (mm)
L_2	Length of second segment of measuring arm (mm)
L_{23}	Length of side of triangle between point 2 and point 3 (mm)
L_3	Distance measurement of laser scanner (mm)
$L_{3'}$	Position of reflected spot along optical sensor (mm)
L_A	Length of Bar A (m)
L_B	Length of Bar B (m)
L_{flange}	Straight length of flange (mm)
P'_i	Deflection point coordinate (mm)
PAW	Prepreg areal weight (g/m^2)
P_i	Point coordinate (mm)
R	Radius of curvature before thermal expansion (mm)
R'	Radius of curvature after thermal expansion (mm)

R_{act}	Actual radius of curvature of corner region (mm)
R_{corner}	Radius of curvature of corner region (mm)
R_{flange}	Radius of curvature of flange (mm)
R_{nom}	Nominal radius of curvature of corner region (mm)
S	Arc length of curvature before thermal expansion (mm)
S'	Arc length of curvature after thermal expansion (mm)
S_{flange}	Arc length of flange (mm)
t	Cured part thickness per laminar (mm)
V_f	Volume fraction of carbon fibre
V_m	Volume fraction of epoxy matrix
x'_1	x-coordinate of point 1 of final contour (mm)
x'_2	x-coordinate of point 2 of final contour (mm)
x'_3	x-coordinate of point 3 of final contour (mm)
y	Layer position from tool surface
z'_1	z-coordinate of point 1 of final contour (mm)
z'_2	z-coordinate of point 2 of final contour (mm)
z'_3	z-coordinate of point 3 of final contour (mm)
α_{lam}	Coefficient of thermal expansion of laminate ($^{\circ}C^{-1}$)
α_{tool}	Coefficient of thermal expansion of tool ($^{\circ}C^{-1}$)
δ	Deflection (mm)
δ_A	Deflection of specimen A (mm)
δ_B	Deflection of specimen B (mm)
ΔT	Temperature rise from room temperature to curing temperature ($^{\circ}C$)
δ_Z	Change of distance of specimen relative to laser scanner (mm)
$\delta_{Z'}$	Change in position of reflected spot along optical sensor (mm)
$\Delta\theta$	Change of angle ($^{\circ}$)
$\Delta\kappa$	Change of curvature (mm^{-1})
$\Delta\kappa$	Warpage of specimen (mm^{-1})
$\epsilon\delta_A$	Elongation or deflection of Bar A (mm)
ϵ_B	Elongation of Bar B (mm)
ϵ_T	Thermal strain mismatch between tool and part
θ	Corner angle ($^{\circ}$)
θ_1	Angle of first segment of measuring arm ($^{\circ}$)
θ_2	Angle of second segment of measuring arm ($^{\circ}$)

θ_{act}	Final corner angle
θ_{act}	Actual corner angle ($^{\circ}$)
θ_i	Incident angle ($^{\circ}$)
θ_{mea}	Measured corner angle ($^{\circ}$)
θ_{nom}	Initial corner angle ($^{\circ}$)
θ_{nom}	Nominal corner angle ($^{\circ}$)
θ_r	Reflected angle ($^{\circ}$)
κ	Line or surface curvature (mm^{-1})
κ_{act}	Curvature of final or actual shape of specimen (mm^{-1})
κ_{flat}	Warpage of flat specimen (mm^{-1})
κ_{guass}	Gaussian curvature (mm^{-1})
κ_{max}	Maximum principal curvature (mm^{-1})
κ_{min}	Minimum principal curvature (mm^{-1})
κ_{nom}	Curvature of initial or nominal shape of specimen (mm^{-1})
ν_{12}	Poisson's ratio in-plane
ν_{13}	Poisson's ratio out-of-plane along fibre
ν_{23}	Poisson's ratio out-of-plane perpendicular to fibre
σ	Normal stress (MPa)
σ_{peak}	Peak stress (MPa)

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

1D	One dimensional
2D	Two dimensional
3D	Three dimensional
AC	Aero Composites
AFP	Automated fibre placement
AMIC	Aerospace Malaysia Innovation Centre
ATL	Automated tape layup
BMI	Bismaleimide
BRDF	Bidirectional reflectance distribution function
CAD	Computer-aided design
CAM	Computer-aided manufacturing
CFRP	Carbon fibre reinforced polymer
CNC	Computer Numerical Control
CSV	Comma-separated values
CTRM	Composites Technology Research Malaysia
FE	Finite element
FEA	Finite element analysis
FEM	Finite element method
FEP	Fluorinated ethylene propylene
IBF	Inboard flap
IIUM	International Islamic University Malaysia
IQR	Interquartile range
MPA	Multi-phase array
MRO	Maintenance, repair and overhaul
MS	Microsoft
N/A	Not applicable
NDT	Non-destructive test
OBF	Outboard flap
XWB	Extra wide body

CHAPTER ONE

INTRODUCTION

1.1 BACKGROUND OF THE STUDY

This study represents a part of a long-term roadmap in establishing a comprehensive database and a predictive finite element model of warpage and springback phenomena of carbon fibre reinforced polymers (CFRP). The motivation behind this research stemmed from the need to solve one of the manufacturing defects known as warpage and springback. The consequence of warpage and springback on the manufactured parts is critical because unlike metals, this defect in composites is not repairable and the affected parts must be scrapped. Additionally, geometrical tolerance such as surface profile of aero-structures is usually quite tight and meeting this requirement can be challenging. In some cases, where surface profile discrepancy is minor, customers are willing to accept the affected parts but only through concessions which would only cause delays and incur cost to manufacturers.

Warpage or springback defect is not necessarily structural on its own. However, affected panels would fail to be assembled in higher assembly level without the need to exert additional forces to bring mating surfaces together. This additional forces would induce unnecessary pre-load to the structures, reducing their load-carrying capability (L Liu, 2013). Worse still, assemblers may not be able to assemble the parts at all due to major misalignment. Some assemblers make use of shims to close whatever gaps (Krithika Manohar, 2018) that exist due to warpage or springback but this would lead to other issues. The use of shims introduces additional weight to the aircraft while the selection of fasteners would need to be tailored down to individual part and hole, increasing assembly time and cost significantly (Junhao Chang, 2019). Additionally, if the assembly surfaces are on the aerodynamic side, the surfaces mismatch would result in staggered profile and affect the aerodynamics (Wolf, 2021).

This study is a collaboration between a manufacturing company, CTRM Aero Composites Sdn. Bhd. (referred simply as CTRM AC), an industrial research institute, Aerospace Malaysia Innovation Centre (AMIC), and International Islamic University Malaysia (IIUM). CTRM AC mainly provided test specimens while AMIC managed the project from financial aspects in addition to providing the necessary measurement equipment.

1.2 STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

A good warpage and springback predictive model must be valid for and applicable to the actual aircraft structures such as the main rib of a wing flap in this case. The predictive model needs to be validated through experiments by comparing the result of the model with the actual measurement of fabricated specimens. This validation has been done by previous work (Mezeix, et al., 2015), (M N M Nasir L. M., 2016) in establishing springback database for thin laminates (4 to 16 plies). But the applicability of the model can be questioned if it is to be used to predict warpage and springback for structures of thicker dimension (20 to 28 plies) such as the main rib of flap since the validation did not cover such thicknesses. Nonlinearities which may exist in the real world may not have been accounted for in the existing model.

Therefore, this study, which employed similar methodology as the thin specimens, would expand the scope of the validation by extending the warpage and springback database to include specimens of thicker dimensions. With the database for thick laminates, additional validation of the predictive model were carried out to further improve the accuracy of the model. In addition, thicker laminates exhibited relatively smaller amount of springback (Nikhare, 2020). This small magnitude produced even smaller variation among specimens with different parameters. This situation demanded measurement equipment of higher accuracy in order to detect the small variation. For this reason, 3D scanning was brought into this study.

1.3 PURPOSE OF THE STUDY

The purpose of this study was to analyse the warpage and springback behaviour of laminates and to determine the parameters involved in the proposed predictive model in order to predict the warpage and springback behaviour of the laminates.

1.4 RESEARCH OBJECTIVES

There were two main objectives of this research work:

1. To analyse the effects of different thicknesses and shapes to the warpage and springback behaviour of laminates using controlled experiments.
2. To propose a predictive finite element model used to predict and estimate the warpage and springback of thick laminates with different construction.

1.5 RESEARCH QUESTIONS

When carrying out research works relating to the study of warpage and springback of thermoset composites, some questions were triggered in order to gain deeper understanding on the topic. These questions needed to be addressed through experiments and analysis. It is thus important to put forth these questions prior to determining the actions that need to be taken for data collection and analysis so that the results of the analysis did contain the information that was required to answer some, if not all, of these questions. There were six research questions that need to be answered:

1. What are the causes of warpage and springback phenomenon?
2. How can warpage and springback behaviour of laminates be described and quantified?
3. What are the parameters affecting the extent of warpage and springback of laminates?
4. What is the suitable model and method to predict warpage and springback behaviour of laminates?