

**COMPRESSION AND INDENTATION BEHAVIOR OF
LIGHTWEIGHT FOAM-FILLED KRAFT PAPER
HONEYCOMB STRUCTURE**

BY

NURDINA BINTI ABD KADIR

**A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirement for the
degree of Doctor of Philosophy (Engineering)**

**Kulliyyah of Engineering
International Islamic University Malaysia**

OCTOBER 2021

ABSTRACT

Honeycomb sandwich structure has been used extensively in engineering industries as an energy absorber to resist external loads due to its lightweight and high energy absorbing capability. However, the honeycomb core is the weakest part of the sandwich structure and they may fail or collapse through cell fracture or cell wall buckling depending on the loading regime and the core configuration. A foam-filled honeycomb structure has been proposed to provide an enhancement in the properties of the honeycomb core. The filler existence within honeycomb cells improves the honeycomb structure systems by strengthening the honeycomb cell wall and changes the structure's behavior. Therefore, statistical, experimental, and simulation works were carried out in this research work to investigate the effects of filling Kraft paper honeycomb with polyurethane foam. For the simulation, a three-dimensional finite element model for foam-filled Kraft paper honeycomb was developed. Statistical analysis was performed at the initial stage of this study to determine the optimum configuration of the Kraft paper honeycomb. Then, the optimized unfilled kraft paper honeycomb, polyurethane foam, and foam-filled Kraft paper honeycomb were subjected to quasi-static compression loading. The maximum force and energy absorption of foam-filled Kraft paper honeycomb were computed to study the improvements compared to the summation of unfilled kraft paper honeycomb and foam alone. The three-dimensional finite element analysis was performed using Ls-Dyna software to investigate the interaction between polyurethane foam and cell walls. Force-displacement behaviors obtained from numerical simulations were validated by experimental findings, and the distribution of energy absorption between cell walls and polyurethane foam in the foam-filled honeycomb was analyzed. In order to study the localized effect of foam-filled kraft paper honeycomb, experimental analyses and finite element analyses subjected to indentation loading were performed. As a result, the Kraft paper honeycomb with density 175gsm, 3 ply thickness of paper, and 10 mm cell size of honeycomb exhibit the optimum configuration with 724.80 J/kg of specific energy absorption (SEA) and 9.35 MPa/kg of specific compression strength (SCS). Moreover, the experimental results show that the peak force and energy absorption of the foam-filled honeycomb were increased up to 30% compared to the individual component. Meanwhile, the indentation at the vertical edge shows the higher peak force and energy absorbed which proves that the vertical edge of the cell wall behaves as a strong point to endure the indentation force. In conclusion, polyurethane foam filler has strengthened the honeycomb cell wall and improved the energy absorption capability of the Kraft paper honeycomb structure. The FEA results confirmed that the cell walls strengthened by the foam filler and the confinement of foam by cell walls increased the energy capability of the foam-filled honeycomb structure.

خُلاصة البحث

إن بنية خلية النحل قد استخدمت على نطاق واسع في مجال الهندسة، بوصفها امتصاص الطاقة لمقاومة حمولة خارجية بسبب وزنها الخفيف واستطاعتها في امتصاص الطاقة العالية. ولكن، إن قلب خلية النحل هو الجزء الأضعف لهذه البنية، ويستطيع القلب أن يفشل أو يسقط عبر انكسار الخلية أو تحنيط جدار الخلية، الذي يعتمد على نظام التحميل وتكوين القلب. إن بنية خلية النحل معبأ بالرغوة مقترحة لتجهيز التحسين على قلب خلية النحل. إن وجود التعبئة داخل خلية النحل يتحسن نظام هيكل خلية النحل بتقوية جدار الخلية وتغيير سلوك الهيكل. لذلك، قد نُقدت عملية الإحصاء والتجريب والمحاكاة في هذا البحث ليستكشف تأثير تعبئة ورقة خلية النحل Kraft برغوة بوليوريثان. إن للمحاكاة، فتقدم نظام نموذج عنصر محدود بثلاثية الأبعاد لورقة خلية النحل Kraft معبأ بالرغوة. وقد أُقيم التحليل الإحصائي في المرحلة الأولى بهذا البحث لتعيين التكوين الأمثل لورقة خلية النحل Kraft. ثم، تعتمد الورقة الفارغة الأنسب ورغوة بوليوريثان والورقة معبأ بالرغوة على حمل الضغط شبه ساكن. إن القوة العظمى وامتصاص الطاقة للورقة معبأ بالرغوة محسوبان للبحث عن التحسينات مقارنة بمجموع الورقة الفارغة والرغوة وحدها. وقد قِيم نظام نموذج عنصر محدود بثلاثية الأبعاد باستخدام برمجية Ls-Dyna لاكتشاف عن التفاعلات بين رغوة بوليوريثان وجدار الخلية. إن سلوكيات النزوح القسري المحصول من المحاكاة العددية قد اعتمدت بالاستنتاجات الاختبارية، كما قد تم تحليل توزيع امتصاص الطاقة بين جدار الخلية ورغوة بوليوريثان في خلية النحل معبأ بالرغوة. وللبحث عن التأثير الموضعي للورقة معبأ بالرغوة، قد أُقيمت التحليلات الاختبارية والتحليلات المحدودة مُعَرَّضٌ لِتحميل الفجوة. والنتيجة هي إن ورقة خلية النحل Kraft مع الكثافة 175 غرام وسمك ثلاث طيات وحجم خلية النحل 10 ميلي متر تشير أن التشكيل الأمثل هو مع معدل امتصاص الطاقة المحدد 724.80 J/kg ومقاومة الضغط النوعية 9.35 MPa/kg. إضافة إلى ذلك، إن النتيجة التجريبية أشارت أن ذروة امتصاص القوّة والطاقة للورقة معبأ بالرغوة قد ارتفعت إلى 30% مقارنة بالمكونات الفردية. أما من جهة أخرى، الفجوة في الحافة العمودية أشارت إلى أعلى امتصاص الطاقة والضغط الذي يبرهن بأن الحافة العمودية للخلية تصرّفت كنقطة حصينة لتحمل قوة الفجوة. وختاماً، إن رغوة بوليوريثان قد تقوي جدار خلية النحل وتحسن مستوى امتصاص الطاقة لهيكل ورقة خلية النحل Kraft. إن نتيجة تحليل العناصر المنتهية أشارت إلى أن تقوية جدار الخلية بالرغوة، وتطبيق الرغوة لجدار الخلية، قد ارتفعتا استطاعة الطاقة في خلية النحل.

APPROVAL PAGE

The thesis of Nurdina Binti Abd Kadir has been approved by the following:

Mohd Sultan Ibrahim
Supervisor

Hanan Mokhtar
Co-Supervisor

Yulfian Aminanda
Co-Supervisor

Jaffar Syed Mohamed Ali
Internal Examiner

Jamaluddin Mahmud
External Examiner


Kamal Ariffin Mohd Ihsan
External Examiner

DECLARATION

I hereby declare that this thesis is the result of my own investigations, except where otherwise stated. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted as a whole for any other degrees at IIUM or other institutions.

Nurdina Binti Abd Kadir

Signature Date



INTERNATIONAL ISLAMIC UNIVERSITY MALAYSIA

**DECLARATION OF COPYRIGHT AND AFFIRMATION OF
FAIR USE OF UNPUBLISHED RESEARCH**

**COMPRESSION AND INDENTATION BEHAVIOR OF
LIGHTWEIGHT FOAM-FILLED KRAFT PAPER
HONEYCOMB STRUCTURE**

I declare that the copyright holders of this thesis are jointly owned by the student and IIUM.

Copyright © 2021 Nurdina Binti Abd Kadir and International Islamic University Malaysia. All rights reserved.

No part of this unpublished research may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise without prior written permission of the copyright holder except as provided below

1. Any material contained in or derived from this unpublished research may be used by others in their writing with due acknowledgement.
2. IIUM or its library will have the right to make and transmit copies (print or electronic) for institutional and academic purposes.
3. The IIUM library will have the right to make, store in a retrieved system and supply copies of this unpublished research if requested by other universities and research libraries.

By signing this form, I acknowledged that I have read and understand the IIUM Intellectual Property Right and Commercialisation policy.

Affirmed by Nurdina Binti Abd Kadir

.....
Signature

.....
Date

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

All glory is due to Allah, the Almighty, whose Grace and Mercies have been with me throughout my studies. Although it has been tasking, His Mercies and Blessings on me ease the herculean task of completing this thesis.

I would like to thank my supervisor, Dr. Mohd Sultan Ibrahim, and Prof Yulfian Aminanda for their kindness, patient guidance, and constant support which facilitated the successful completion of this work. I would also be grateful to my co-supervisor, Dr. Hanan Mokhtar for her valuable suggestions and comment on my research.

Not to forget, I wish to express my appreciation and thanks to all staff members of Kulliyyah of engineering and the Department of mechanical engineering who provided their time, effort, and support for this project.

Finally, it is my utmost pleasure to dedicate this work to my beloved parents, Abd Kadir Ahmad and Siti Rohmah Kassim, who granted me the gift of their unwavering belief in my ability to accomplish this goal. A special thanks to my dear husband, Dr. Ahmad Zahirani Ahmad Azhar, and My sons, Ahmad Ziqri and Ahmad Ziyad for their encouragement, support, and patience.

Last but not least, I am thankful to my family and friends who supported me directly and indirectly.

Once again, we glorify Allah for His endless mercy on us

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Abstract | ii |
| Abstract in Arabic | ii |
| Approval Page..... | iv |
| Declaration | v |
| Copyright Page..... | vi |
| Acknowledgements | vii |
| Table of Contents | viii |
| List of Tables | xiii |
| List of Figures | xv |
| List of Symbols | xx |
| List of Abbreviation | xxi |
| CHAPTER ONE : INTRODUCTION..... | 1 |
| 1.1 Background of The Study | 1 |
| 1.2 Statement of The Problem And Its Significance | 4 |
| 1.3 Scope of Research..... | 5 |
| 1.4 Research Philosophy..... | 5 |
| 1.5 Research Objectives..... | 6 |
| 1.6 Research Methodology | 7 |
| 1.7 Thesis Organisation | 14 |
| 1.8 Chapter Summary | 15 |
| CHAPTER TWO : LITERATURE REVIEW..... | 16 |
| 2.1 Introduction..... | 16 |
| 2.2 Sandwich Structure | 16 |
| 2.3 Honeycomb Core Structure | 19 |
| 2.3.1 Effect of Geometrical Parameter..... | 20 |
| 2.3.2 Crushing Behavior of Honeycomb Structure..... | 26 |
| 2.4 Polymer Core Structure | 28 |
| 2.4.1 Crushing Behavior of Polyurethane Foam..... | 28 |
| 2.5 Foam-Filled Structure..... | 32 |
| 2.5.1 Crushing Behavior of Foam-Filled Structure..... | 33 |
| 2.6 Chapter Summary | 38 |
| CHAPTER THREE : UNFILLED KRAFT PAPER HONEYCOMB..... | 39 |
| 3.1 Introduction..... | 39 |
| 3.2 Experimental Design of Kraft Paper Honeycomb | 40 |
| 3.2.1 Design of Experiment Method..... | 40 |
| 3.2.1.1 Full Factorial Design | 41 |
| 3.2.2 Fabrication of Kraft Paper Honeycomb | 43 |
| 3.2.2.1 Materials | 43 |
| 3.2.2.2 Fabrication of Kraft paper honeycomb..... | 44 |
| 3.2.3 Quasi-Static Compression Test of Kraft Paper Honeycomb | 46 |
| 3.2.4 Analysis of Design of Experiment..... | 49 |

| | |
|---|----|
| 3.2.4.1 Analysis of Kraft paper on compression strength and energy absorption | 49 |
| 3.2.4.2 Failure mechanism of unfilled Kraft paper honeycomb | 54 |
| 3.2.4.3 Model Development for Responses..... | 63 |
| 3.2.4.4 Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) | 64 |
| 3.2.4.5 Optimization of Unfilled Kraft Paper Honeycomb | 68 |
| 3.3 Finite Element Analysis of Unfilled Kraft Paper Subjected To Compression Loading..... | 69 |
| 3.3.1 Finite Element Model (FEM) of Unfilled Kraft Paper Honeycomb | 69 |
| 3.3.1.1 Pre-processing of finite element model | 69 |
| 3.3.1.2 Modeling and meshing of unfilled Kraft paper Honeycomb | 70 |
| 3.3.1.3 Boundary conditions..... | 71 |
| 3.3.1.4 Materials Properties..... | 74 |
| 3.3.1.5 Section | 75 |
| 3.3.1.6 Part..... | 76 |
| 3.3.1.7 Contact..... | 76 |
| 3.3.1.8 Control..... | 77 |
| 3.3.1.9 Output | 77 |
| 3.3.2 Finite Element Analysis of Unfilled Kraft Paper Honeycomb | 78 |
| 3.3.2.1 Validation of the model | 78 |
| 3.3.2.2 Mesh study..... | 79 |
| 3.3.2.3 Failure Behavior | 81 |
| 3.4 Chapter Summary | 82 |

**CHAPTER FOUR : FOAM-FILLED KRAFT PAPER HONEYCOMB
SUBJECTED TO QUASI-STATIC COMPRESSION LOADING.....83**


| | |
|---|-----|
| 4.1 Introduction..... | 83 |
| 4.2 Experimental Test of Polyurethane Foam | 83 |
| 4.2.1 Fabrication of Polyurethane Foam | 83 |
| 4.2.1.1 Materials | 83 |
| 4.2.1.2 Fabrication of polyurethane foam..... | 84 |
| 4.2.2 The density calculation of Polyurethane foam..... | 84 |
| 4.2.3 Quasi-Static Compression Test of Polyurethane Foam | 85 |
| 4.2.4 Analysis of the experimental results of Polyurethane foam | 86 |
| 4.3 Experimental Test of Foam-Filled Kraft Paper Honeycomb..... | 90 |
| 4.3.1 Fabrication of Foam-Filled Kraft Paper Honeycomb | 90 |
| 4.3.2 Quasi-Static Compression Test of Foam-Filled Kraft Paper Honeycomb..... | 91 |
| 4.3.3 Analysis of experimental results of foam-filled Kraft paper honeycomb under compression loading | 92 |
| 4.3.3.1 Force displacement curve | 92 |
| 4.3.3.2 Energy Absorption Capability | 93 |
| 4.3.3.3 Failure Mechanism | 95 |
| 4.4 Numerical Simulation of Polyurethane Foam Subjected to Quasi-Static Compression Test | 100 |
| 4.4.1 Modeling of Polyurethane Foam..... | 100 |
| 4.4.1.1 Applied Displacement | 101 |
| 4.4.1.2 Boundary Conditions..... | 101 |
| 4.4.1.3 Material Model | 102 |

| | |
|---|-----|
| 4.4.1.4 Contact..... | 104 |
| 4.4.1.5 Section | 104 |
| 4.4.1.6 Part..... | 105 |
| 4.4.1.7 Control | 105 |
| 4.4.1.8 Database..... | 105 |
| 4.4.2 Simulation Results on Polyurethane Foam of Different Densities . | 106 |
| 4.5 Finite Element Analysis of Foam-Filled Kraft Paper Honeycomb Subjected to Quasi-Static Compression Test | 110 |
| 4.5.1 Finite Element Model of Foam-Filled Kraft Paper Honeycomb Under Compression Test | 110 |
| 4.5.1.1 Modeling and meshing of Foam-filled Kraft paper Honeycomb..... | 110 |
| 4.5.1.2 Boundary conditions..... | 111 |
| 4.5.1.3 Materials Properties..... | 112 |
| 4.5.1.4 Section | 113 |
| 4.5.1.5 Part..... | 113 |
| 4.5.1.6 Contact..... | 114 |
| 4.5.1.7 Control | 115 |
| 4.5.1.8 Database (Dbase)..... | 115 |
| 4.5.2 Validation of model | 115 |
| 4.5.2.1 Force-displacement curve..... | 115 |
| 4.5.3 Finite element analysis of foam-filled Kraft paper honeycomb under Compression | 117 |
| 4.5.3.1 Energy absorption capability (total energy) | 117 |
| 4.5.3.2 Energy distribution | 118 |
| 4.5.3.3 Energy increment..... | 119 |
| 4.5.3.4 Failure behavior..... | 121 |
| 4.6 Chapter Summary | 123 |

**CHAPTER FIVE : FOAM-FILLED KRAFT PAPER HONEYCOMB
SUBJECTED TO INDENTATION TEST.....124**

| | |
|---|-----|
| 5.1 Introduction..... | 124 |
| 5.2 Experimental Test of Foam-Filled Kraft Paper Honeycomb Under Indentation Test | 124 |
| 5.2.1 Indentation Test of Foam-filled Kraft Paper Honeycomb | 124 |
| 5.2.2 Analysis of the indentation parameter of the foam-filled Kraft paper honeycomb..... | 126 |
| 5.2.3 Analysis on the indentation parameter of foam-filled Kraft paper honeycomb..... | 127 |
| 5.2.3.1 Force-displacement curve..... | 127 |
| 5.2.3.2 Energy absorption capability | 131 |
| 5.3 Finite Element Analysis of Foam-Filled Kraft Paper Honeycomb Subjected to Quasi-Static Compression Test | 132 |
| 5.3.1 Finite Element Model of Foam-Filled Kraft Paper Honeycomb Under Indentation Test | 132 |
| 5.3.1.1 Modeling and meshing of Foam-filled Kraft paper Honeycomb..... | 132 |
| 5.3.1.2 Materials Properties..... | 134 |
| 5.3.1.3 Section | 135 |
| 5.3.1.4 Part..... | 135 |

| | |
|--|------------|
| 5.3.1.5 Contact..... | 136 |
| 5.3.2 Validation of Models | 136 |
| 5.3.3 Finite Element Analysis of Foam-Filled Kraft Paper Honeycomb Under Indentation Test | 138 |
| 5.3.3.1 Peak Force | 138 |
| 5.3.3.2 Energy Absorption..... | 139 |
| 5.3.3.3 Failure Behavior | 143 |
| 5.4 Chapter Summary | 150 |
| CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS..... | 151 |
| 6.1 Conclusion | 151 |
| 6.2 Recommendation | 153 |
| REFERENCES..... | 154 |
| PUBLICATIONS..... | 159 |



LIST OF TABLES

| | | |
|-------------|---|----|
| Table 2. 1 | Failure mechanism of unfilled and foam-filled Nomex honeycomb | 35 |
| Table 3. 1 | Parameters and levels for Kraft paper honeycomb | 41 |
| Table 3. 2 | Configuration of Kraft Paper Honeycomb by Full Factorial Design | 42 |
| Table 3. 3 | Shows the compression strength and energy absorption capability of 27 specimens of Kraft paper honeycomb. | 50 |
| Table 3. 4 | Condition of paper honeycomb before and after quasi-static compression tests | 56 |
| Table 3. 5 | The specific compression strength and Specific Energy Absorption results for 27 specimens from full factorial design. | 64 |
| Table 3. 6 | ANOVA of SEA | 65 |
| Table 3. 7 | ANOVA of Specific Compression Strength | 67 |
| Table 3. 8 | Optimum configuration | 68 |
| Table 3. 9 | Verification of optimized value for specific energy absorption (SEA) | 69 |
| Table 3. 10 | Verification of optimized value for specific compression strength (SCS) | 69 |
| Table 3.11 | The materials properties for Unfilled Kraft paper honeycomb (<i>Mat_Piecewise_Linear_Plasticity</i>) | 75 |
| Table 3.12 | The materials properties for Steel Plate (<i>Mat_Rigid</i>) | 75 |
| Table 4. 1 | The Name of Five Types of Polyol | 84 |
| Table 4. 2 | The name of five types of polyol | 84 |
| Table 4. 3 | Density of polyurethane foams based on different types of polyol used. | 85 |
| Table 4. 4 | Force and energy absorbed by five different densities polyurethane foam. | 89 |

| | | |
|-------------|--|-----|
| Table 4. 5 | Mechanical properties of polyurethane foam with different densities. | 89 |
| Table 4. 6 | List of five different densities of foam and its designation | 90 |
| Table 4. 7 | Comparison of peak forces between foam-filled paper honeycomb and summation of its individual component | 93 |
| Table 4. 8 | Comparison of energy absorption capabilities between foam-filled paper honeycomb and summation of its individual component | 94 |
| Table 4. 9 | The materials properties for Polyurethane foam (Mat_Crushable_Foam) | 103 |
| Table 4. 10 | Components of ASCII files used in the polyurethane foam simulation. | 106 |
| Table 4. 11 | The materials properties for Unfilled Kraft paper honeycomb (Mat_Piecewise_Linear_Plasticity) | 113 |
| Table 5. 1 | Comparison of peak forces between foam-filled paper honeycombs, unfilled and foam alone with three different indenter size and location. | 130 |
| Table 5. 2 | Percentages of increments of Peak forces between foam-filled Kraft Paper honeycomb and summation of its individual component. | 131 |
| Table 5. 3 | Comparison of energy absorption between foam-filled paper honeycombs, unfilled and foam alone with three different indenter size and location. | 132 |
| Table 5. 4 | The variable used in finite element analysis of foam-filled Kraft paper honeycomb subjected to indentation test. | 134 |
| Table 5. 5 | The materials properties for Unfilled Kraft paper honeycomb (Mat_Piecewise_Linear_Plasticity) | 135 |
| Table 5. 6 | Percentage of differences between experimental result and finite element analysis | 138 |

LIST OF FIGURES


| | | |
|--------------|--|----|
| Figure 1.1 | The global framework of the research | 7 |
| Figure 1.2 | Flow chart of the research work | 9 |
| Figure 2. 1 | Sandwich Construction with Honeycomb Core (Rajkumar et al. 2018) | 16 |
| Figure 2. 2 | Sandwich panels with (a) foam core (b) corrugated core (c) honeycomb core (Vinson 2005) | 17 |
| Figure 2. 3 | Typical Modes Of Failure In Sandwich Structure (Bianchi, Aglietti, and Richardson 2011) | 18 |
| Figure 2. 4 | The Modes of Failure Under In-Plane Compressive Loading (Pinho and Dávila 2005) | 19 |
| Figure 2. 5 | Honeycomb structure (a) General appearance and (b) cell appearance (Zhonggang Wang et al. 2014) | 20 |
| Figure 2. 6 | Regular hexagonal honeycomb | 21 |
| Figure 2. 7 | Honeycomb Core and Directions Related To A Honeycomb. (J. Zhang and Ashby 1992b) | 26 |
| Figure 2. 8 | Typical stress-strain curve for polymer foam (Matthews and Rawlings 1999) | 29 |
| Figure 2. 9 | Comparison Between Load-Indentation Curves Deduced From The Finite Element and Indentation Test (Rizov, Shipsha, and Zenkert 2005) | 32 |
| Figure 2. 10 | Folded sections of bare and foam-filled samples.(Alavi Nia and Sadeghi 2010) | 34 |
| Figure 2. 11 | Failure Behavior of Unfilled and Foam-Filled Nomex Honeycomb Along With Their Force-Displacement Curves (Wan and Hamid 2013) | 35 |
| Figure 2. 12 | FE crushing analysis of foam-filled honeycomb (Mozafari, Khatami, and Molatefi 2015) | 37 |
| Figure 3.1 | Flow chart for Chapter 3 | 40 |

| | | |
|--------------|---|----|
| Figure 3. 2 | Geometric configuration of a hexagonal cell of the honeycomb | 42 |
| Figure 3. 3 | Two-part epoxy glue | 43 |
| Figure 3. 4 | The Folded Kraft paper honeycomb | 44 |
| Figure 3. 5 | The Kraft paper honeycomb core | 45 |
| Figure 3. 6 | The Kraft paper honeycomb were dipped in the varnish solution | 45 |
| Figure 3. 7 | The coated Kraft paper honeycomb. | 46 |
| Figure 3. 8 | Instron Machine | 47 |
| Figure 3. 9 | The Geometry of Specimen | 47 |
| Figure 3. 10 | Force-displacement curve of unfilled with different a) cell size b) density of paper and c) thickness of paper | 53 |
| Figure 3. 11 | Stress-Strain Curve of unfilled Kraft paper honeycomb | 54 |
| Figure 3. 12 | The failure mechanism of Kraft paper honeycomb | 55 |
| Figure 3. 13 | Main effects of SEA | 66 |
| Figure 3. 14 | Main effects of SCS | 67 |
| Figure 3. 15 | Process flow in modeling the unfilled Kraft paper Honeycomb | 70 |
| Figure 3. 16 | The three-dimensional unfilled Kraft Paper honeycomb with a) the steel plate b) geometrical configuration | 71 |
| Figure 3. 17 | The boundary condition at a) steel plate b) top surface c) bottom surface | 72 |
| Figure 3. 18 | The Four sets of sliding planes used in Boundary_sliding_plane a) Sliding plane 1 b) Sliding plane 2 c) Sliding plane 3 d) Sliding plane 4 | 73 |
| Figure 3. 19 | The comparison between FEA and experimental results of unfilled Kraft paper honeycomb. | 78 |
| Figure 3. 20 | The comparison of final deformation between a) FEA b) Experimental | 79 |
| Figure 3. 21 | The comparison of experimental results with three different mesh model | 80 |
| Figure 3. 22 | The Failure behavior of Kraft paper honeycomb | 82 |
| Figure 4. 1 | Deformation of five different densities PU after quasi-static compression; (a) 31.8 kg/m ³ , (b) 32.7 kg/m ³ , (c) 44.5 kg/m ³ , (d) 45.7 kg/m ³ , (e) 52.0 kg/m ³ | 87 |

| | | |
|--------------|---|-----|
| Figure 4. 2 | Force-displacement behavior of five densities polyurethane foam subjected to quasi-static compression loading in the foam rise direction. | 88 |
| Figure 4. 3 | Stress-strain behavior of five densities polyurethane foam subjected to quasi-static compression loading in the foam rise direction. | 88 |
| Figure 4. 4 | Fabrication of foam-filled Kraft paper honeycomb a) mixing of polyol and isocyanate b) pouring of the polyurethane foam solvent c) the sample after cutting off the excess PU foam. | 91 |
| Figure 4. 5 | Force Displacement curve for five different density of foam-filled Kraft paper honeycomb | 92 |
| Figure 4. 6 | The specific energy absorption of foam-filled Kraft paper honeycomb with different densities of foam | 95 |
| Figure 4. 7 | Initial and final deformation of foam-filled Kraft paper honeycomb under quasi-static compression loading | 98 |
| Figure 4. 8 | The deformation of foam-filled Kraft paper honeycomb for FFKP5 | 99 |
| Figure 4. 9 | Polyurethane foam model with the dimension of 40 mm x 40 mm x 50 mm | 100 |
| Figure 4. 10 | Set of nodes on three surfaces: (a) XY plane, (b) YZ-plane, (c) XZ-plane. | 102 |
| Figure 4. 11 | Input of stress-strain curve for MAT_CRUSHABLE_FOAM. | 103 |
| Figure 4. 12 | Deformation behavior of five densities of polyurethane foams | 108 |
| Figure 4. 13 | Numerical simulation results of force-displacement curves for five different densities of polyurethane foam. | 109 |
| Figure 4. 14 | The three-dimensional unfilled Kraft Paper honeycomb with geometrical configuration | 111 |
| Figure 4. 15 | The sets of nodes for a) top surface b) bottom surface | 112 |
| Figure 4. 16 | The force-displacement curve of Finite element analysis and experimental results | 116 |
| Figure 4. 17 | Failure deformation in FEA and experimental results: a) FEA b) experimental | 116 |
| Figure 4. 18 | The total energy absorbed of foam-filled Kraft paper honeycomb | 117 |
| Figure 4. 19 | The specific energy absorbed of foam-filled Kraft paper honeycomb | 118 |

| | | |
|---------------|---|-----|
| Figure 4. 20 | The distribution of energy for vertical edge and polyurethane foam in foam-filled Kraft paper honeycomb. | 119 |
| Figure 4. 21 | The distribution of energy for cell wall and polyurethane foam in foam-filled Kraft paper honeycomb. | 119 |
| Figure 4. 22 | Percentage improvement of energy absorption for vertical edge and foam | 120 |
| Figure 4. 23 | Improvement of energy absorption for cell wall in unfilled Kraft paper honeycomb and Foam-filled Kraft paper honeycomb | 121 |
| Figure 4. 24 | Improvements of energy absorption for Foam in unfilled Kraft paper honeycomb and Foam-filled Kraft paper honeycomb | 121 |
| Figure 4. 25 | Failure behavior of foam-filled Kraft paper honeycomb under compression loading | 122 |
| Figure 5. 1 | Types of indenters | 125 |
| Figure 5. 2 | The location of vertical edge (VE), ` wall (DB) and single wall (SW) for a) unfilled and b) foam-filled Kraft paper honeycomb | 125 |
| Figure 5. 3 | Force-displacement curves of unfilled Kraft paper honeycomb at different locations subjected to indentation with a diameter of indenter d= 10mm | 127 |
| Figure 5. 4 | Condition of unfilled Kraft paper honeycomb after indentation | 127 |
| Figure 5. 5 | The comparison of force-displacement curve for polyurethane foam, unfilled and foal filled Kraft paper honeycomb. | 128 |
| Figure 5. 6 | The force-displacement curves of foam-filled Kraft paper honeycomb at different locations by using a) 10mm b) 12mm c) 15 mm size of indenter | 129 |
| Figure 5. 7 | Deformation of foam-filled Kraft paper honeycomb after indentation test | 130 |
| Figure 5. 8 | The three-dimensional unfilled Kraft Paper honeycomb with geometrical configuration | 133 |
| Figure 5. 9 | The indentation location | 134 |
| Figure 5. 10 | The comparison of Experimental results and Finite element analysis for a) vertical edge b) Double wall c) Single wall | 137 |
| Figure 5. 11 | Force- Displacement curve of Foam-filled kraft Paper Honeycomb at a) Vertical edge b) Double wall c) Single wall d) Center of the cell | 139 |
| Figure 5. 12: | The Energy Absorption at a) Different Indentation Location b) Different Indentation Size | 141 |

| | | |
|--------------|---|-----|
| Figure 5. 13 | Distribution of Energy Absorption for Indentation at Vertical Edge Using 10mm Indenter Size. | 142 |
| Figure 5. 14 | The Distribution of Energy Absorbed for Different Indenter Size. | 143 |
| Figure 5. 15 | The Initial and Final Deformation of Foam-Filled Kraft Paper Honeycomb Under Indentation Loading. | 144 |
| Figure 5. 16 | Indentation Behavior of Vertical Edge of Foam-Filled Kraft Paper Honeycomb. | 145 |
| Figure 5. 17 | The Top View of The Deformation on The Vertical Edge | 146 |
| Figure 5. 18 | The Initial and Final Deformation of Double Wall | 147 |
| Figure 5. 19 | Indentation Behavior of Double Wall of Foam-Filled Kraft Paper Honeycomb. | 148 |
| Figure 5. 20 | The Initial and Final Deformation of Single Wall | 149 |
| Figure 5. 21 | Indentation behavior of single wall of foam-filled Kraft Paper honeycomb. | 149 |



LIST OF SYMBOLS

| | |
|----------------|---|
| E | Young's modulus |
| A | density of paper |
| B | thickness of cell wall |
| C | the cell size of honeycomb |
| E_s | young's modulus of solid cell wall materials, |
| $ETAN$ | tangent modulus |
| E_z | flatwise compression |
| ε | strain |
| F | Applied unidirectional force |
| F_D | dynamic coefficient friction |
| F_s | static coefficient of friction |
| Gsm | Grams per square meter. |
| G_{xz} | Shear modulus of the sandwich panel along x-direction |
| G_{yz} | Shear modulus along the y-direction |
| h | width |
| K | End constant factor, |
| l | length |
| m | mass of specimen |
| PR | Poisson's ratio |
| RO | Mass density |
| s | Cell size of Honeycomb |
| $SIGY$ | Yield stress |
| SW | sense switch control |
| t | thickness |
| t | time |
| $Tan \delta$ | tangent modulus |
| U_i | Energy absorbed by specimen |
| X | x-axis |
| $X1$ | initial length of specimen |
| $X2$ | final length of specimen |
| Y | y axis |
| Z | z-axis |
| ρ | Density of Paper, |
| σ_{max} | maximum compressive stress |
| σ_y | yield strength |
| ν_s | Poisson's ratio of the solid cell wall materials, |
| σ | normal / compression stress |

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

| | |
|-------|---------------------------------------|
| ANOVA | analysis of variance |
| CAI | compressive loading after indentation |
| DOE | design of experiment |
| DW | Double Wall |
| FEA | Finite Element Analysis |
| FEM | Finite Element Model |
| FFKP | Foam-Filled Kraft Paper |
| FFKPH | Foam-filled Kraft Paper Honeycomb |
| KPH | Kraft Paper Honeycomb |
| LCID | The load curve ID |
| PU | Polyurethane foam |
| SCS | Specific compressive strength |
| SEA | Specific energy absorption |
| SW | Single Wall |
| TTX | Korean Tilting Train Express |
| VE | Vertical Edge |

CHAPTER ONE

INTRODUCTION

1.1 BACKGROUND OF THE STUDY

Sandwich structures are known for having a high strength-to-weight ratio as well as high specific bending stiffness and strength under uniform load. A typical structural sandwich panel comprises two thin face sheets on both sides and a core in the centre. Honeycomb, foam, balsa wood, corrugated, and textile cores are the most common types of core materials. Core materials' primary functions are to absorb energy and provide resistance to face sheets to avoid local buckling (Xiong et al., 2018). The use of honeycomb core is continuously increasing due to its superior properties. Previous research shows that the honeycomb core structure provides an impressive crush resistance and high energy absorption capability in the out-of-plane direction (Alia et al. 2019; Miller, Smith, and Evans 2011; bin Pokaad et al. 2015). Kraft paper honeycomb core was first introduced in industry in the early 1900s. However, due to the comparatively low cost of wood components and widespread consumer preference for solid panels, Kraft paper honeycomb did not attain considerable market traction for many decades. However, with the rising cost of wood materials in recent years, the use of Kraft paper honeycomb has increased, particularly in the furniture business (Chen et al., 2014).

Kraft paper honeycomb core with pre-impregnated resin or no resin at all is widely used in furniture, doors, partitions, mobile homes, signs, and similar construction. Meanwhile, a special grade Kraft paper honeycomb core that has been expanded and then dipped in a phenolic resin to increase its water resistance and

strength are used in portable military shelters, aerospace, and naval industries (Bitzer, 2012). Some main advantages of these sandwich panels include lightweight, high specific stiffness, stable energy-absorbing property and recyclability (Samad, Warsame, & Khan, 2018; D. Wang, Liang, & Guo, 2019; Z Wang, Xuebao, 2012). Although honeycomb sandwich panels have been widely used in engineering industries for many decades, there is limited information about the properties and behavior of the lightweight Kraft paper honeycomb panel. Meanwhile, Extensive work has been carried out on the structural optimization and failure behavior of honeycomb sandwich structures from different materials such as Nomex, Aluminum and composite materials.

Zhou et al. (2018), Rodriguez-Ramirez, Castanié, and Bouvet (2018), and Liu et al. (2018) investigated the mechanical behavior and energy absorption properties of the Nomex honeycomb structure. He et al. (2019) also investigated the effects of flexural strength on the Aluminum honeycomb sandwich panel (2019). Moreover, Aziz et al. (2018) investigated the energy absorption of honeycomb structures made of carbon fiber reinforced plastics.

Metallic and polymeric foams have recently been extensively investigated as a filler for the hollow core (Cheng et al. 2018; M. Li et al. 2018; Zhejian Li, Chen, and Hao 2019; Niknejad et al. 2011; Yi et al. 2019; G. Zhang et al. 2014). The results show that these low-density foams have a positive effect on strengthening the cell walls of panels and are also credited with improving the honeycombs' energy absorption capability and damping properties (Niknejad et al., 2011; G. Zhang et al. 2014). Zhang et al. (2014) investigated the energy absorption and low-velocity impact response of pyramidal lattice core sandwich panels filled with polyurethane foam. They discovered that the foam-filled sandwich panels have a more significant load carrying capacity than the summation of unfilled specimens and the polyurethane block due to a synergistic

effect. Furthermore, Niknejad and Rahmani's (Niknejad and Rahmani 2014) experimental and theoretical study of the lateral compression process on an empty and foam-filled hexagonal column revealed that as the plateau stress of polyurethane foam filler increases, the lateral load and absorbed energy by the structure increases as well. Numerous researchers have conducted research on foam-filled honeycombs used in high-tech applications such as aerospace and naval industry, such as Nomex and metallic honeycomb. Unfortunately, few studies in the literature deal with honeycomb sandwich panels used for low-tech applications such as furniture and load-bearing applications. Due to the lower cost and material usage of paper honeycomb compared to solid wood-based panels, some manufacturers are aiming to use paper honeycomb panel or structure for load-bearing applications such as floor, decks, transportation pallet, load-bearing wall, and partition in recent years (D. Wang 2009a; D. Wang et al 2019). Thus, this study combines experimental and simulation studies of Kraft paper honeycomb filling with polymer foam, which is expected to be used for load-bearing applications.

1.2 STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM AND ITS SIGNIFICANCE

Over the last two decades, numerous experimental and analytical studies have been conducted to determine how honeycomb cells fail under various loading conditions. Basically, depending on the nature of the cell wall material, honeycomb cells collapse via elastic buckling, plastic yielding, creep, or brittle fracture. As a result, a number of experimental and numerical approaches for strengthening honeycomb cell walls and increasing the energy absorption capacity of honeycomb core structures have been proposed. However, recent research indicates that filling the honeycomb core with foam filler improves the honeycomb core's properties. This method is economical and does not significantly increase the weight of a sandwich structure. Furthermore, the presence of filler within honeycomb cells not only increases the structure's resistance to damage, but also changes its behavior. Although foam-filled honeycomb structures under various loading conditions have received significant attention in recent years, there is a lack of information on the properties and behavior of foam-filled Kraft paper honeycomb structures. Due to the significant difference in material properties between sandwich panels containing Kraft paper core and aerospace structural sandwich panels such as Nomex honeycomb, comprehensive studies of the structure-property relationships of sandwich panels containing Kraft paper core are required. Thus, the purpose of this research is to examine the behavior of foam-filled Kraft paper honeycomb and to develop a finite element model for foam-filled Kraft paper honeycomb. Furthermore, it can contribute to the advancement of knowledge in the field of foam-filled structures.