



EVALUATION OF USER ACCEPTANCE IN AN
AUGMENTED REALITY APPLICATION FOR
ARCHITECTURAL VIRTUAL HERITAGE
EXPERIENCE

BY

SYED HUZAIFAH SYED OMAR

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirement for the
degree of Master Science in Built Environment

Kulliyyah of Architecture and Environmental Design
International Islamic University Malaysia

SEPTEMBER 2015

ABSTRACT

Augmented Reality (AR) applications have seldom been developed for viewing and experiencing architectural heritage. Architecture is typically experienced and learned through tours of actual sites. This thesis proposes a new application based on augmented reality technology for users to experience learning and to enjoy architectural heritage. This thesis develops and evaluates AR in order to offer new and enjoyable experience to the public in which the users are able to use and play with a 3D representation of a Malay house. This thesis aims to evaluate user acceptance in an AR application for the architectural virtual heritage experience. This thesis has three objectives; to develop and document a process of developing a specific application, to evaluate the application in the field and the significance of its realism, and finally to discuss the findings and future potential development of the application. The case study, Rumah Pak Ali, was selected because it was recently damaged during a fire on its original site. Besides evaluating the response and feedback of users on this new technology, this study attempts to evaluate architectural heritage realism in an augmented reality application. This study employs the Design Science Research Methodology which emphasizes the need for constructive research methods that allow the disciplined, rigorous, and transparent building of the AR application as outcomes and to distinguish the work from an ordinary practice of development and application. This thesis will provide a high-quality, simple approach to AR evaluation, with more 'realism' for guidance and hence authentic experience and knowledge, to the users in exploring the architectural heritage content. This thesis will also facilitate and expedite the construction process for simulation as well as the 3D AR development for the architectural heritage components in AR. It is anticipated that this study will contribute a conceptual model of an architectural heritage realism and provide substantial empirical evidence for the level of realism that the AR application may offer to the architectural heritage community and give a better experience to the user in learning the architectural heritage. Finally, this thesis will demonstrate that realism elements play a significant role in the 3D AR application for public users.

Keywords: Augmented Reality, 3D, AR, Realism, Non-realism, architectural heritage

خلاصة البحث

نادراً ما يتمُّ تطوير تطبيق الواقع المعزَّز الـ"إيه آر" لعرض وتجربة التراث المعماري. عادة يتمُّ تعلُّم وتجربة الهندسة المعمارية من خلال جولات للمواقع الحقيقية والفعليَّة ذاتها. تُقترَح هذه الأطروحة تطبيق جديد للمستخدمين يقوم على تقنيَّة الواقع المعزَّز من أجل إعطائهم الفرصة للتَّجربة والتعلُّم والاستمتاع بالتراث المعماري. وتعمل هذه الأطروحة على تطوير وتقييم تطبيق الـ"إيه آر" من أجل تقديم تجربة جديدة ومُمتعة للجُمهور، بحيث يكون المستخدم قادراً على استخدام التطبيق بتكنولوجيا الأبعاد الثلاثيَّة لواحِدٍ من المنازل الملايويَّة. كما تُهدَف هذه الأطروحة إلى تقييم قبول المستخدم في تطبيق الـ"إيه آر" للتجربة الافتراضيَّة للتراث المعماري. ولهذه الأطروحة 3 أهداف: لتطوير وتوثيق عمليَّة تطوير تطبيق مُعيَّن، لتقييم التطبيق في الميدان ومدى أهميَّته ودلالته الواقعيَّة، وأخيراً لمناقشة النتائج والتَّسمية المُستقبليَّة المحتملة من التطبيق. هذا ولقد تمَّ اختيار لبيت العم علي كنموذج دراسة حالة، لأنه كان قد تضرَّر مؤخراً من جرَّاء حريق نشب في البيت. وإلى جانب تقييم الاستجابة وردود الفعل من قِبَل المستخدمين لهذه التكنولوجيا الجديدة، تحاول هذه الأطروحة كذلك تقييم واقعيَّة التراث المعماري من خلال تطبيق الواقع المعزَّز. أيضاً، تستخدم هذه الأطروحة تصميم علم مناهج البحث العلميِّ والذي بدوره يُؤكِّد على الحاجة إلى أساليب البحث البنيَّة التي تسمَح ببناء مُنضبط وصارم وشفاف لتطبيق الـ"إيه آر" وتُميزه كنتاج مُختلف عن أعمال التطوير والتطبيق الاعتياديَّة. وستوفِّر هذه الأطروحة نهج عالي الجودة وبسيط لتقييم الـ"إيه آر" مع المزيد من "الواقعيَّة" وبالتالي توفير تجرِبَة ومعرفة حقيقيَّة للمستخدمين في استكشاف مُحتوى التراث المعماري. كما ستعمل هذه الأطروحة على تسهيل وتسرِّيع محاكاة عمليَّة البناء فضلاً عن تطوير الـ"إيه آر" ذو الأبعاد الثلاثيَّة لمكوِّنات التراث المعماري في الـ"إيه آر". ومن المتوقع أن تساهم هذه الدراسة بتقديم نموذج مفاهيمي لواقعيَّة التراث المعماري، وتقديم أدلة تجريبية على مستوى عالٍ من الواقعيَّة بما قد يُقدِّمه تطبيق الـ"إيه آر" لمجتمع التراث المعماري، وإعطاء تجربة أفضل للمستخدم في تعلم التراث المعماري. أخيراً، ستُثبت هذه الأطروحة أن العناصر الواقعيَّة تلعب دوراً هاماً للمستخدمين من خلال تطبيق الـ"إيه آر" ذو الأبعاد الثلاثيَّة.

كلمات البحث: الواقع المعزَّز، الأبعاد الثلاثيَّة، إيه آر، الواقعيَّة، غير الواقعيَّة، التراث المعماري.

APPROVAL PAGE

I certify that I have supervised and read this study and that in my opinion, it conforms to acceptable standards of scholarly presentation and is fully adequate, in scope and quality, as a dissertation for the degree of Master of Science in Built Environment.

.....
Puteri Shireen Jahn Kassim
Supervisor

.....
Murni Mahmud
Co- Supervisor

I certify that I have read this study and that in my opinion it conforms to acceptable standards of scholarly presentation and is fully adequate, in scope and quality, as a dissertation for the degree of Master of Science in Built Environment.

.....
Fadzidah Abdullah
Internal Examiner

.....
Ahmad Rafi Mohamed Eshaq
External Examiner

This thesis was submitted to the Department of Architecture and is accepted as a fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science in Built Environment.

.....
Rosniza Othman,
Head, Department of Architecture

This thesis was submitted to the Kulliyah of Architecture and Environmental Design and is accepted as a fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science in Built Environment.

.....
Alias Abdullah
Dean, Kulliyah of Architecture and
Environmental Design

DECLARATION

I hereby declare that this thesis is the result of my own investigations, except where otherwise stated. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted as a whole for any other degrees at IIUM or other institutions.

Syed Huzaifah Syed Omar

Signature

Date

INTERNATIONAL ISLAMIC UNIVERSITY MALAYSIA

**DECLARATION OF COPYRIGHT AND AFFIRMATION OF
FAIR USE OF UNPUBLISHED RESEARCH**

**EVALUATION OF USER ACCEPTANCE IN AN AUGMENTED
REALITY APPLICATION FOR ARCHITECTURAL VIRTUAL
HERITAGE EXPERIENCE**

I declare that the copyright holder of this thesis is International Islamic University
Malaysia.

Copyright © 2015 by International Islamic University Malaysia. All rights reserved.

No part of this unpublished research may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system,
or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying,
recording or otherwise without prior written permission of the copyright holder except
as provided below

1. Any material contained in or derived from this unpublished research may only be used by others in their writing with due acknowledgement.
2. IIUM or its library will have the right to make and transmit copies (print or electronic) for institutional and academic purposes.
3. The IIUM library will have the right to make, store in a retrieval system and supply copies of this unpublished research if requested by other universities and research libraries.

Affirmed by Syed Huzaifah Syed Omar

.....
Signature

.....
Date

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am heartily thankful to those who supported and helped me in any respect during the completing of the thesis. I owe my deepest gratitude to my supervisor, Dr Puteri Shireen Jahn Kassim whose encouragement, guidance and support from the initial to the end which allowed me to develop an understanding in this field. This thesis would also not have been possible if not for the help from co-supervisor, Assistant Prof. Dr Murni Mahmud who was willing to spend her time to advise and help improve my academic writing. Learning from both supervisor made my entire research journey more valuable as they helped me through the pain and difficulty in making this thesis the best. Both of them has also guided me with their experiences and thought in all aspects.

I would also like to extend my gratitude to the following for their help and support during the thesis development:

Prof Mansor Ibrahim, Mr. Kamarul Bahrin A. Kassim, Director, Muzium Negara Dato Prof Zolkurnain, Director, Museum & Gallery Tunku Fauziah, USM Penang Mr Firdus Khauruddin, Curator, Museum & Gallery Tunku Fauziah, USM Penang Mr. Mohd Azmi Mohd Yusof, Director, Architectural Museum Malaysia Mr Nurhamdi Dzulkifli for his 3D and AR modelling skills Ms Farahidayah Mahmud for her expert in building web design in AR Ameera Syameem for her touch in AR programming and video editing Nur Syahirah Nasir for her helped in interface design of AR application Nor Azlina Alias for the contribution in managing the content . Asfidatul Azni for her helped in data collection.

Syazana Bahrin, Muhamad Aznil Abd Rasshid, Muhannad Zulfarhan Sabberi, Sabaruddin Hesamuddin, Erna Juwanna Zainudin and Hidayatullah Mataha- Practical training students from Uitm Seri Iskandar Perak who helped to collect data and questionnaire in USM Museum

My utmost appreciation goes to International Islamic University for giving me permission to use KAED VR Lab for realism experiment and Ministry of Higher Education for giving scholarship under MyBrain15 scheme.

Last but not least my lovely family who support me through this journey: My wife, Dr Sharifah Syadiyah bte Syed Saffi, My father, Prof Dr Syed Omar Syed Agil My mother, Puan Sharifah Umamah Syed Abdullah My sister, Sharifah Khansa' bte Syed Omar My sister, Sharifah Khaulah bte Syed Omar

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Abstract	ii
Abstract in Arabic	iii
Approval Page.....	iv
Declaration	v
Copyright Page.....	vi
Acknowledgements	vii
List of Tables	xi
List of Figures	xii
List of Abbreviations and Terms	xv
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1 Background of Study	1
1.1.1 Augmented Reality	2
1.1.2 Augmented Reality and Digital Heritage.....	3
1.1.3 Architectural heritage	4
1.1.4 VR, AR Technologies and the Issues of Realism	5
1.2 Problem Statement.....	7
1.3 Scope of Study.....	9
1.4 Research Questions	10
1.5 Objectives	10
1.6 Research Limitation.....	11
1.7 Significance of the Thesis.....	12
1.8 Thesis Structure	14
CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW.....	16
2.1 Introduction	16
2.2 Operational Definitions	17
2.2.1 Cultural Heritage	17
2.2.2 Virtual Reality and Augmented Reality	20
2.2.3 Virtual Heritage	20
2.2.4 Augmented Reality- An Introduction	21
2.2.5 The Concept of Augmented Reality	21
2.2.6 Historical Background of Augmented Reality	24
2.2.7 Current Development in Concept.....	25
2.2.8 Augmented Reality and Applications in Cultural Heritage.....	26
2.3 Current Development of Augmented Reality in Cultural Heritage – A Review of Case Studies	27
2.3.1 Ancient Pompeii	27
2.3.2 AR Reconstruction of Pantheon and Funeral Mosques in the Archaeological Site of Calle del Agua	28
2.3.3 AR Region of Puglia.....	29
2.3.4 Rome Mixed Virtual Reality	30
2.3.5 AR Ancient Olympic Games	31
2.3.6 Street Museum of London	32
2.3.7 TravelPerak AR.....	33

2.3.8 Malaysia Landmark AR.....	34
2.4 Realism	35
2.4.1 Photorealism – The Historical Roots.....	36
2.4.2 Factors that Achieve Realism	37
i. Detail 3d Modelling	38
ii. Shadow.....	38
iii. Real Colour and Texture.....	38
2.4.3 Realism	39
2.5 Realism In Virtual Reality And Augmented Reality.....	40
2.6 Concept Of Non-Photorealistic Rendering.....	40
2.7 The Concept Of Usability Evaluation In Vr And Ar.....	41
2.7.1 Hand-held Display	42
2.7.2 Spatial Displays	42
2.7.3 Head-Attached Displays	42
2.8 Summary.....	43
CHAPTER 3: METHODOLOGY.....	44
3.1 Introduction.....	44
3.2 Research Design	46
3.3 Experimental design	47
3.3.1 Research Diagram	48
3.3.2 User Task.....	50
3.4 Allocation of Participants	50
3.5 Selected Softwares For Development	52
3.5.1 3Ds Studio Max	53
3.5.2 3D Unity	54
3.5.3 AR Toolkit.....	54
3.5.4 Metaio Creator	57
3.6 Case Study of Rumah Pak Ali	57
3.6.1 Introduction	57
3.6.2 Background Research for Rumah Pak Ali.....	58
3.6.3 Tanggam.....	62
3.7 Summary.....	70
CHAPTER 4: PROTOTYPE DEVELOPMENT	71
4.1 Introduction	71
4.1.1 Realism in AR Prototype.....	73
4.1.2 AR Prototype Development Process	73
4.2 Modelling 3D content	76
4.3 Optimization for Realism Model.....	80
4.3.1 Bake Texture.....	84
4.3.2 Multires Modifier	87
4.4 Optimization for Less Realism 3D model	89
4.5 3D Animation Rendering.....	95
4.6 AR Development	95
4.6.1 3D Content in Metaio.....	95
4.6.2 AR User interface	96
4.7 Refinements	99
4.8 Summary.....	101

CHAPTER 5: EVALUATION OF REALISM AND LESS REALISM	103
5.1 Introduction.....	103
5.2 Demographic of Participant.....	107
5.2.1 Gender	107
5.2.2 Age	108
5.2.3 Education	108
5.2.4 Computer Use	109
5.2.5 Experience with Tablet.....	110
5.3 Usability Evaluation	111
5.4 Results	114
5.4.1 Q1: Application A is easier to recognize as Rumah Pak Ali.....	114
5.4.2 Q2: You can feel the presence & realness of Rumah Pak Ali more in Application A	114
5.4.3 Q3: Application A is more exciting to use than application B.....	115
5.4.4 Q4: Application A is easier to handle and less lag.....	116
5.4.5 Q5: Application A is easier to recognize information and content shown in this application	117
5.4.6 Q6: Labels in application A are used more effectively	117
5.4.7 Q7: The shadow of Rumah Pak Ali in Application A is preferred than shadow in Application B.....	118
5.4.8 Q8: Texture in 3D Rumah Pak Ali is preferred in application A	119
5.4.9 Q9: Overall I prefer the experience in Application A as a better AR application of Rumah Pak Ali.....	120
5.5 Summary.....	121
5.6 Discussion.....	122
 CHAPTER 6: DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION	 125
6.1 Introduction	125
6.2 AR in architectural heritage.....	126
6.2.1 Suggestions of technique in Development and Hardware.....	126
6.2.2 Suggestions of Technique in Realism	126
6.3 Realism and Heritage	127
6.4 Development of 3D AR Application for architectural heritage	130
6.4.1 The Interface.....	130
6.4.2 The Prototype Development.....	131
6.4.3 Lighting	134
6.5 User Evaluation	135
6.6 Evaluation of the User Response to realism.....	136
6.7 Evaluation of the User Response to less realism.....	137
6.8 The Significance of Realism.....	138
6.9 Hardware	139
6.10 Future Research	141
6.11 Summary.....	142
 REFERENCE	 144
 APPENDIX A: EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE	 149
APPENDIX B: A PILOT STUDY OF AR APPLICATION.....	155

LIST OF TABLES

<u>Table No.</u>		<u>Page No.</u>
3.1	Demography of Participants	51
5.1	Gender	107
5.2	Age	108
5.3	Education	108
5.4	Computer Use	109
5.5	Tablet Experience	110
5.6	Mean of Usability Evaluation	111
5.7	Usability Evaluation	113

LIST OF FIGURES

<u>Figure No.</u>		<u>Page No.</u>
2.1	Milgram's Mixed Reality Continuum (Milgram, 1994)	22
2.2	The first AR display prototype. The left image shows the mechanism to hold the display in place (Milgram, 1994).	24
2.3	Artist Visuals on AR Ancient Pompeii (Roussou & Drettakis, 2003).	28
2.4	Calle Del Agua AR Reconstruction in AR (Roussou & Drettakis, 2003)	29
2.5	Final visual of AR Calle Del Agua (Roussou & Drettakis, 2003)	29
2.6	Reconstruction of Puglia Archaeological Site in the Past (Roussou & Drettakis, 2003)	30
2.7	Reconstruction of Rome Past Historical Site (Roussou & Drettakis, 2003)	31
2.8	Old Ancient Building Augmented with Current Environment	32
2.9	Street Museum apps in an iPhone	33
2.10	PerakTravel AR in iPhone	34
2.11	Masjid Putra 3D in Malaysia Landmark AR	35
3.1	Design of the Research Process	46
3.2	Diagram of the Methodology Flowchart	48
3.3	The Flowchart of software method in building the AR prototype	52
3.4	3Ds Studio Max Main Interface	53
3.5	3D Rumah Pak Ali in Unity Software	54
3.6	Image Marker calibration process in AR Toolkit	56
3.7	Pipeline of using AR Toolkit (modified from Roussou & Drettakis, 2003)	56
3.8	AR Electric Car executed using AR Toolkit	56
3.9	Measured Drawing List (Pusat Kajian Alam Bina Melayu, n.d.-b)	59

3.10	Newspaper report on Rumah Pak Ali razed (Salleh, 2008).	60
3.11	Several Newspaper cut on Rumah Pak Ali news (Salleh, 2008).	60
3.12	Rumah Pak Ali before the fire (Salleh, 2008).	61
3.13	Rumah Pak Ali after the fire (2003) (Salleh, 2008).	61
3.14	Rumah Pak Ali Base Structure (2006) (Salleh, 2008).	62
3.15	Istana Seri Menanti.	63
3.16	Atap Singgora	64
3.17	Tanggap Alang, Tiang, & Nenaga	64
3.18	Using Tanggam & Pasak system for stairs	65
3.19	Pasak system been used on Kayu Belira and pillars	65
3.20	The basic construction of old Kedah Traditional House	66
3.21	Istana Kenangan Roof Plan	67
3.22	Istana Kenangan Space	67
3.23	Istana Kenangan Pillars	68
3.24	Istana Kenangan Roof Structure	68
3.25	Istana Kenangan Pillars "tanggap" system	69
3.26	Istana Kenangan	69
4.1	3D AR Prototype Flowchart	72
4.2	Decorative Ornamentation in Rumah Pak Ali	75
4.3	2D Drawing Plan of Rumah Pak Ali (Pusat Kajian Alam Bina Melayu, n.d.-a)	78
4.4	Reference used in the 3D Model Development (Salleh, 2008)	79
4.5	Final 3D Rumah Pak Ali in 3Ds Max	80
4.6	Wireframe views of high poly count structure in 3D Development	81
4.7	Multiple views of 3D Rumah Pak Ali before optimization	82
4.8	3D Rumah Pak Ali Scene in 3D Max Before optimization.	82

b) Perspective view of 3D Rumah Pak Ali in AR Apps Figure 4.9 Final look of 3D AR Rumah Pak Ali	85
4.10 Screenshot of Texture Sheet Rumah Pak Ali in Different Size	86
4.11 3D Model of Rumah Pak Ali Equipped with Bake Texture	87
4.12 3D Model Before and After Applying Multires Tools	88
4.13 Final 3D model after optimization shows the decrement of polycount number from 57,702 to 41,611.	88
4.14 3D Model of Rumah Pak Ali with less realism elements.	89
4.15 The elevation front view of Rumah Pak Ali	90
4.16 Textures used for the less realism model	91
4.17 Less realism 3D model of Rumah Pak Ali equipped with minimalist texture	92
4.18 Multires Modifier tool applied in less realism 3D model	93
4.19 Final look 3D Rumah Pak Ali with less realism elements	94
4.20 Image used as a marker for AR apps	96
4.21 Snapshot of 3D Rumah Pak Ali and 2D labels edited in Metaio Creator	97
4.22 Final 3D AR Rumah with 2D labels ready to be executed.	98
4.23 Final look of 3D Rumah Pak Ali in AR mobile apps.	99
4.24 High polycount 3D elements in the scene	101
4.25 Comparison between AR Prototypes with realism and with less realism.	102
5.1 The experiment setup at MGTF Hall	104
5.2 Experiment and evaluation process at MGTF	106

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS AND TERMS

2D	2 Dimension
3D	3 Dimension
AR	Augmented Reality
CAD	Computer Aided Design
FBX	Filmbox
ICOMOS	International Council on Monuments and Sites
MR	Mixed Reality
MVR	Mixed Virtual Reality
NPR	Non-Photorealistic Rendering
UNESCO	United Nations Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Organization
VR	Virtual Reality

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 BACKGROUND OF STUDY

Over the last several years, there has been a remarkable increase in the development and use of digital techniques and technology for the documentation, communication, and dissemination of knowledge of architectural heritage in general, and architectural and monumental heritage in particular. It cannot be denied that digital techniques and methods such as laser scanning, use of photogrammetry and CAD tools have gradually taken over the field of archaeology and heritage management. However, the diffusion of knowledge and information on heritage, which includes the education of the public through digital technology, remains one of the key challenges in the area of heritage preservation.

While the future will certainly see a steep rise in the use of documentation and survey of historical artefacts and monuments as three dimensional digital representations, challenges to be met include fulfilling the potentials of technologies such as virtual reality and augmented reality in diffusing and disseminating knowledge, which may result in the creation of a heightened awareness of heritage to the general public. Fulfilling this potential means creating ‘user-friendly’ and easily accessible technologies for the general public, encompassing the creation of interest, learning, and excitement through their interactive nature and capabilities.

1.1.1 Augmented Reality

Augmented Reality (AR) has changed the way people interact with technology, offering new ways for the communication of information. This includes the visualization of processes and the expression and communication of creative ideas. It represents a form of technology which has evolved from the initial development of Virtual Reality techniques, enabling the combination of both the virtual objects and real world information. It has been lauded as having great potential to assist the public in everyday activities (Azuma, 2001).

AR is different from Virtual Reality (VR) in one critical aspect, namely the preservation of the physical world as an object in which virtual objects are presented and interacted with. Fully immersive VR abstracts from the physical world in order to place the user in a completely computer-generated universe (Hoffman, 2012).

AR has been described as a “process of superimposing text, 2D pictures or 3D virtual objects onto live camera-images, in context of where the user is and which direction he or she faces” (Hoffman, 2012). AR further refers to the enhancement and augmentation of the physical world with electronically synthesized data (Milgram, 1994) with any display that can be observed by users to directly see through the view of the real world along with generated graphics that are superimposed (Hoffman, 2012).

In current development, applications and testing of AR technologies have included its use in the design and management of buildings, landscape, human anatomy, sculptures, heritage reconstructions, and teaching of science for topics including solar systems. In the engineering fields, AR is now tested as a tool to teach, demonstrate, and visualise abstract 3D concepts such as magnetic fields, turbulent flow structures, molecular models, mathematical systems, auditorium acoustics,

population densities, and information flows. These virtual experiences may be animated, interactive, or shared. They can integrate behaviour and functionality (Macintyre, Bolter, & Gandy, 2004). Today, AR technology is growing faster than any other virtual technology and has improved significantly over the past 20 years.

The potential and bright future of AR may be seen from the technology tested and developed by companies such as Google and Microsoft (Zackland, 2009) to the public. Google Glass is one such technology developed by Google and is now being made accessible to the general public. It consists of a transparent head-up display which is able to project visuals onto the real environment seen through the glass. Google Glass is one of the examples of Head-Attached Displays as described in Chapter 2 and is already available in the market today. AR has evolved from embedding static 2D images to video and 3D animation. The AR marker has also been developed with more advanced tracking technology from bar code tracking to image tracking, object tracking and environment tracking. The visuals of AR have also improved significantly as the contents become more realistic and detailed (Arpasolutions, 2012). These developments have led to high hopes for the AR industry in the future (Mccall & Braun, 2008).

1.1.2 Augmented Reality and Digital Heritage

It cannot be denied there is currently a resurgent interest in the development and expansion of the multidimensionality digital media in efforts to document, manage and diffuse architectural heritage. Scholars and practitioners in architecture, planning, archaeology, and related fields, as well as administrators of museums, galleries and archives, are now on the path of developing more and more digital capabilities and conversions of the present wealth of information in architectural heritage. The

growing popularity of platforms and tools due to their interactive nature is evident, such as the rising phenomenon of virtual museums and web-based historical encyclopaedias.

1.1.3 Architectural heritage

There has been an increasing development and usage of VR and AR in the reconstruction and dissemination of information on architectural heritage. Architectural heritage refers to the artefact and monuments that form the local heritage of the site.

Cultural Heritage, by virtue of its experiential nature and the importance of visualization, is one of the prime disciplines where AR is having a significant effect. One of the key drivers of historical preservation has been increased education and awareness of the public on the priceless value of heritage artefacts and monuments. As digital representations become more common today, future developments will witness the virtual and augmented reality technologies become more user friendly and engaging for the public.

AR, due to its mix of the 'real' and the 'virtual' environment, makes it possible to visualize heritage buildings on the heritage site itself. It is possible now to reconstruct a monument on an actual site and interact with the model or the information, making possible studies of such issues as historical function, construction, technology, and performance.

Hence, Owen (2005) demonstrated that archaeological or historical parks or museums represent an extremely significant potential and a meaningful area within which AR applications can be further applied. The AR content can be developed not only for historical specialists or people previously interested in historical heritage, but

may also be easily used and appreciated by non-specialists or first time visitors who may experience difficulties in imagining how a heritage site or monument had initially appeared.

1.1.4 VR, AR Technologies and the Issues of Realism

Computer graphics have long been linked to the quest to achieving realism. The quality of 3D graphics have always been linked to the field of reconstruction that is reconstructing in digital form of a ruin, an artefact, a building or a monument with the aid of technology. The extent of faithfully (re)creating environments, buildings, objects, for publics, scholars and professionals and end-users, are evidently important for cultural heritage communication.

Virtual Reality (VR) in particular has been seen by many researchers such as Bioca & Levy (1995) as a way to make museums, archaeological sites, heritage objects, visible and interactive. From a graphical point of view, researchers and the general public tend to expect these artefacts, and environments to be reconstructed as detailed (i.e. corresponding to the real environment) as possible, and also to have a degree of authenticity. If VR is an immersive 3D environment, into which users can enter and move around, the issue of realism is hence considered a fundamental requirement; where the physical attributes and behaviours of the real world are reproduced.

In AR, the degree of realism is linked to the aim of seamless blending of the ‘real’ and the ‘virtual’ worlds, becoming the key factor to an increased immersive effect and improved user experience. The general public have come to expect a high level of realistic impressions in their minds and have preconceived ideas driven by the

high quality experiences and visualisation in films and the cinematic industry. Hence, realism is still considered a significant yardstick of successful representation.

However, observations of user experiences with these unrealistic environments have sometimes turned out to be unsatisfactory (or not as positive as expected). After a bit of exploration, the interest of the user wanes, no matter how carefully reconstructed the place is. This is because the experience cannot be compared to the real-world experience, and no meaningful goal is offered to trigger tangible interaction. The magic of the real experience is not there, engagement remains low, and the information conveyed is not rich enough.

Recently, there has been widespread research in the UK and US into arguments for the lessening of photorealism in the world of virtual and augmented reality. These researchers argue that both the development of computer graphics techniques that enhance photorealism and the development of cultural representation are not necessarily correlated. There have been explorations of non-photorealistic rendering (NPR) techniques for archaeological and historical research and dissemination. The issue of the level of ‘graphic’ realism or the level of realistic interpretation or visualisation that is considered sufficient for the dissemination and diffusion of information remains debatable.

PhD candidate Juliana Abu Bakar (2012) applied VR to the cultural heritage of a Malaysian museum. She conducted a systematic user evaluation and analysis of user response, finding that users were not happy with the realistic rendering of the actual heritage site of red sand stone which was the actual colour and texture of the architecture itself. A large amount of time was spent achieving the realistic rendering of the model. A non-realistic model was used for model refinement development, and

it was found out that the non-realistic model was more or equally acceptable by the users.

Hence, this thesis not only focuses on the evaluation of an AR application for cultural heritage from a user's perspective but also an evaluation of user's responses to the degree of realism in representation. Since AR is an extremely novel technology in the context of Malaysia, an AR prototype development was created which included the reconstruction of a Malay heritage building that was destroyed by a fire. The process of the user's evaluation is carefully examined, before performing a more detailed evaluation on the user's response to a realistic versus a non-realistic version or counterpart of the same prototypical model.

Throughout the study, the contention and research question focuses on the significance of realism in the scenario of a 3D application used to view and experience and learn Malaysian architectural heritage. The aim was not only to assess the user's responses to AR in the field of cultural heritage preservation and dissemination, but to also evaluate their responses and perspectives on the degree of realism in its applications. A public museum setting was selected as the field of testing for the application and to further study the relative impact of the elements of realism for real-time 3D AR applications. Due to the limited financial resources and logistical issues, the AR prototype must be downscaled for an internal use low cost AR application using marker based AR is used as it is easier to handle with a higher degree of accuracy.

1.2 PROBLEM STATEMENT

While VR has been implemented and tested in Malaysia through past applications and advanced research such as by Abu Bakar (2013), realism elements of AR in cultural

heritage are still totally new and have yet to be developed and tested. It is the aim of this thesis to evaluate the application of such technology in the field of cultural heritage with a focus on architectural heritage from the point of view of the users, and to also delve into a comparative study of the relative impact of realistic representation on user responses.

Recently, AR technology has been touted for not only, its capability to help visualize the past and building construction that is no longer in existence, but to also enable the use of digital interactive technology which is low cost and able to be economically implemented in both formal and informal educational settings and institutions in developing countries. One of the strongest points of using Augmented Reality for dissemination of heritage is that it is game-like, includes fun elements in learning, and may be realised by many devices (Namdaria, 2011).

Authenticity and accuracy are what historians and museum practitioners strive to achieve and what the general public come to expect. Yet to emphasize realism would run the risk of limiting the reality construction to creation of only historically accurate worlds and less time is utilized to develop the interactive capabilities of the application. Hence, this thesis focuses on the issue of realism, and whether such criteria or characteristics are significant in the context of AR applications intended for cultural heritage objectives.

This thesis deals with two issues; firstly the response of the user towards using augmented reality to disseminate and learn about architectural heritage; and secondly looking into the impact of realism based on an evaluation of user's responses to two counterpart models.

1.3 SCOPE OF STUDY

Due to the limited budget and constraints of the study, the scope of study is limited to the evaluation of an AR prototype application in an indoor setting in general, and within the confines of a museum in particular. It is beyond the scope of the study to develop and test AR outside in real external settings due to the lack of resources and infrastructure for such a test. The level of the Malaysian public in terms of their capabilities and resources must be taken into account.

Since Augmented Reality is a new technology in the Malaysian context in general and cultural heritage in particular, this thesis attempts to develop and evaluate an AR application for public use in the field of architectural heritage and then to evaluate such an application from a user's standpoint. Hence the AR application and evaluation will test the technology, utilizing familiar and affordable elements to the Malaysian public such as the iPad tablet.

The thesis focuses its investigation on the issue of realism which has been an issue in the visualization from a developer's viewpoint. A significant amount of resources are typically spent achieving this visualization aspect of AR application. The question is whether the focus on the effort on technologies and resources to enhance the realism of an AR application is necessary or would be more effective or successful if the application used with more abstract visual techniques, in this case the non-realistic approach.

Because this study is conducted in Malaysia, hence the focus on Malaysian traditional architecture is used as a case study. Recently there have been various concerns about the gradual disappearance of Malay architectural heritage including the traditional timber house structures of the Malay world. A recent fire had completely razed a significantly valuable and precious Malay architectural heritage