



TEAR FILM DYNAMICS FROM OCULAR SURFACE  
IMAGING IN DRY EYE AND CONTACT LENS  
WEAR

BY

MOHD HAFIDZ ITHNIN

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirement for  
the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Health Science

Kulliyyah of Allied Health Sciences  
International Islamic University Malaysia

DECEMBER 2016

## ABSTRACT

The difficulty associated with the current techniques drove the investigations presented in the present thesis which aimed at investigating the clinical usefulness of corneal topography and slit lamp videography in the assessment of tear film dynamics in dry eye and contact lens wear. Six-hundred and forty-two (642) eyes of 321 subjects took part in *Study 1* where several parameters were derived from corneal topography and slit lamp videography, and the assessment of meibomian gland dysfunction (MGD) and Schirmer test with anaesthesia (STA) made. Tear film break-up time (TFBUT) was significantly correlated with STA (tear production test), MGD (tear production and tear elimination tests), and lipid floating time (LFT) ( $p < 0.05$ ). Corneal irregularity measurement (CIM) after 5 seconds of holding the blink (CIM 5) had significant correlation with LFT while CIM after 8 seconds of blink holding (CIM 8) was significantly correlated with MGD. Furthermore TFBUT, LFT, and tear meniscus height (TMH) were significantly reduced in the dry eye group compared to non-dry eye group. In *Study 2* parameters from slit lamp videography (as derived in Study 1), plus pre-lens tear film (PLTF) non-invasive tear break-up time (obtained from corneal topography) were followed-up in the investigation of the effects of 8 hours of contact lens wear comparing that of each subject's own habitual hydrogel contact lens to newly-prescribed daily silicone hydrogel contact lens. Thirty six (36) eyes of 18 habitually wearing hydrogel contact lenses (mean age =  $22.9 \pm 3.7$  years old) were involved, and it was found that PLTF, TFBUT, and LFT were all significantly reduced ( $p < 0.05$ ) after wearing each lens type for 8 hours. The tear film changes noted for each lens type after the 8 hours of wear were however, not significantly different ( $p > 0.05$ ) between habitual hydrogel and the silicone hydrogel contact lenses. In *Study 3* the tear ferning patterns (indirect tear production test) were compared among non-contact lens wearing, soft contact lens wearing, and post-contact lens related microbial keratitis (Post-CLRMK) subjects. Abnormalities in the tear ferning pattern of the habitual contact lens wearing subjects and post-CLRMK subjects were statistically similar ( $p > 0.05$ ). In conclusion corneal topography and slit lamp videography have been shown from the studies presented in this thesis to be useful clinical tests representing the phases of tear production, distribution and elimination, for the assessment of tear film dynamics in the clinical setting.

## ملخص البحث

قادت الصعوبة المرتبطة بالتقنيات الحالية الباحثين في الأطروحة الحالية التي تهدف إلى بحث الفائدة السريرية من تضاريس القرنية وشق مصباح بالفيديو في تقييم ديناميات فيلم المسيل للدموع في العين الجافة وارتداء العدسات اللاصقة. أخذت ست مئة واثنين وأربعين (642) عين من 321 مشارك في الدراسة 1 وتم اشتقاق العديد من القياسات من تضاريس القرنية وشق مصباح بالفيديو وتقييم خلل الغدة الميوية واختبار شارمر مع التخدير. ارتبط اختبار وقت تفكك فيلم المسيل للدموع بشكل ملحوظ مع اختبار إنتاج الدموع واختبار ازالة الدموع، ووقت الدهون العائمة ( $P > 0.05$ ). ارتبط قياس عدم انتظام القرنية بعد 5 ثوان من مسك الرمش مع وقت الدهون العائمة بينما ارتبط عدم انتظام القرنية بعد 8 ثوان من مسك الرمش. في دراسة 2 قياسات المصباح الشقي بالفيديو (في الدراسة 1)، تم الحصول على زيادة قبل عدسة فيلم المسيل للدموع ووقت تكسر الغير الغازية من تضاريس القرنية تم متابعتها في التحقيق من تأثير ارتداء العدسات اللاصقة 8 ساعات مقارنة مع عدسة هيدروجيل الاصقه المعتادة لكل شخص لوصفه يومية حديثة من عدسة سيليكون هيدروجيل اللاصقة. لبست ستة وثلاثين (36) عين من 18 شخص عدسات هيدروجيل اللاصقة (متوسط العمر =  $22.9 \pm 3.7$  سنة)، وتبين انخفاض اختبار PLTF، وTFBUT، وLFT ( $P > 0.05$ ) بعد ارتداء كل عدسة لمدة 8 ساعات. لوحظ تغيير في فيلم المسيل للدموع لكل نوع عدسة بعد 8 ساعات من الارتداء ولكن، لا يوجد اختلاف ( $P < 0.05$ ) بين عدسة هيدروجيل المعتادة، والعدسات سيليكون هيدروجيل اللاصقة. في دراسة 3 تم مقارنة أنماط تسرخ المسيل للدموع (اختبار الإنتاج المسيل للدموع الغير مباشر) بين ارتداء عدسة غير لاصقة، ارتداء عدسة لاصقة لينة، وارتداء عدسة عند الأشخاص بعد وجود قرنية جرثومية. كانت تشوهات تسرخ نمط المسيل للدموع مشابهه احصائيا بين الأشخاص اللذين يرتدون العدسات اللاصقة المعتادة اللذين يرتدون العدسة بعد وجود قرنية جرثومية ( $P < 0.05$ ). ختاماً تبين من الدراسات التي قدمت في الأطروحة أن تضاريس القرنية والمصباح الشقي بالفيديو قد تكون اختبارات سريرية مفيدة تمثل مراحل إنتاج و توزيع وازالة المسيل للدموع، لتقييم ديناميات فيلم المسيل للدموع في الإعدادات السريرية.

## **APPROVAL PAGE**

The thesis of Mohd Hafidz Ithnin has been approved by the following:

---

Azrin Esmady Ariffin  
Supervisor

---

Khairidzan Mohd Kamal  
Supervisor

---

Noor Ezailina Badaruddin  
Internal Examiner

---

Sharanjeet Kaur  
External Examiner

---

Tan Koh Ooi  
External Examiner

---

Saim Kayadibi  
Chairman

## DECLARATION

I hereby declare that this thesis is the result of my own investigation, except where otherwise stated. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted as a whole for any other degrees at IIUM or other institutions.

Author Name: Mohd Hafidz Ithnin

Signature.....

Date .....

INTERNATIONAL ISLAMIC UNIVERSITY MALAYSIA

**DECLARATION OF COPYRIGHT AND AFFIRMATION  
OF FAIR USE OF UNPUBLISHED RESEARCH**

Copyright © 2016 by Mohd Hafidz Ithnin. All rights reserved.

**TEAR FILM DYNAMICS FROM OCULAR SURFACE  
IMAGING IN DRY EYE AND CONTACT LENS  
WEAR**

No part of this unpublished research may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise without prior written permission of the copyright holder except as provided below.

1. Any material contained in or derived from this unpublished research may be used by others in their writing with due acknowledgement.
2. IIUM or its library will have the right to make and transmit copies (print or electronic) for institutional and academic purposes.
3. The IIUM library will have the right to make, store in a retrieval system and supply copies of this unpublished research if requested by other universities and research libraries.

Affirmed by Mohd Hafidz Ithnin

.....  
Signature

.....  
Date

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First of all, I would like to express my deepest thanks to my supervisor, Prof. Dr. Azrin Esmady Ariffin, who has always been around for advice and reference regardless time and place. Apart from him, I am also feeling thankful to my co-supervisor, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Khairidzan Mohd Kamal, for the guide and precious experiences he provided and shared throughout the time spent at IIUM Eye Specialist Clinic also known as Department of Ophthalmology, Kulliyah of Medicine.

I am grateful to have such a wonderful co-researcher, Dr. Lili Asma Ismail, who has contributed time and effort in helping with data collection at SEGi University. I sincerely thank you for your concern and cooperation.

I would like to thank my beloved parents, wife, and siblings for the understandings, patience, non-stop motivation, and encouragement during this completion of thesis writing. Most important is the du'a from all of you, thank you again.

I would like to express my gratitude to my employer, International Islamic University Malaysia and Ministry of Education, Malaysia, for awarding me scholarship throughout my study period. I would also like to thank the Reasearch Management Centre, International Islamic University Malaysia and SEGi University for funding this study.

This research is so meaningful to me and I appreciate all the efforts, contributions, and pray from individuals around me. I hope that all knowledge and experiences gained can benefit me in the future life.

Thank you again!

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

Abstract .....	ii
Abstract in Arabic .....	iii
Approval page .....	iv
Declaration .....	v
Declaration of Copyright and Affirmation of Fair Use of Unpublished Research .....	vi
Acknowledgement .....	vii
Table of Contents .....	viii
List of Tables .....	xv
List of Figures .....	xix
List of Equation.....	xxiii
List of Symbols .....	xxiii
List of Abbreviation .....	xxv

<b>CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION .....</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 The Scope of the Thesis .....	1
1.1.1 Tear Film .....	2
1.1.2 Tear Film Dynamics .....	3
1.1.3 Dry Eye.....	3
1.1.4 Contact Lenses and Dry Eye .....	4
1.1.5 Contact Lens Related Microbial Keratitis .....	5
1.2 Statement of the Problem .....	6
1.3 Objectives.....	8
1.3.1 General Objective .....	8
1.3.2 Specific Objectives .....	8
1.4 Hypotheses .....	9
1.5 Organization of the Thesis .....	9

<b>CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW OF TEAR FILM DYNAMICS ASSESSMENTS.....</b>	<b>12</b>
2.1 Tear Film Dynamics.....	12
2.1.1 Assessment of Tear Production .....	12
2.1.2 Assessment of Tear Distribution .....	15
2.1.3 Assessment of Tear Elimination.....	17
2.1.3.1 Assessment of Tear Drainage .....	17
2.1.3.2 Assessment of Tear Evaporation.....	20
2.1.3.3 Assessment of Tear Absorption .....	21
2.2 The Relationship of Ocular Surface Disease Index with Clinical Dry Eye Signs.....	22
2.3 Corneal Topography.....	24
2.3.1 Corneal Irregularity Measurement .....	25
2.3.2 Corneal Regularity and Tear Film Dynamics.....	25
2.4 Slit Lamp Videography and Tear Film Dynamics .....	28
2.4.1 Ocular Protection Index.....	29

2.4.1.1	Blinking Rates and Inter Blink Interval .....	29
2.4.1.2	Tear Film Break-up Time .....	34
2.4.2	Corneal Fluorescein Sodium Staining .....	37
2.4.3	Lipid Floating Time.....	42
2.4.4	Tear Meniscus Height.....	44
2.4.5	Inferior Tear Meniscus Rising Speed .....	50
2.5	Other Established Assessment for Tear Film.....	52
2.5.1	Conjunctival Lissamine Green Staining Test.....	52
2.5.2	Assessment of Lid Wiper Epitheliopathy.....	56
2.5.3	Tear Ferning Test .....	58
2.5.4	Schirmer Test with Anaesthesia .....	61
2.5.5	Meibomian Gland Dysfunction Assessment .....	65
2.6	Dry Eye .....	70
2.7	Contact Lens Related Dry Eye.....	78
2.7.1	Effect of Contact Lens Wear on Tear Film Dynamics .....	78
2.7.1.1	Changes in Tear Film Dynamics after Contact Lens Wear .....	80
2.7.2	Comparison of Hydrogel and Silicone Hydrogel Contact Lens Wear in Relation to Dry Eye .....	86
2.8	Tear Ferning Patterns as an Indirect Measurement of Tear Film Dynamics in Post-Contact Lens Related Microbial Keratitis .....	89
2.9	Summary of Literature Review .....	91

**CHAPTER THREE: GENERAL METHODOLOGY..... 92**

3.1	OSDI .....	92
3.2	Assessment of Corneal Irregularity Measurement.....	93
3.3	Slit Lamp Videography .....	95
3.3.1	Video 1 .....	95
3.3.1.1	Blinking Rates and Inter Blink Interval .....	96
3.3.2	Video 2 .....	97
3.3.2.1	Tear Film Break-up Time .....	98
3.3.2.2	Ocular Protection Index .....	98
3.3.2.3	Corneal Fluorescein Sodium Staining .....	98
3.3.2.4	Lipid Floating Time .....	99
3.3.2.5	Tear Meniscus Height .....	100
3.3.2.6	Tear Meniscus Rising Speed.....	101
3.4	Repeatability and Precision of Corneal Topography and Slit Lamp Videography Techniques .....	102
3.4.1	Introduction .....	102
3.4.2	Methods .....	103
3.4.2.1	Design of Study.....	103
3.4.2.2	Place of Study .....	103
3.4.2.3	Subject Criteria .....	103
3.4.2.4	Examination .....	104
3.4.2.5	CIM Measurement .....	105
3.4.2.6	Slit Lamp Videography.....	105
3.4.2.7	Statistical Analysis.....	106
3.4.3	Results .....	106

3.4.3.1 Demographic Data .....	106
3.4.3.2 Repeated Measurements of Tear Film Dynamics with Corneal Topography and Slit Lamp Videography .....	107
3.4.3.3 Coefficient of Variation .....	111
3.4.4 Discussion.....	112
3.4.5 Conclusion .....	113
3.5 Other Established Clinical Tear Film Assessment Techniques .....	114
3.5.1 Conjunctival Lissamine Green Staining.....	114
3.5.2 Assessment of Lid Wiper Epitheliopathy.....	116
3.5.3 Tear Ferning .....	117
3.5.4 Schirmer Test with Anaesthesia .....	118
3.5.5 Assessment of Meibomian Gland Dysfunction.....	118
3.6 Assessment of Pre-Lens Tear Film Non-invasive Tear Break up Time Using Topographer.....	119

**CHAPTER FOUR: STUDY 1: ASSESSMENT OF TEAR FILM DYNAMICS  
BY CORNEAL TOPOGRAPHY AND SLIT LAMP VIDEOGRAPHY ..... 121**

4.1 Introduction .....	121
4.2 Methods.....	123
4.2.1 Design of Study .....	123
4.2.2 Place of Study.....	123
4.2.3 Duration of Study .....	123
4.2.4 Sampling of Study .....	123
4.2.5 Size of Sample.....	123
4.2.6 Subject Criteria.....	124
4.2.6.1 Inclusion Criteria .....	124
4.2.6.2 Exclusion Criteria .....	124
4.2.7 Examination.....	125
4.2.7.1 IIUM Research Ethics Committee Approval .....	125
4.2.7.2 Flow of Study.....	125
4.2.7.3 Ocular Surface Disease Index .....	126
4.2.7.4 Corneal Irregularity Measurement .....	127
4.2.7.5 Slit Lamp Videography .....	127
4.2.7.6 Conjunctival Lissamine Green Staining .....	127
4.2.7.7 Assessment of Lid Wiper Epitheliopathy .....	128
4.2.7.8 Tear Ferning .....	128
4.2.7.9 Schirmer Test with Anesthesia .....	128
4.2.7.10 Assessment of Meibomian Gland Dysfunction .....	129
4.2.8 Classification of Dry Eye .....	129
4.2.9 Statistical Analysis .....	129
4.3 Results .....	130
4.3.1 Demographic Data.....	130
4.3.2 Classification of Dry Eyes.....	133
4.3.3 Relationship of Schirmer test with Anaesthesia, Assessment of Meibomian Gland Dysfunction, and Tear Ferning Test as an indicator for Tear Production .....	134
4.3.4 Correlations of Tear Production Assessment with Parameters in Corneal Topography and Slit Lamp Videography .....	135

4.3.4.1	Correlation of Tear Production Assessment with Corneal Topography Parameters.....	135
4.3.4.2	Correlations of Tear Production Assessment with Parameters in Slit Lamp Videography.....	137
4.3.5	Correlations of Tear Distribution Assessment with Parameters in Corneal Topography and Slit Lamp Videography .....	142
4.3.5.1	Correlations of Tear Distribution Assessment with Corneal Topography Parameters.....	142
4.3.5.2	Correlations of Tear Distribution Assessment with Slit Lamp Videography Parameters.....	144
4.3.6	Correlation of Tear Elimination Assessment with Corneal Topography and Slit Lamp Videography .....	146
4.3.7	Comparison in Tear Dynamics between “Non-Dry” and “Dry Eye” Subjects.....	147
4.3.7.1	Corneal Irregularity Measurement.....	147
4.3.7.2	Slit Lamp Videography Parameters .....	149
4.3.7.3	Other Established Assessment for Tear Film.....	161
4.3.8	Multivariate Analysis for Inter-relationship among all Factors Responsible for Dry Eye and Ocular Surface Dessication .....	163
4.4	Discussion .....	165
4.4.1	Ocular Surface Imaging Using Corneal Topography and Slit Lamp Videography in the Assessment of Tear Film Dynamics.....	165
4.4.1.1	Assessment of Tear Production using Corneal Topography and Slit Lamp Videography.....	165
4.4.1.2	Assessment of Tear Distribution using Corneal Topography and Slit Lamp Videography.....	172
4.4.1.3	Assessment of Tear Elimination using Corneal Topography and Slit Lamp Videography.....	174
4.4.1.4	Summary of the Utilization of Corneal Topography and Slit Lamp Videography in the Assessment of Tear Film Dynamics .....	175
4.4.2	Tear Film Dynamics: Comparison between Non-Dry and Dry Eye Groups .....	176
4.4.3	Inter-Relationship Factors for Dry Eye and Ocular Surface Dessication .....	181
4.4.3.1	Factors Associated with Tear Film Abnormality and Dry Eye .....	182
4.5	Conclusion .....	193

<b>CHAPTER FIVE: STUDY 2: THE EFFECT OF 8 HOURS OF HABITUAL HYDROGEL AND SILICONE HYDROGEL CONTACT LENS WEAR ON TEAR FILM DYNAMICS .....</b>	<b>197</b>	
5.1	Introduction .....	197
5.2	Methods.....	200
5.2.1	Design of Study .....	200
5.2.2	Place of Study.....	200

5.2.3 Duration of Study .....	200
5.2.4 Sampling of Study .....	200
5.2.5 Size of Sample .....	200
5.2.6 Subject Criteria .....	201
5.2.6.1 Inclusion Criteria .....	201
5.2.6.2 Exclusion Criteria .....	201
5.2.7 Procedure of study .....	202
5.2.7.1 IIUM Research Ethics Committee Approval .....	202
5.2.7.2 Flow of Study .....	202
5.2.7.3 PLTF Non-invasive Tear Breakup Time .....	204
5.2.7.4 Slit Lamp Videography .....	204
5.2.7.5 Analysis of Data .....	205
5.3 Results .....	206
5.3.1 Demographic Data .....	206
5.3.2 Pre-Lens Tear Film Non-invasive Tear Breakup Time .....	206
5.3.3 30-Sec Blinking Rate .....	208
5.3.4 Inter Blink Interval .....	209
5.3.5 Tear Film Break-up Time .....	209
5.3.6 Ocular Protection Index .....	211
5.3.7 Corneal Fluorescein Sodium Staining Score .....	211
5.3.8 Lipid Floating Time .....	211
5.3.9 Tear Meniscus Height .....	212
5.3.10 Tear Meniscus Rising Speed .....	214
5.4 Discussion .....	215
5.4.1 The Effect of 8 Hours of Habitual Hydrogel and Silicone Hydrogel Contact Lens Wear on Tear Film Dynamics .....	215
5.5 Conclusion .....	224

<b>CHAPTER SIX: STUDY 3: TEAR FERNING PATTERNS IN CONTACT LENS WEAR AND POST-CONTACT LENS RELATED MICROBIAL KERATITIS SUBJECTS .....</b>	<b>225</b>
6.1 Introduction .....	225
6.2 Methods .....	227
6.2.1 Design of Study .....	227
6.2.2 Place of Study .....	227
6.2.3 Duration of Study .....	227
6.2.4 Sampling of Study .....	227
6.2.5 Size of Sample .....	227
6.2.6 Subject Criteria .....	228
6.2.6.1 Inclusion Criteria .....	228
6.2.6.2 Exclusion Criteria .....	229
6.2.7 Procedure of study .....	229
6.2.7.1 IIUM Research Ethics Committee Approval .....	229
6.2.7.2 Flow of Study .....	229
6.2.7.3 Tear Ferning .....	230
6.2.7.4 Schirmer Test with Anaesthesia .....	230
6.2.8 Analysis of Data .....	230
6.3 Results .....	231

6.3.1 Demographic Data.....	231
6.3.2 Ocular Surface Disease Index .....	232
6.3.3 Tear Ferning Patterns .....	233
6.3.3.1 Comparison of OSDI between Normal and Abnormal Tear Ferning.....	233
6.3.4 Schirmer Test with Anaesthesia .....	236
6.4 Discussion .....	237
6.5 Conclusion .....	240
<b>CHAPTER SEVEN: SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS .....</b>	<b>241</b>
7.1 Summary .....	241
7.2 Conclusion .....	251
7.3 Originality Aspects of Studies .....	252
7.4 Contributions of the Body of Knowledge.....	253
7.5 Limitation of Studies.....	253
7.6 Suggestions .....	254
<b>REFERENCES.....</b>	<b>255</b>
<b>APPENDIX A: Research Approval Letter From IIUM.....</b>	<b>279</b>
<b>APPENDIX B: Informed Consent for Study 1(English).....</b>	<b>280</b>
<b>APPENDIX C: Informed Consent Form for Study 1 (Malay) .....</b>	<b>286</b>
<b>APPENDIX D: Research Form for Study 1 .....</b>	<b>292</b>
<b>APPENDIX E: Informed Consent Form for Study 2 (English) .....</b>	<b>298</b>
<b>APPENDIX F: Informed Consent Form for Study 2 (Malay).....</b>	<b>304</b>
<b>APPENDIX G: Research Form for Study 2 .....</b>	<b>310</b>
<b>APPENDIX H: Informed Consent for Study 3 (English).....</b>	<b>315</b>
<b>APPENDIX I: Informed Consent For Study 3 (Malay) .....</b>	<b>321</b>

<b>APPENDIX J: Research form for Study 3 .....</b>	<b>328</b>
<b>APPENDIX K: Raw Data For Study 1 .....</b>	<b>334</b>
<b>APPENDIX L: Raw Data For study 2 .....</b>	<b>377</b>
<b>APPENDIX M: Raw Data For Study 3.....</b>	<b>389</b>

## LIST OF TABLES

<u>Table No.</u>		<u>Page No.</u>
Table 2.1	Characteristics of tear ferning pattern	14
Table 2.2	Factors causing alteration of blink rate	31-32
Table 2.3	Normal values of TMH via various methods	45
Table 2.4	Result of tear ferning pattern in asymptomatic and symptomatic dry eye postmenopausal women from Srinivasan et al., (2007)	59
Table 2.5	Frequency of the different types of ferning pattern from Puderbach and Stolze (1991)	59
Table 2.6	The tear ferning pattern findings between control and conjunctivochalasis subjects from Zhang et al., (2010)	61
Table 2.7	The aetiological causes of dry eye by Dry Eye Workshop in 2007 (Lemp et al., 2007)	71
Table 2.8	Epidemiologic studies of dry eye	73-75
Table 3.1	Demographic data for age and gender	107
Table 3.2	Analysis on repeated measurements of tear film dynamics parameters with corneal topography and slit lamp videography using one-way repeated measure ANOVA	107-111
Table 3.3	The outcome of COV for each parameter measured with corneal topography and slit lamp videography	111-112
Table 4.1	Frequency and percentage subjects' age group	131
Table 4.2	Parameters in corneal topography, slit lamp videography, and other established assessment methods	132
Table 4.3	Correlations of schirmer test with anaesthesia (STA) with CIM 5 and CIM 8	135
Table 4.4	The comparison in CIM 5, and CIM 8 between MGD absent and present groups	136
Table 4.5	The comparison in CIM 5 and CIM 8 between normal and abnormal tear ferning groups by independent sample t-test	136

Table 4.6	Pearson correlation analysis between LFT and other parameters	138
Table 4.7	The comparison in IBI, OPI, LFT, TMH 5, and TMRS 5 between MGD absent and present groups	140
Table 4.8	The comparison in 30-sec blinking rate, IBI, OPI, LFT, TMH 5, and TMRS 5 between normal and abnormal tear ferning groups by independent sample t-test	142
Table 4.9	Pearson correlation analysis between LFT and CIM 8	143
Table 4.10	Correlations of TMRS 5 with other parameters using Pearson correlation test	143
Table 4.11	Pearson correlation analysis between LFT and other parameters	145
Table 4.12	Correlations of TMRS 5 with other parameters using Pearson correlation test	146
Table 4.13	Comparison between non-dry and dry eye groups in CIM 5 based on Classification 1 and Classification 2	147
Table 4.14	Comparison between non-dry and dry eye groups in CIM 8 based on Classification 1 and Classification 2	148
Table 4.15	Comparison between CIM 5 and CIM 8 using paired t-test	149
Table 4.16	Comparison of 30-sec blinking rate between non-dry and dry eye groups based on Classification 1	149
Table 4.17	Comparison of 30-sec blinking rate in non-dry and dry eye groups based on Classification 2	150
Table 4.18	Comparison of IBI in non-dry and dry eye groups based on Classification 1	150
Table 4.19	Comparison between non-dry and dry eye groups in IBI based on Classification 2	151
Table 4.20	Comparison of TFBUT between non-dry and dry eye groups based on Classification 1	152
Table 4.21	Comparison of OPI between non-dry and dry eye groups based on Classification 1	153
Table 4.22	Comparison of LFT in non-dry and dry eye groups based on Classification 1	155
Table 4.23	Comparison of LFT in non-dry and dry eye groups based on Classification 2	155
Table 4.24	Benferroni post-hoc test for TMH	156

Table 4.25	Comparisons of TMH between non-dry and dry eye groups based on Classification 1	156-157
Table 4.26	Comparisons of TMH in non-dry and dry eye groups based on Classification 2	157
Table 4.27	Comparisons between TMRS after 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 the eye was opened by one-way repeated measure ANOVA	158
Table 4.28	Benferroni post-hoc test for TMRS	158-159
Table 4.29	Comparisons of TMRS between non-dry and dry eye groups based on Classification 1	159-160
Table 4.30	Comparison of TMRS between non-dry and dry eye groups based on Classification 2	160-161
Table 4.31	Comparison of other established assessment for tear film parameters between non-dry and dry eye groups based on Classification 1	161-162
Table 4.32	Comparison of other established assessment for tear film parameters between non-dry and dry eye groups based on Classification 2	162-163
Table 4.33	Multivariate Analyses using ANCOVA, simple linear regression, and simple logistic regression.	164
Table 4.34	Summary of correlations between tear film parameters and components of tear film dynamics	193-194
Table 4.35	Summary of comparison between non-dry and dry eye on each parameter	194-195
Table 4.36	Summary of factors associated to tear film dynamics and conjunctival surface	195
Table 5.1	Comparisons the pre-lens wear data of PLTF non-invasive tear break-up time between habitual hydrogel and silicone hydrogel contact lenses wear	207
Table 5.2	Mean of differences in PLTF after 8 hours of habitual hydrogel and daily silicone hydrogel contact lens wear	208
Table 5.3	Comparisons between pre- and post-8 hours of habitual hydrogel and daily silicone hydrogel contact lenses wear in 30-sec blinking rate	208
Table 5.4	Comparison between before and after 8 hours of habitual hydrogel and daily silicone hydrogel contact lenses wear in IBI	209
Table 5.5	Mean of differences in TFBUT after 8 hours of habitual hydrogel vs daily silicone hydrogel contact lenses wear	210

Table 5.6	Comparison between pre- and post-8 hours of habitual hydrogel and silicone hydrogel contact lenses wear in OPI	211
Table 5.7	Comparison between pre- and post-8 hours of habitual hydrogel and silicone hydrogel contact lenses wear in LFT	212
Table 5.8	Mean of differences in LFT after 8 hours of habitual hydrogel and daily silicone hydrogel contact lens wear	212
Table 5.9	Pre- and post-8 hours of habitual hydrogel contact lens wear data for TMH 1, TMH 2, TMH 3, TMH 4, and TMH 5	213
Table 5.10	Pre- and post-8 hours of daily silicone hydrogel contact lens wear data for TMH 1, TMH 2, TMH 3, and TMH 4, and TMH 5	213
Table 5.11	Pre and post 8 hours of habitual hydrogel contact lens wear for TMRS 1, TMRS 2, TMRS 3, and TMRS 4, and TMRS 5	214
Table 5.12	Pre- and post-8 hours of daily silicone hydrogel contact lens wear for TMRS 1, TMRS 2, TMRS 3, and TMRS 4, and TMRS 5	215
Table 6.1	Comparisons of OSDI between Non-contact lens wear, Contact lens wearers and Post-CLRMK groups	232
Table 6.2	Comparison of OSDI between Non-contact lens wear and Contact lens wearers groups	232
Table 6.3	Comparison of OSDI between Non-contact lens wear and Post-CLRMK groups	233
Table 6.4	Comparison of OSDI between Contact lens wearers and Post-CLRMK groups	233
Table 6.5	The comparisons between three groups in tear ferning patterns	234
Table 6.6	Comparisons of STA between Non-contact lens wear, Contact lens wear and Post-CLRMK groups	236

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure No.		Page No.
Figure 1.1	Lacrimal function unit	2
Figure 1.2	Model of Tear Film Dynamics	3
Figure 2.1	Four types of tear ferning findings based on Rolando (1984) report	14
Figure 2.2	Optical properties of interferometer	16
Figure 2.3	Standard colour rate of the tear clearance rate	19
Figure 2.4	Mean of inferior TMH after a blink.	51
Figure 2.5	Mean of rate of change in inferior TMH or inferior TMRS after a blink.	51
Figure 2.6	Location of lid wiper	56
Figure 2.7	A schematic diagram depicting the relationship of the lash line, meibomian orifices, and mucocutaneous junction	66
Figure 2.8	The normal lid margin with irregular arrangement of normal meibomian orifices	66
Figure 2.9	Mechanism of dry eye	72
Figure 3.1	Zeiss Corneal Topography Model 995TM from an observer's and a subject's view	93
Figure 3.2	Slit lamp videography procedure	96
Figure 3.3	The NEI corneal grading system	99
Figure 3.4	Grades of staining for each area	99
Figure 3.5	Flow of procedures for the preliminary study	105
Figure 3.6	The NEI conjunctival grading system	115
Figure 3.7	A schematic drawing of the presence of stained LWE by a dye	116
Figure 4.1	Frequency and percentage of non-dry and dry eye based on Classification 1	133

Figure 4.2	Frequency and percentage of non-dry and dry eye based on Classification 2	134
Figure 4.3	The comparison in STA between MGD absent and present groups	134
Figure 4.4	The comparison in STA between normal and abnormal tear ferning groups	135
Figure 4.5	Pearson correlation analysis between 30-sec blinking rate and Schirmer test with anaesthesia	137
Figure 4.6	Correlation between STA and TFBUT using Pearson correlation test	137
Figure 4.7	Correlation between STA and OPI using Pearson correlation test	138
Figure 4.8	The comparison in 30-sec blinking rate between MGD absent and MGD present groups by independent sample t-test	139
Figure 4.9	The comparison in TFBUT between MGD absent and present groups	140
Figure 4.10	The comparison in TFBUT between normal and abnormal tear ferning groups	141
Figure 4.11	Correlation between LFT and CIM 5 by Pearson correlation analysis	143
Figure 4.12	Correlation between LFT and TFBUT by Pearson correlation analysis	144
Figure 4.13	Correlation between LFT and OPI by Pearson correlation analysis	144
Figure 4.14	Correlation between TMRS 5 and TFBUT by Pearson correlation	145
Figure 4.15	Correlation between TMRS 5 and OPI by Pearson correlation	146
Figure 4.16	Frequency and percentage of normal and abnormal CIM 5	147
Figure 4.17	Frequency and percentage of normal and abnormal CIM 8	148
Figure 4.18	Frequency and percentage of normal and abnormal TFBUT	151

Figure 4.19	Comparison of TFBUT between non-dry and dry eye groups based on Classification 2	152
Figure 4.20	Frequency and percentage of normal and abnormal OPI	153
Figure 4.21	Comparison of OPI in non-dry and dry eye groups based on Classification 2	154
Figure 5.1	Comparison between pre- and post-8 hours of habitual hydrogel contact lens wear and daily silicone hydrogel contact lens wear in PLTF non-invasive tear break-up time	207
Figure 5.2	Comparison between pre- and post-8 hours of habitual hydrogel contact lens wear and daily silicone hydrogel contact lens wear in TFBUT	210
Figure 6.1	Comparison of OSDI between normal and abnormal tear ferning groups	234
Figure 6.2	Sample of tear ferning pattern finding	235
Figure 6.3	Percentage of abnormal tear ferning patterns	236

## LIST OF EQUATION

<u>Equation No.</u>		<u>Page No.</u>
Equation 2.1	Equation for OPI	29
Equation 3.1	Equation for OSDI	93
Equation 3.2	Equation of IBI	96
Equation 3.3	Equation of OPI	98
Equation 3.4	Equation of TMRS	101
Equation 4.1	Equation of OSDI	126

## LIST OF SYMBOLS

<u>Symbols</u>	<u>Definition</u>	<u>Symbols</u>	<u>Definition</u>
±	plus or minus	F	distribution function
<	Less than	α	alpha
>	More than	Δ	precision
≥	greater than or equal to	χ <sup>2</sup>	chi-square
≤	less than or equal to	t	Student's t variable
/	divided by	β	Beta
X	multiplied by or times	:	Colon
=	Equals sign	;	Semi-colon
()	Bracket	,	Coma
P	proportion in population	Z	Standard normal variable
p	level of significance	©	Copywrite
%	percent	“ ”	Citation mark
%/min	percent per minute	‘ ’	Quotation mark
N	Number	?	Question mark
g	Gram	I	One
cm	centimetre	II	Two
g cm-2 sec-1	gram per centimetre square per second	III	Three
™	trade mark	IV	Four
°	degree	V	Five
[ ]	Bracket	VII	Seven

<u>Symbols</u>	<u>Definition</u>	<u>Symbols</u>	<u>Definition</u>
&	and	μm	micrometre
D	Dioptre	mOsmol	milliosmol
°F	Farenheit degree	10 <sup>-7</sup>	ten to the power of minus seven
mm <sup>2</sup>	millimetre square	10 <sup>7</sup>	ten to the power seven
mm sec-1	millimetre per second	mOsM	milliosmol
mm/ s	millimetre per second	mOsM/kg	milliosmol per kliogram
ml/min	millilitre per minute	÷	divided by
nm	nanometre	®	Registered trademark
+	plus	<sup>2</sup>	square
-	minus	30-sec	30 seconds
°C	degree celcius	r	Correlation coefficient
μ	micron	R <sup>2</sup>	coefficient of determination