

ASSESSMENT OF INDOOR AIR QUALITY AND SICK
BUILDING SYNDROME IN NEW GOVERNMENT
HEADQUARTERS BUILDING OF SELANGOR
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

BY

WARNIDA BINTI ABU BAKAR

A dissertation submitted in fulfilment of the requirement for
the degree of Master of Science in Building Services
Engineering

Kulliyyah of Architecture and Environmental Design
International Islamic University Malaysia

NOVEMBER 2021

ABSTRACT

In circumstantial “indoor generation”, 90% of the allotted time is spent in the building. While office workers spent 33.3% of their time indoors, giving an average of eight hours in the office building. It is vital for workers to preserve their health, comfort, and well-being, simultaneously optimizing work productivity and job performance in an office. This study aim to determine the indoor air quality (IAQ) condition and prevalence of sick building syndrome (SBS) in the new government headquarters building of Selangor State Public Works Department (PWD) in relation to the office environment and indoor air pollutants. The study investigates the building background, emphasizing the indoor air quality assessment strategies. Real-time measurement techniques were conducted in two-time slot sampling, morning and evening, at 11 sampling points. Indoor air quality measurement carried through identifies the indoor air quality's physical parameters i.e. temperature ($^{\circ}\text{C}$), relative humidity (% RH), and air movement (m/s), whereas chemical parameters i.e. carbon dioxide (ppm), carbon monoxide (ppm), the volatile organic compound (ppm), formaldehyde (ppm), ozone gas (ppm), and respirable particulates PM_{10} (mg/m^3). The physical parameters data findings were analyzed according to the Industrial Code of Practice on Indoor Air Quality (ICOP) 2010, and energy efficiency practice according to Malaysian Standard MS 1525:2019. The results show mean readings for temperature 23.5°C , RH 59 %, and air movement 0.15 m/s. Thus, it identifies that the building experience temperature varies and inadequate ventilation. While the chemical parameters results show mean readings for, carbon dioxide 1.21 ppm, carbon monoxide 546 ppm, the volatile organic compounds 0.17 ppm, formaldehyde 0.04 ppm, ozone 0 ppm, and respirable particulates PM_{10} 0.07 mg/m^3 . The chemical parameters were analyzed according to ICOP, and the results reach the standard requirements, shows the building is devoid of any harmful chemical contaminants. Furthermore, the sick building syndrome (SBS) study investigates the SBS symptoms among office workers associates with the building environment. There were 120 questionnaires distributed to the building occupants to acquire their perception of the building environment. Using SPSS analysis, the result indicates 15.3 % of the building occupants experience SBS symptoms. The analysis includes the potential people exposed to the SBS symptoms, female workers are 63.3 %, pregnant women are 1.3 %, senior citizen workers are 4 %, and workers with medical history like chronic asthma, sinus, and eczema are 21.4 %. Further analysis on SBS symptoms related to the building environment using the Pearson correlation test indicates the significant correlation is 0.001 (<0.01), showing that the building occupants' SBS symptoms are not allied with the building environment. Therefore, the study concludes that the headquarters building of Selangor State Public Works Department is classified as a non-SBS building.

خلاصة البحث

في "التوليد الداخلي" الظرفية، يتم إنفاق 90% من الوقت المخصص في المبنى. بينما يقضي موظفو المكاتب 33.3% من وقتهم في الداخل، ويمنحون ثماني ساعات في المتوسط في مبنى المكاتب. من الأهمية بمكان أن يحافظ العمال على صحتهم وراحتهم ورفاهيتهم، مع تحسين إنتاجية العمل والأداء الوظيفي في نفس الوقت في المكتب. تهدف هذه الدراسة إلى تحديد حالة جودة الهواء الداخلي (IAQ) وانتشار متلازمة المباني المرضية (SBS) في مبنى المقر الحكومي الجديد لإدارة الأشغال العامة بولاية سيلانجور (PWD) فيما يتعلق ببيئة المكاتب وملوثات الهواء الداخلي. تبحث الدراسة في خلفية المبنى، مع التركيز على استراتيجيات تقييم جودة الهواء الداخلي. كما تم إجراء تقنيات القياس في الوقت الفعلي في أخذ العينات بفترتين، صباحًا ومساءً، في 11 نقطة أخذ عينات. يحدد قياس جودة الهواء الداخلي الذي يتم إجراؤه من خلال المعلمات الفيزيائية لجودة الهواء الداخلي، مثل درجة الحرارة (C)، والرطوبة النسبية (% RH)، وحركة الهواء (م/ث)، في حين أن المعلمات الكيميائية مثل ثاني أكسيد الكربون (جزء في المليون)، وأول أكسيد الكربون (جزء في المليون)، والمركب العضوي المتطاير (جزء في المليون)، والفورمالديهايد (جزء في المليون)، وغاز الأوزون (جزء في المليون)، والجسيمات القابلة للتنفس PM10. تم تحليل نتائج بيانات المعلمات الفيزيائية وفقًا لقانون الممارسة الصناعية لجودة الهواء الداخلي 2010 (ICOP)، وممارسات كفاءة الطاقة وفقًا للمعيار الماليزي MS. 2019: 1525 أظهرت النتائج قراءات متوسطة لدرجة الحرارة 23.5 درجة مئوية، رطوبة نسبية 59%، وحركة الهواء 0.15 م / ث. وبالتالي، فإنه يحدد أن درجة حرارة المبنى تتفاوت وأن التهوية غير كافية. بينما تظهر نتائج المعلمات الكيميائية متوسط قراءات لثاني أكسيد الكربون 1.21 جزء في المليون، وأول أكسيد الكربون 546 جزء في المليون، والمركبات العضوية المتطايرة 0.17 جزء في المليون، والفورمالديهايد 0.04 جزء في المليون، والأوزون 0 جزء في المليون، والجسيمات القابلة للتنفس PM10 0.07 ملغ/م³. تم تحليل المعلمات الكيميائية وفقًا لـ ICOP، ووصلت النتائج إلى المتطلبات القياسية، وتبين أن المبنى خالٍ من أي ملوثات كيميائية ضارة. علاوة على ذلك، فإن دراسة متلازمة المبنى المريض (SBS) تحقق في أعراض SBS بين موظفي المكاتب المرتبطين ببيئة المبنى. تم توزيع 120 استبانة على شاغلي المبنى لمعرفة تصورهم لبيئة المبنى. باستخدام تحليل SPSS، تشير النتيجة إلى أن 15.3% من شاغلي المبنى يعانون من أعراض SBS. يشمل التحليل الأشخاص المحتملين الذين تعرضوا لأعراض SBS، والعاملات 63.3%، والنساء الحوامل 1.3%، وكبار الموظفين 4%، والعاملون الذين لديهم تاريخ طبي مثل الربو المزمن، والجيوب الأنفية، والأكزيما 21.4%. يشير التحليل الإضافي لأعراض SBS المتعلقة ببيئة المبنى باستخدام اختبار ارتباط بيرسون إلى أن الارتباط المعنوي هو 0.001 (0.01 <)، مما يدل على أن أعراض SBS لشاغلي المبنى ليست متحالفة مع بيئة المبنى. لذلك، خلصت الدراسة إلى أن مبنى المقر الرئيس لإدارة الأشغال العامة بولاية سلانجور مصنف على أنه مبنى غير تابع لـ SBS.

APPROVAL PAGE

I certify that I have supervised and read this study and that in my opinion, it conforms to acceptable standards of scholarly presentation and is fully adequate, in scope and quality, as a dissertation for the degree of Master of Science in Building Services Engineering.

.....
Shamzani Affendy bin Mohd Din
Supervisor

I certify that I have read this study and that in my opinion it conforms to acceptable standards of scholarly presentation and is fully adequate, in scope and quality, as a dissertation for the degree of Master of Science in Building Services Engineering.

.....
Noraini Bt. Ahmad
Internal Examiner

This dissertation was submitted to the Department of Applied Arts and Design and is accepted as a fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science in Building Services Engineering.

.....
Fadzli Irwan Bin Bahrudin
Head, Department of Applied
Arts and Design

This dissertation was submitted to the Kulliyah of Architecture and Environmental Design and is accepted as a fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science in Building Services Engineering.

.....
Abdul Razak Bin Sopian
Dean, Kulliyah of Architecture
and Environmental Design


DECLARATION

I hereby declare that this dissertation is the result of my own investigations, except where otherwise stated. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted as a whole for any other degrees at IIUM or other institutions.

Warnida Binti Abu Bakar

Signature.....

Date



INTERNATIONAL ISLAMIC UNIVERSITY MALAYSIA
DECLARATION OF COPYRIGHT AND AFFIRMATION OF
FAIR USE OF UNPUBLISHED RESEARCH

ASSESSMENT OF INDOOR AIR QUALITY AND SICK
BUILDING SYNDROME IN NEW GOVERNMENT
HEADQUARTERS BUILDING OF SELANGOR
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

I declare that the copyright holder of this dissertation jointly owned by
the student and IIUM.

Copyright ©2021 Warnida Binti Abu Bakar and International Islamic University Malaysia. All rights reserved.

No part of this unpublished research may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise without prior written permission of the copyright holder except as provided below.

1. Any material contained in or derived from this unpublished research may be used by others in their writing with due acknowledgement.
2. IIUM or its library will have the right to make and transmit copies (print or electronic) for institutional and academic purposes.
3. The IIUM library will have the right to make, store in a retrieval system and supply copies of this unpublished research if requested by other universities and research libraries.

By signing this form, I acknowledge that I have read and understand the IIUM Intellectual Property Right and Commercialization policy

Affirmed by Warnida Binti Abu Bakar

.....
Signature

.....
Date

Thank you Allah for blessing me much more than I deserve.



ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

In the name of Allah, the Most Gracious, the Most Merciful. Alhamdulillah, praises to Allah for His blessings and Mercy. I have successfully completed this dissertation on time. I am completely humbled by the number of incredible people who played a vital role in helping me throughout the dissertation completion. I would like to acknowledge the following extraordinary people that helped, guided, and inspired me throughout this journey.

It's an honour to express my sincere gratitude to my supervisor, Assoc. Prof. Ts. Dr. Shamzani Affendy bin Mohd Din for his assistance, supervision, and guidance throughout the period of completing my dissertation.

Thank you to my precious family: my supportive and understanding husband, Norkamarizal bin Kamarudin, and my sons, Nur Iman Ulwan and Nur Iman Khair. My dearest parents, in-laws, and family members. Thank you for all your du'a. I am extremely blessed to have each one of you.

Public Works Department members, whom I am blessed to work with every day: Senior Director of the Mechanical Engineering Branch, Ir. Hj. Zulkifli bin Abdul Rashad, Specialist Division of Air Conditioning and Indoor Air Quality, Senior Engineer, En. Azrul Nizam bin Adenan, and Assistance Engineer, Mohd. Affendy bin Sukarseh. Selangor State Mechanical Engineering Branch, Chief Mechanical Engineer, Ir. Mohamad Tarmuzi bin Mat Nor, and my colleagues, Azizul Rahim bin Mohamad Zulkifli, Ameyrullah bin Arshad, and Anuar bin Idris.


Some of my dearest and longtime friends, you were the absolute best. The shared, fun, and challenging moment will always be treasured. May Allah blessed all of you.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Abstract	ii
Abstract in Arabic.....	iii
Approval Page.....	iv
Declaration.....	v
Acknowledgement	viii
List of Tables	xii
List of Figures	xiii
List of Equations	xvi
List of Symbols	xvii
List of Abbreviations	xviii
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1 Introduction.....	1
1.2 Background of the Study	1
1.3 Problem Statements	5
1.4 Research Aims and Objectives	8
1.5 Research Questions	9
1.6 Scope of Study	9
1.7 Significance in the Study	10
1.8 Structure of the Thesis	10
1.9 Conclusion	12
CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW.....	13
2.1 Introduction.....	13
2.2 An Overview of Indoor Air Quality and Sick Building Syndrome	14
2.2.1 Oversea Scenario in Relation of IAQ and SBS.....	14
2.2.2 Malaysia Scenario in Relation of IAQ and SBS	17
2.3 Thermal Comfort	18
2.3.1 Air Temperature	19
2.3.2 Relative Humidity	19
2.3.3 Air Movement	20
2.4 Atmospheric Pollution	21
2.5 Indoor Air Quality (IAQ), Sources, Symptoms, and Health Effects	26
2.5.1 Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs).....	29
2.5.2 Formaldehyde.....	30
2.5.3 Nitrogen Dioxide (NO ₂)	32
2.5.4 Carbon Monoxide (CO)	33
2.5.5 Carbon Dioxide (CO ₂).....	35
2.5.6 Ozone	37
2.5.7 Respirable Particulate	38
2.5.8 Biological Contaminants.....	39
2.6 Industrial Code of Practice on Indoor Air Quality (ICOP) 2010.....	43
2.7 Code of Practice on Energy Efficiency and Use of Renewable Energy for Non-Residential Buildings (MS 1525)	44

2.8 Sick Building Syndrome (SBS) in Office Buildings	45
2.9 Indoor Air Quality Guidelines and Industrial Practices	52
2.10 Indoor Environmental Quality (IEQ)	54
2.11 Conclusion	55
CHAPTER 3: RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	56
3.1 Introduction	56
3.2 Methodology of the Research	57
3.3 Background of the Building	61
3.4 Indoor Air Quality Assessment.....	66
3.4.1 Sampling Technique.....	67
3.4.2 Sampling Points	67
3.4.3 Sampling Position.	75
3.4.4 Sampling Time	76
3.4.5 IAQ Measurement Equipment	77
3.4.5.1 Measurement of Temperature,RH,Air Movement,CO,CO2 .	78
3.4.5.2 Measurement of Respirable Particulates PM10	79
3.4.5.3 Measurement of Volatile Organic Compounds.....	81
3.4.5.4 Measurement of Formaldehyde.....	82
3.4.5.5 Measurement of Ozone.....	83
3.5 Questionnaires	84
3.6 Statistical Analysis.....	85
3.7 Conclusion	86
CHAPTER 4: RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS	87
4.1 Introduction.....	87
4.2 Indoor Air Quality Assessment.....	87
4.2.1 Temperature	89
4.2.2 Relative Humidity	91
4.2.3 Air Movement	92
4.2.4 Carbon Monoxide (CO)	94
4.2.5 Carbon Dioxide (CO2).....	95
4.2.6 Respirable Particulates	97
4.2.7 Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs).....	99
4.2.8 Ozone	101
4.2.9 Formaldehyde.....	102
4.3 Air Conditioning and Ventilation System.....	104
4.4 Sick Building Syndrome Analysis	107
4.4.1 Demographic Information of the Building Occupant.	107
4.4.1.1 Gender Distribution of Respondents	108
4.4.1.2 Age Distribution of Respondents	108
4.4.1.3 Designation Distribution of Respondents.....	109
4.4.1.4 Working Hour Distribution of Respondents.....	110
4.4.1.5 Working Experience Distribution of Respondents.....	111
4.4.2 Medical History.....	112
4.4.2.1 Past Diseases Distribution of Respondents	112
4.4.2.2 Smoking Habit Distribution of Respondents.....	113
4.4.2.3 Pregnancy Distribution of Respondents	114
4.4.3 Office Environment and Comfort	115

4.4.4 Prevalence of Sick Building Syndrome (SBS).....	116
4.5 Conclusion	119
CHAPTER 5: GENERAL CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS...	121
5.1 General Conclusions	121
5.2 Limitations	125
5.2.1 Limitations of This Study.....	125
5.2.2 Limitations of Operational	125
5.3 Recommendations.....	126
5.3.1 Recommendations on the Study.....	126
5.3.2 Recommendations for Government Sector	127
5.4 Future Research Areas	128
REFERENCES.....	130
APPENDIX A: IAQ MEASUREMENT CHECKLIST	143
APPENDIX B: QUESTIONNAIRES.....	144
APPENDIX C: CROSSTABULATION ANALYSIS TABLES	145



LIST OF TABLES


Table 2.1 Physical parameters of thermal comfort based on ICOP 2010 and energy efficiency design based on MS 1525:2019	19
Table 2.2 The sources of indoor air contaminants.	27
Table 2.3 The acceptable limit of indoor air contaminant (ICOP 2010).	28
Table 3.1 The number of sampling points taken according to ICOP 2010.	68
Table 3.2 Justification of each sampling station	76
Table 3.3 Types of IAQ measurement equipment used.	77
Table 4.1 Pearson Correlation Table.	119
Table 5.1 Summary of significant findings associated with the study objectives.	124

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1	Structure of the thesis.	12
Figure 2.1	Typical 1970s building design with sealed windows and unintended opening.	16
Figure 2.2	The entrance of outdoor pollutants into the indoor environment.	22
Figure 2.3	Sources of outdoor pollutants.	25
Figure 2.4	Health effects related to outdoor air pollutants.	26
Figure 2.5	Sources of Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs).	30
Figure 2.6	Sources of formaldehyde contaminants.	31
Figure 2.7	Sources of NO ₂ in traffic, factory emissions, and smokes.	33
Figure 2.8	Sources of carbon monoxide (CO).	34
Figure 2.9	Health effects of carbon monoxide contaminant.	35
Figure 2.10	Carbon dioxide from the human respiratory system.	36
Figure 2.11	Ozone contaminants from office equipment.	38
Figure 2.12	Respirable particulates generated from the outside air.	39
Figure 2.13	Pollens (in square) originated from the natural plant species.	41
Figure 2.14	Excessive mould contamination of ceiling and walls.	41
Figure 2.15	Fungal infection to human skin.	42
Figure 2.16	Health effects towards biological contaminants.	42
Figure 2.17	Sick Building Syndrome, causes and symptoms.	46
Figure 2.18	Malaysian HCFC Phase-Out Management Plan 2016.	53
Figure 3.1	Flow chart of research methodology.	60
Figure 3.2	PWD of Selangor State's new headquarters building.	62
Figure 3.3	Floor plan of PWD of Selangor State's new headquarters building.	64

Figure 3.4	The energy efficiency design practice in the office building.	65
Figure 3.5	The open concept of interior design features in the office building.	66
Figure 3.6	Three sampling points on the Ground Floor.	70
Figure 3.7	Two sampling points on the 1 st Floor.	71
Figure 3.8	Three sampling points on the 2 nd Floor.	72
Figure 3.9	Two sampling points on the 3 rd Floor.	73
Figure 3.10	One sampling point on the 4 th Floor.	74
Figure 3.11	TSI Velocicalc Multi-Function Ventilation Meter.	79
Figure 3.12	TSI Dust Trak DRX Aerosol Monitor 8534.	80
Figure 3.13	RAE PPBRAE 3000-VOC Monitor.	82
Figure 3.14	PPM Formaldemeter.	83
Figure 3.15	Aeroqual Portable Gas Monitor.	84
Figure 4.1	Temperature (°C) recorded in PWD Selangor State's office building.	90
Figure 4.2	% RH recorded in PWD Selangor State's office building.	92
Figure 4.3	Air Movement recorded in PWD Selangor State's office building.	94
Figure 4.4	CO contaminants recorded in PWD Selangor State's office building.	95
Figure 4.5	CO ₂ contaminants recorded in PWD Selangor State's office building.	97
Figure 4.6	PM ₁₀ contaminants recorded in PWD Selangor office building.	99
Figure 4.7	VOCs contaminants recorded in PWD Selangor office building.	100
Figure 4.8	Ozone contaminants recorded in PWD Selangor office building.	102
Figure 4.9	Formaldehyde contaminants recorded in PWD Selangor office.	104
Figure 4.10	VRF type of air conditioning system installed in the building.	106
Figure 4.11	Typical Installation of VRF system in the building.	107
Figure 4.12	Gender distribution among the respondents.	108
Figure 4.13	Age distribution among the respondents.	109

Figure 4.14	Designation distribution among the respondents.	110
Figure 4.15	Working hour distribution among the respondents.	111
Figure 4.16	Working experience distribution among the respondents.	112
Figure 4.17	Past diseases among the respondents.	113
Figure 4.18	Smoking habit among the male respondents.	114
Figure 4.19	Pregnancy distribution among the female respondents.	115
Figure 4.20	Weekly exposure distribution of various building conditions.	116
Figure 4.21	SBS symptoms experienced by building occupants.	118



LIST OF EQUATIONS

Equation 2.1 Indoor-outdoor ratio.	22
Equation 2.2 Indoor-outdoor ratio of particulate matter.	23



LIST OF SYMBOLS

cfu/m ³	Colony Forming Units Per Cubic Meter
CO	Carbon Monoxide
CO ₂	Carbon Dioxide
H ₂ S	Hydrogen Sulfide
HCFC	Hydrochlorofluorocarbon
mg/m ³	Milligrams Per Cubic Meter
NH ₃	Ammonia
NO ₂	Nitrogen Dioxide
O ₃	Ozone
ppm	Parts Per Million
ppb	Parts Per Billion
SO ₂	Sulfur Dioxide
VOCs	Volatile Organic Compounds

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ACMV	Air Conditioning and Mechanical Ventilation
ETS	Tobacco Smoke
GBI	Green Building Index
IAQ	Indoor Air Quality
ICOP	Industry Code of Practice of Indoor Air Quality
IEQ	Indoor Environmental Quality
MS	Malaysian Standard
PM	Particulate Matter
VRF	Variable Refrigerant Flow

CHAPTER ONE

INTRODUCTION

1.1 INTRODUCTION

This research focuses on the Indoor Air Quality (IAQ) study of the new government headquarters building of Selangor State Public Works Department (PWD) in Seksyen 17, Shah Alam District. It is a study of indoor air quality assessment associated with indoor air contaminants' chemical parameters, the existence of the sources of indoor air contaminants in the building, and the prevalence of health symptoms related to indoor air quality (IAQ) and sick building syndrome (SBS). In addition, the study includes a discussion on the aspects of the impact on human health, work productivity and performance, and thermal comfort and well-being of building occupants.

1.2 BACKGROUND OF THE STUDY

According to the World Health Organization (2010), Indoor Air Quality (IAQ) defines air quality inside buildings. For example, homes, offices, schools, daycare centers, healthcare centers, or other public buildings where people spend most of their time and activities inside the building and the nature of the air that can determine the person's health and well-being. In addition, indoor air quality can be described as a property of air inside a building consisting of contaminants and thermal concentration that affects occupants' health, comfort, and production (Kamaruzzaman & Razak, 2011). Whereas, according to the American Society of Heating, Refrigerating and Air-Conditioning Engineers (ASHRAE) defines the acceptable indoor air quality as:

“Air in which there are no known contaminants at harmful concentrations, as determined by cognizant authorities, and with which a substantial majority (80 % or more) of the people exposed do not express dissatisfaction” (ASHRAE 62.1, 2019, p.3).

The primary concern regarding indoor air quality is because most people are currently spending 90 % of their time inside the building either working or being at home (EPA, 2019). While, in the nowadays twenty-first-century community spends 80 % to 90 % of their time indoors either at their workplace or residence (Adebayo et al., 2018; Lu et al., 2015; Profile, 2012). In addition, employed people spend their time from 20 to 60 hours per week in the office which means 3 to 9 hours per day (Wargocki, 2011). A study in Canada reveals that 88.9 % of Canadians spend their time indoors, whereas 5.8 % spend their time outdoors, and the other 5.3 % spend their time in vehicles, which also consider a closed space. The seasonal climate, gender, and age have become the factors contributing to their indoors time (Matz et al., 2014).

Further, indoor air quality in the office environment becomes one of the public concerns as the time spent by an employee in the building is quite long. In developed countries, adults spend about 8 to 10 hours in an office building as part of their work responsibilities. A previous study showed that USA adults spend 18.2 % of their time indoors in office buildings, which means they spend around 5 hours per day in an office building. In comparison, adults in European countries spend about 28 % of their time in an office building, about 7 hours a day. Even though the percentage represents were low, people in the office building are much higher than in other places like homes and schools. Indoor pollutants in office buildings are mainly related to outdoor sources like traffic emission, industrial emission, and construction activities which infiltrate through the building’s gaps and openings like doors, windows, and buildings structures. What makes the matter worse is these outdoor emissions are generated during the daytime,

which is happening during working hours. Indoor pollutants in office buildings contribute to human activities and office equipment such as computers, printers, and photocopiers. The building condition may impact work performance among the staff and poor ventilation rates adding to the uncomfortable environment as most office buildings are well equipped with air conditioning and mechanical ventilation systems (Ioar et al., 2019). In addition, providing a pleasing working environment to the employee shall be the main characteristic to improve work efficiency and boost satisfaction. Thus, exposure to indoor air is more significant to control than outdoor air (Kamaruzzaman & Sabrani, 2011).

There are many reasons indoor air can contaminate. The sources might come from outdoor contaminated air associated with vehicle emissions and smoke from industrial activities that can enter the building through infiltration by natural ventilation such as a louvered window or between building gap and mechanical air-conditioning and ventilation system. Besides, indoor air also can be contaminated by building occupant's activities, for example, through combustion activities such as burning fuels, coal, tobacco products, and candles, gas emissions from building materials and furnishings, electronics appliances, household cleaning products, and pets (Leung, 2015). However, the most encountered causes of contaminated indoor air are lack of maintenance and inadequate air-conditioning and ventilation systems. For example, insufficient fresh air intake into the buildings will lead to the humidity problem (Kamaruzzaman & Razak, 2011; Norhidayah et al., 2013).

Consequently, this contaminated air inhaled by our body enters our lungs and finally into the bloodstream. Poor indoor air quality effects include irritated eyes, nose, sinus, and throat (ASHRAE, 2019). Other examples of poor indoor air quality are cough, tight chest, wheeze, or difficulty in breathing (Norhidayah et al., 2013).

Therefore, the term SBS explains circumstances in which building occupants experience discomfort and severe health because of the time spent in the buildings. The common complaints regarding the SBS symptoms from the building occupant include headache, irritation of throat and skin, and dry cough. However, those symptoms will soon get relief after leaving the workplace. The previous study reported that 20-30 % of the building occupants experienced sick building syndrome symptoms in office buildings. It is an important issue to highlight in occupational medical studies since 50 % of the entire workers in industrial countries spend their time working in office buildings (Fauzan et al., 2016).

Further, inadequate ventilation varies in temperature and humidity. Therefore, chemical and biological contaminants in the office building could cause discomfort, lower work efficiency (Abdel-Hamid et al., 2013), and reflect economic losses (Gou & Siu-Yu Lau, 2012). According to the United States, Environmental Protection Agency, the financial implication of poor indoor air quality and SBS associated with discomfort, illness, and low employee productivity in office buildings could cause an approximate loss of the USA \$10 billion in a year. On the other hand, by improving the indoor air environment, it is estimated that the United States could have productivity gain and potential annual savings by the USA \$29 to \$168 billion. In addition, the reduction of employee absenteeism could have a net savings of USA \$400 per employee per year. In Europe, improving productivity due to indoor quality could benefit EUR 330 per year for each employee (Wargocki, 2011).

Indoor air quality and SBS issues have been highly reported in office buildings fully equipped with centralized air conditioning and mechanical ventilation systems (Zamani et al., 2013). Hence, controlling the inflow and outflow of air into the building provides a comfortable and safe working environment to the employee, who becomes

the main factor in increasing work productivity and employee satisfaction index (Shin et al., 2018).

Thus, the international standard and guidelines in indoor air quality and sick building syndrome have been produced and used widely around the globe to look after the welfare of the employees or building occupants. The listed standards and guidelines are World Health Organization (WHO) of Guidelines for Indoor Air Quality, ASHRAE Standard (American Society of Heating, Refrigerating and Air-Conditioning Engineers), and National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) by the United States Environmental Protection Agency.

Adapted to the Malaysian climate and perspectives, the Industrial Code of Practice on Indoor Air Quality (ICOP) (2010) has been published by the Department of Occupational Safety and Health Malaysia (DOSH). The code proposed the selected indoor air quality parameters and their acceptable limits to prevent the building occupant from the prevalence of symptoms from Sick Building Syndrome (SBS). Further, a guideline by the Public Work Department of Malaysia (PWD) on Indoor Environmental Quality (IEQ) for Government Office Building (2013) was implemented widely in Malaysia to provides comfort and prevent the occurrence of health problems to the building occupant, especially to government office buildings.

1.3 PROBLEM STATEMENTS

Poor indoor air quality can have a negative impact on occupant health (Billionnet et al., 2012; Mitchell et al., 2015; Sakellaris et al., 2021; Wolkoff, 2018). A previous study found that specific health effects of poor indoor air quality in buildings are cancer, respiratory illness, and cardiovascular disease (Hoskins, 2010; Maynard, 2019; Seppänen & Fisk, 2006). This effect is due to the concentration of air pollution in the

building's environment. The air pollutants in the indoor space come from industrially produced substances, chemical products, bacteria, mould, radon, dander, pollen, and smoke. In 2020, the world was outbreak by the virus called Covic-19, which is one of the viruses from the class of biological pollutants from the wide-ranging category of indoor air pollutants. Hence, it makes the indoor environment more polluted than the outside air (D'Antonio, 2021). Indoor air pollutants such as respirable particulate, carbon monoxide, ozone gases, and volatile organic compounds at higher concentrations can be more dangerous than the outside air. These pollutants can significantly affect the building occupants (Cheek et al., 2021). Thus, many studies say that prolonged exposure to indoor air is more life-threatening than outside Saini et al., 2020). The previous research also claimed poor indoor air quality could cause higher absentees to the workplace due to health problems (Singh et al., 2010; Thatcher & Milner, 2012). Absentees or sick leave could cause losses to the employer to cover health expenses and deductions to the operations (Tham et al., 2015).

In addition, poor indoor air quality in an office building can reduce works productivity, performance, comfort, and well-being (Al horr et al., 2016). For the past 30 years, studies have proved that indoor air pollutants could significantly impact building occupants, including comfort, wellness, and work performance (Tham, 2016). Furthermore, indoor air quality issues vary with the air pollutants, either indoor or outdoor air, which impact building occupants in terms of comfort and performance, leading the organizations to fall (Ibrahim, 2015). Hence, much contemplation is given to indoor air quality issues in office buildings as a deep concern of employee well-being and work productivity. Moreover, the study proved that the employees' productivity always depends on employee satisfaction towards their work environment and comfortable workspace. Thus, a better building environment and workspace would