



**KEY INDICATOR TOOLS FOR SHALLOW SLOPE  
FAILURE USING SOIL CHEMICAL PROPERTIES  
SIGNATURES AND SOIL COLOR VARIABLES**

**BY**

**MOHD SHAH IRANI BIN HASNI**

**A dissertation submitted in fulfilment of the requirement for  
the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Halal Industry**

**International Institute of Halal Research and Training  
International Islamic University Malaysia**

**JUNE 2018**

## ABSTRACT

Slope failure has become a major concern in Malaysia due to the rapid development and urbanization in the country. It poses severe threats to any highway construction industry, residential areas, natural resources, as well as tourism activities. The extent of damages resulted from this catastrophe can be lessened if a long-term early warning system to predict landslide prone areas is implemented. Thus, this study aimed to develop key indicator tools to predict shallow slope failure based on soil chemical properties and soil color variables. The concentration of each soil properties and chromaticity in both stable and unstable slope soil samples was evaluated using ICP-MS and Remote DRA Cary-50 chroma meter from two different localities that consist of 120 soil samples located along the South Highway (PLUS) and the East Coast Highway (LPT). This study established a marked difference ( $P < 0.0001$ ) of positive correlation between soil properties concentrations and slope failures. Soil texture, total organic carbon (TOC), soil pH, iron oxide and aluminium concentration were the environmental variables that strongly correlated with soil color variables at the studied area. Indicators that could be used to predict shallow slope failure were high value of  $L^*$  (62), low values of  $c^*$  (20) and  $h^*$  (66) with indication between 5 YR to 10 YR, low concentration of iron ( $53 \text{ mgkg}^{-1}$ ) and aluminium oxide ( $37 \text{ mgkg}^{-1}$ ), low soil total organic carbon (0.5%), low soil cation exchange capacity ( $3.6 \text{ cmol/kg}$ ), slightly acidic (pH 4.9), high amount of sand fraction (68 %) and low amount of clay fraction (20%) in soil particles. The reactions and distinctive changes of soil properties between stable and unstable slopes were emphasized as results of highly significant differences were perceived between soil properties, the locations, slope stability and combinations of all interactions. By identifying the key factors controlling slope stability, a greater understanding of how the properties of Oxisols influenced the slope stability in response to interactions with environmental factors would be gained. Besides, the control of such damaging effects would require proper soil conservation strategies such as proper land leveling, afforestation, fallowing, terracing and inclusion of restorative vegetation on the slopes. Therefore, new rating system for shallow slope failure can be developed by implementing these findings as key indicators. The approach of using soil properties to predict the landslide prone areas has never been verified in highway construction industry and also in other industries in Malaysia. This approach is appropriate to be implemented as an indicator to categorize the prospective areas of unstable slopes and simultaneously helps in improving slope stability and safety for future development. Additionally, the mass movement also can be avoided by identifying the areas with the probability of experiencing slope failures at the preliminary phase of development.

## ملخص البحث

أصبح انهيار المنحدرات مصدر قلق كبير في ماليزيا والذي نتج بسبب التطور السريع والتحضر في البلاد. تشكل انهيار المنحدرات تهديدا خطيرا على قطاع بناء الطرق السريعة، والمناطق السكنية، والموارد الطبيعية، وبالإضافة إلى الأنشطة السياحية. بالإمكان تقليل مدى الأضرار الناتجة عن هذه الكوارث عن طريق تطبيق أنظمة إنذار مبكر طويل الأمد للتنبؤ بالمناطق المعرضة للاهتزازات الأرضية. هدفت هذه الدراسة إلى تطوير أدوات للمؤشرات الرئيسية من أجل التنبؤ باهتزاز المنحدرات المنخفضة بالاعتماد على الخواص الكيميائية للتربة ومتغيرات ألوان التربة. تم تقييم تركيز كل من خصائص التربة ولونيتها في عينات تربة المنحدرات المستقرة وغير المستقرة باستخدام المطياف الكتلي البلازمي بالتقارن الحثي (ICP-MS) ومقياس DA Cary-50 لمنطقتين مختلفتين والتي تكونت من ١٢٠ عينة ترابية تقع على طول الخط الجنوبي السريع (PLUS) و خط الساحل الشرقي (LPT). أثبتت هذه الدراسة فرقا واضحا ( $P < 0.0001$ ) للارتباط الإيجابي بين تراكيز خصائص التربة، وانهيار المنحدرات. كانت متغيرات قوام التربة، والكربون العضوي الكلي (TOC)، ودرجة الحموضة التربة، وتراكيز أكسيد الحديد والألمنيوم البيئية مرتبطة بقوة مع متغيرات لون التربة في المنطقة المدروسة. المؤشرات التي بالإمكان استخدامها للتنبؤ باهتزاز المنحدرات المنخفضة كانت: قيمة  $L^*$  (٦٢)، وقيم  $c^*$  (٢٠) و  $h^*$  (٦٦) منخفضة مع مؤشر بين ٥ YR إلى ١٠ YR، وتركيز منخفض للحديد (٥٣ مغ/كغم) وأكسيد الألومنيوم (٣٧ مغ/كغم)، وإجمالي الكربون العضوي المنخفض للتربة (٠,٥٪)، وسعة تبادل الكاتيون المنخفض (٣,٦ سنتيمتر/كجم)، والحمضية قليلا (٤,٩ درجة حموضة)، وكمية كبيرة من أجزاء الرمل (٦٨٪)، وكمية منخفضة من أجزاء الطين (٢٠ ٪) في جزيئات التربة. تم التركيز على التفاعلات والتغيرات المميزة لخصائص التربة بين المنحدرات المستقرة وغير المستقرة كنتائج بفروق ذات دلالة معنوية بين خصائص التربة، والمواقع، وثبات المنحدرات، ومزيج جميع التفاعلات. سيتم فهم كيفية تأثير خصائص الأوكسيسولات على استقرار المنحدر استجابة للتفاعلات مع العوامل البيئية بشكل أفضل من خلال تحديد العوامل الرئيسية التي تتحكم في استقرار المنحدرات. وبالإضافة إلى ذلك فإن التحكم في هذه التأثيرات الضارة يتطلب استراتيجيات سليمة للمحافظة على التربة مثل التسوية المناسبة للأراضي، والتجريح، والإراحة، وعمل المصاطب، وإدراج النباتات الداعمة على المنحدرات. لذلك بالإمكان تطوير نظام تصنيف جديد باهتزاز المنحدرات المنخفضة عن طريق تطبيق هذه النتائج كمؤشرات رئيسية. لم يتم من قبل استخدام خصائص التربة للتنبؤ بالمناطق المعرضة للاهتزازات الأرضية واختبارها في قطاع بناء الطرق السريعة وفي القطاعات الأخرى في ماليزيا. هذه الطريقة مناسبة للتطبيق كمؤشر لتصنيف المناطق المحتملة لحوادث المنحدرات الغير مستقرة، وتساعد في الوقت نفسه في تقوية استقرار المنحدرات وتحسين السلامة للتنمية المستقبلية. بالإضافة إلى ذلك فإنه بالإمكان تجنب الحركة الكتلية عن طريق تحديد المناطق المحتمل انهيار منحدراتها في المراحل الأولية من التطوير.

## APPROVAL PAGE

The dissertation of Mohd Shah Irani Bin Hasni has been approved by the following:

---

Rashidi Othman  
Supervisor

---

Zainul Mukrim bin Baharuddin  
Co-Supervisor

---

Internal Examiner

---

External Examiner

---

External Examiner

---

Chairperson

## DECLARATION

I hereby declare that this dissertation is the result of my own investigation, except where otherwise stated. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted as a whole for any other degrees at IIUM or other institutions.

Mohd Shah Irani Bin Hasni

Signature.....

Date .....

**INTERNATIONAL ISLAMIC UNIVERSITY MALAYSIA**

**DECLARATION OF COPYRIGHT AND AFFIRMATION OF  
FAIR USE OF UNPUBLISHED RESEARCH**

**KEY INDICATOR TOOLS FOR SHALLOW SLOPE FAILURE  
USING SOIL CHEMICAL PROPERTIES SIGNATURES AND  
SOIL COLOR VARIABLES**

I declare that the copyright holder of this dissertation are jointly owned by the student and IIUM.

Copyright © 2018 Mohd Shah Irani Bin Hasni and International Islamic University Malaysia. All rights reserved.

No part of this unpublished research may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise without prior written permission of the copyright holder except as provided below

1. Any material contained in or derived from this unpublished research may be used by others in their writing with due acknowledgement.
2. IIUM or its library will have the right to make and transmit copies (print or electronic) for institutional and academic purposes.
3. The IIUM library will have the right to make, store in a retrieved system and supply copies of this unpublished research if requested by other universities and research libraries.

By signing this form, I acknowledged that I have read and understand the IIUM Intellectual Property Right and Commercialization policy.

Affirmed by Mohd Shah Irani Bin Hasni

.....

Signature

.....

Date

*This dissertation is dedicated to my beloved parents*

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

In the Name of Allah, the Most Beneficent and the Most Merciful,

All the praises and thanks to Allah (SWT), to whom ultimately we depend for sustenance, guidance and the Creator who is ultimate source of knowledge and wisdoms endowed to mankind.

It is my ardent hope that the thesis I wrote will be benefited by others who possess the interest and passion to study on slope soil properties. All the methods and techniques had been explained in detail with diagrams and features. I wish one day my findings will contribute to a significant use for the state government especially relating to catastrophe management of slope failure. It also can generate other novel ideas to prevent slope failure by controlling soil properties index either by engineering or other technologies.

Special thanks goes to my enthusiastic supervisor, Associate Professor Dr. Rashidi bin Othman and Co-supervisor, Assistant Professor Dr. Zainul Mukrim bin Baharuddin. My research has been an amazing experience and I thank them wholeheartedly, not only for their tremendous academic support, but also for giving me plenty of wonderful opportunities and always dedicated to assist me in solving the confusions and guide me to the right path towards the final result of the thesis.

In addition, I would love to express my gratitude to my beloved parents for their never-ending love, prayers and unstoppable supports, as well as giving me a great deal of inspiration throughout my study periods. I acknowledge with gratitude of her help and lots of love dedicated to my wife Nor Syazwani Binti Mustafa, who patiently endured the recital of my thesis at any hour of the days and nights, and who often saved me from grave mistakes and also to my siblings who always supported me along my research journey.

In addition, I would like to thank my dearest workmates, Siti Zubaidah Abu Kassim, Qurratu Aini Mat Ali, Nor Hidayah Noh, Fatimah Azzahra Zaifuddin, Razanah Ramya, Farah Ayuni Mohd Hatta, Azlen Hanifah, Wan Syibrah Hanisah Wan Sulaiman, Hur Hanie Mohd Latiff, Ruhul Izzati Shahrudin as well as Hafizana Mat Jusoh (Science Officer of Herbarium Lab, KAED) and every single person who I could not mention their names one by one who always gave me sincere advices and being supportive in finishing my thesis entitled, "Key Indicator Tools for Shallow Slope Failure Assessment Using Soil Chemical Properties Signatures and Soil Color Variables".

Lastly, this thesis is humbly dedicated to the Ministry of Higher Education who had granted me the scholarship to pursue my PhD's study at International Institute for Halal Research and Training (INHART), International Islamic University Malaysia.

*Alhamdulillah...*

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

Abstract .....	ii
Abstract in Arabic .....	iii
Approval Page.....	iv
Declaration .....	v
Copyright .....	vi
Dedication .....	vii
Acknowledgements .....	viii
List of Tables .....	xiii
List of Figures .....	xvi
List of Abbreviations .....	xx
<b>CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION .....</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Research Background: Soil Erosion and Global Agenda .....	1
1.1.1 Soil Erosion and Highway Construction Industry .....	3
1.2 Problem Statement and Issues .....	5
1.3 Research Goal .....	9
1.4 Research Objectives.....	9
1.5 Research Questions.....	10
1.6 Research Scope and Limitations.....	10
1.7 Research Significance.....	11
<b>CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW .....</b>	<b>13</b>
2.1 Environment and Islamic Perspectives .....	13
2.2 Slope Failure as Environmental Issue.....	16
2.2.1 Slope Failure in Malaysia .....	17
2.2.2 Cases of Slope Failure in Malaysian Highway .....	20
2.2.3 Examples of Slope Failures Occurrences in Malaysian Highway.....	23
2.2.3.1 Rock Slope Failure at Bukit Lanjan, 2003 .....	23
2.2.3.2 Slope failure at KM302 Gua Tempurung, North South Highway .....	24
2.2.3.3 Landslide at Karak Highway: KM52.5 Jalan Lentang - Bukit Tinggi .....	25
2.2.3.4 General Classification of Slope .....	25
2.2.4 Factors That Influence Slope Stability .....	28
2.2.5 Types of Slope Failure .....	30
2.2.6 Shallow Slope Failure .....	32
2.2.7 Identification of Contributing and Triggering Factors.....	34
2.3 Soil as Important Component of the Slope.....	37
2.3.1 Soil Formation.....	37
2.3.2 Soil Horizons.....	39
2.3.3 Factors Affecting Soil Properties Development .....	41
2.3.4 Common soil types in the landslide-prone area .....	44
2.4 Oxisols Properties.....	45
2.4.1 Chemical Properties of Oxisols.....	49

2.4.1.1 Heavy Metal and Micronutrient Contents in relation to Oxisols.....	49
2.4.1.2 Soil CEC Contents in relation to Oxisols .....	50
2.4.1.3 Soil Total Organic Carbon (TOC) in relation to Oxisols.....	51
2.4.1.4 Soil pH in relation to Oxisols .....	52
2.4.2 Physical Properties of Oxisols .....	55
2.4.2.1 Soil Texture Concentration in relation to Oxisols .....	55
2.4.2.2 Soil Color Intensity in relation to Oxisols .....	57
2.4.3 Ferrallization/Laterization in relation to Oxisols .....	60
2.5 Current Slope Stabilisation Techniques and Assessment Systems.....	61
2.5.1 Current Slope Stabilization Techniques and Technology.....	61
2.5.2 Slope Assessment Systems .....	63
2.5.3 Research Gaps.....	65
<b>CHAPTER THREE: MATERIALS AND METHODS .....</b>	<b>73</b>
3.1 Study Areas and Sites Sampling.....	73
3.1.1 The North–South Expressway (PLUS).....	73
3.2 Method of Soil Sampling.....	78
3.2.1 Soil Sample Preparation.....	79
3.3 ICP-MS Analysis of Heavy Metals and Micronutrients Content.....	81
3.3.1 Acid Digestion .....	81
3.3.2 Heavy Metal and Micronutrient Analysis.....	83
3.4 Analysis of Total Organic Carbon (TOC) Concentration by Using Walkley and Black Method.....	89
3.5 Analysis of Soil CEC Concentration by Ammonium Acetate.....	91
3.6 Analysis of Soil pH Concentration by a Digital pH Meter.....	92
3.7 Analysis of Soil Texture Concentration by Pipette Method.....	93
3.8 Analysis of Soil Color Intensity .....	95
3.8.1 Analysis of soil colours intensity by using Munsell Soil Color Chart .....	95
3.8.2 Analysis of soil colors intensity by using CIELAB spectrophotometer .....	96
3.9 Statistical Analysis.....	98
<b>CHAPTER FOUR: CHARACTERIZATION OF HEAVY METAL CONTENT AND COMPOSITION IN OXISOLS OF STABLE AND UNSTABLE SLOPES.....</b>	<b>99</b>
4.1 Objective of the Experiment.....	99
4.2 Analysis of Heavy Metal Content and Composition of Oxisols in Response to Stable and Unstable Slopes .....	100
4.2.1 Analysis of Aluminium (Al) Content.....	101
4.2.2 Analysis of Iron (Fe) Content .....	102
4.2.3 Analysis of Chromium (Cr) content.....	103
4.2.4 Analysis of Manganese (Mn) Content .....	104
4.2.5 Analysis of Lead (Pb) Content.....	105
4.2.6 Analysis of Zinc (Zn) Content .....	106
4.2.7 Analysis of Copper (Cu) Content.....	107
4.2.8 Analysis of Nickel (Ni) Content .....	108

4.3 Summary of the Results.....	109
<b>CHAPTER FIVE: INFLUENCE OF pH LEVEL, TOTAL ORGANIC CARBON (TOC), SOIL CATION EXCHANGE CAPACITY (CEC) AND CALCIUM (CA) CONTENT IN OXISOLS ON STABLE AND UNSTABLE SLOPES.....</b>	<b>110</b>
5.1 Objective of the Experiment.....	110
5.2 Analysis of Soil pH, Total Organic Carbon (TOC), Cation Exchange Capacity (CEC) and Calcium Content in Oxisols of Stable and Unstable Slopes .....	111
5.2.1 Analysis of Soil pH.....	111
5.2.2 Analysis of Soil Total Organic Carbon (TOC).....	112
5.2.3 Analysis of Soil Cation Exchange Capacity (CEC).....	113
5.2.4 Analysis of Calcium (Ca) Content.....	114
5.3 The Relationships between Calcium Content, Soil CEC, Total Organic Carbon (TOC) and Soil pH In Stable and Unstable Slopes of Oxisols.....	116
5.4 The Relationships between Heavy Metal Content, Soil CEC, Total Organic Carbon (TOC) and Soil pH in Stable and Unstable Slopes of Oxisols.....	116
Summary of the Results.....	117
<b>CHAPTER SIX: SOIL COLOR INTENSITY, REFLECTANCE COLORIMETER VALUE AND SOIL TEXTURE INTERACTIONS OF OXISOLS AT STABLE AND UNSTABLE SLOPES.....</b>	<b>120</b>
6.1 Objectives of the Experiment .....	120
6.2 Assessment of Soil Colour Intensity of Oxisols at Stable and Unstable Slopes .....	120
6.3 Analysis of Reflectance Colorimeter Measurement of Oxisols at Stable and Unstable Slopes .....	125
6.3.1 Analysis of CIELAB L* (Lightness) value.....	130
6.3.2 Analysis of CIELAB a* (Red-Green axis) value.....	130
6.3.3 Analysis of CIELAB b* (Yellow-Blue Axis) value .....	131
6.3.4 Analysis of CIELAB c* (Chroma) value .....	132
6.3.5 Analysis of CIELAB h* (Hue) value.....	133
6.3.6 Overall CIELAB Soil Color Value Analysis .....	134
6.4 Analysis of Soil Texture Interactions of Oxisols at Stable and Unstable Slopes .....	135
6.5 Relationships between Soil Texture and Soil Color.....	136
6.6 Relationships between CIELAB Spectrophotometer and Munsell Soil Color Chart.....	138
6.7 Relationships between Clay, Silt, Sand, Soil Color, TOC, CEC, Heavy Metal and Micronutrient Concentration.....	139
6.8 Relationships between Cielab L*, A*, B*, C* and H* .....	141
6.9 Summary of the Results.....	142
<b>CHAPTER SEVEN: RESULT AND DISCUSSION.....</b>	<b>144</b>
7.1 Research Aim and Objectives.....	144

7.2	Characterization of Heavy Metal Content and Composition in Oxisols of Stable and Unstable Slopes .....	145
7.3	Relationships between Soil pH, Calcium Content, Total Organic Carbon (TOC), Soil CEC In Oxisols of Stable and Unstable Slopes .....	148
7.4	Relationships between Soil Colour Intensity, Reflectance Colorimeter Value and Soil Texture Interactions of Oxisols at Stable and Unstable Slopes .....	151
7.4.1	Soil Texture Interactions of Stable and Unstable Slopes .....	151
7.4.2	Soil Color Intensity of Stable and Unstable Slopes .....	153
7.4.2.1	Munsell Soil Color Chart.....	153
7.4.2.2	CIELAB Spectrophotometer .....	159
7.5	Relationships between Soil Properties, Colour Variables and Shallow Slope Failure.....	161
7.5.1	Soil Heavy metal / Micronutrient and Soil CEC.....	161
7.5.2	Soil Total Organic Carbon (TOC) and Soil Texture.....	163
7.5.3	Soil Total Organic Carbon (TOC) and Soil pH .....	164
7.5.4	Soil Texture, Soil CEC and Soil Heavy Metal / Micronutrient .....	165
7.5.5	Soil Heavy Metal / Micronutrient and Soil pH.....	166
7.5.6	Soil Color and Soil Properties.....	167
<b>CHAPTER EIGHT: CONCLUSION .....</b>		<b>172</b>
8.1	Conclusion .....	172
8.1.1	Factor Affecting the Dissimilarity of Properties Concentration in Slopes Soil .....	173
8.1.2	How This Study Contribute to Islamic Built Environment.....	176
<b>CHAPTER NINE: RECOMMENDATIONS.....</b>		<b>180</b>
9.1	Proposed Shallow Slope Failure Rating System .....	180
9.2	General Recommendations .....	186
<b>BIBLIOGRAPHY .....</b>		<b>190</b>
<b>APPENDIX A</b>	<b>SLOPE FAILURE TECHNICAL NOTES.....</b>	<b>217</b>
<b>APPENDIX B</b>	<b>SOIL CHEMICAL AND PHYSICAL PROPERTIES .....</b>	<b>242</b>
<b>APPENDIX C</b>	<b>CIELAB SOIL COLOR VARIABLES RESULTS.....</b>	<b>250</b>
<b>APPENDIX D</b>	<b>SLOPE SOIL REFLECTANCE COLORIMETER ANNOVA .....</b>	<b>260</b>

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1	Series of major landslide occurrences in Malaysia for the past decade and consequence in terms of loss of lives. (Harwant, Singh, 2006).	19
Table 2.2	Series of Landslide Occurrences Happened along Malaysian Highway between 1990 and 2015. (Tan Y. C. & Gue, S.S, 2006)	22
Table 2.3	Slope Gradients Classification (Highlands and Hill slope Development of Planning Guidelines, 2005)	27
Table 2.4	Slope failures classification (Varnes, 1978)	30
Table 2.5	General types of slope failure (Varnes, 1978)	31
Table 2.6	Slope failures along Northern part of North South Highway (PLUS, 2014)	33
Table 2.7	Causes of Landslides in Malaysia (Gue and Tan, 2008)	35
Table 2.8	Basic layers of soil horizon (McCarthy, 1982)	40
Table 2.9	Relative sizes of sand, silt, and clay (Yerima, 2005)	55
Table 2.10	Research gap of current slope stabilization techniques and slope assessments system	68
Table 3.1	Location of slope soil samples along Section C2 (North-South Highway)	75
Table 3.2	Location of slope soil samples along Section C3 (North-South Highway)	75
Table 3.3	Location of soil samples along Section 1 LPT (East Coast Highway)	77
Table 3.4	Locations of soil samples along Section 2 LPT (East Coast Highway)	78
Table 3.5	Calculation for Calibration and QC Solution Preparation (Qurratu, 2016)	86
Table 3.6	Analysis Parameter set in ICP-MS method software	87
Table 4.1	Analysis of variance for overall heavy metals concentration ( $\text{mg.kg}^{-1}$ )	100

Table 4.2	Average of heavy metals concentration in soil samples for stable and unstable slopes (Mean value $\pm$ standard deviation ** Values from nine replicates (n = 9)	100
Table 4.3	Analysis of variance of Al content ( $\text{mg.kg}^{-1}$ ) of Oxisols in response to	101
Table 4.4	Analysis of variance of Fe content ( $\text{mg.kg}^{-1}$ ) of Oxisols in response to	102
Table 4.5	Analysis of variance of Cr content ( $\text{mg.kg}^{-1}$ ) of Oxisols in response to	103
Table 4.6	Analysis of variance of Mn content ( $\text{mg.kg}^{-1}$ ) of Oxisols in response to stable and unstable slopes.	104
Table 4.7	Analysis of variance of Pb content ( $\text{mg.kg}^{-1}$ ) of Oxisols in response to	105
Table 4.8	Analysis of variance of Zn content ( $\text{mg.kg}^{-1}$ ) of Oxisols in response to stable and unstable slopes.	106
Table 4.9	Analysis of variance of Cu content ( $\text{mg.kg}^{-1}$ ) of Oxisols in response to	107
Table 4.10	Analysis of variance of Ni content ( $\text{mg.kg}^{-1}$ ) of Oxisols in response to	108
Table 5.1	Analysis of variance of soil pH in Oxisols on stable and unstable slopes.	112
Table 5.2	Analysis of variance of soil total organic carbon in Oxisols of stable and unstable slopes.	113
Table 5.3	Analysis of variance of CEC in Oxisols of stable and unstable slopes.	114
Table 5.4	Analysis of variance of Ca content ( $\text{mg.kg}^{-1}$ ) in Oxisols of stable and unstable slopes.	115
Table 5.5	The average of chemical properties concentrations in soil samples for stable and unstable slopes (Mean value $\pm$ standard deviation).	119
Table 6.1	Assessment of Oxisols color intensity using Munsell Soil Color Chart at stable and unstable slopes of the North South Highway and the East Coast Highway	121
Table 6.2	Means of soil color intensity for Section C2, North South Highway (PLUS) measuring in CIELAB $L^*$ = lightness, $a^*$ =	

	red (+)/green (-), b* = yellow (+)/blue (-), C* (Chroma), h* = hue angle	126
Table 6.3	Means of soil color intensity for Section C3, North South Highway (PLUS) measuring in CIELAB L* = lightness, a* = red (+)/green (-), b* = yellow (+)/blue (-), C* (Chroma), h* = hue angle	127
Table 6.4	Means of soil color intensity for Section 1 East Coast Highway (LPT) measuring in CIELAB L* = lightness, a* = red (+)/green (-), b* = yellow (+)/blue (-), C* (Chroma), h* = hue angle	128
Table 6.5	Means of soil color intensity for Section 2 East Coast Highway (LPT) measuring in CIELAB L* = lightness, a* = red (+)/green (-), b* = yellow (+)/blue (-), C* (Chroma), h* = hue angle	129
Table 6.6	The Overall Average of soil color variables in Oxisols for stable and unstable slope	134
Table 7.1	Mean values for all heavy metal concentrations in stable and unstable slopes	146
Table 7.2	Relationship between soil total organic carbon (TOC), soil CEC, Ca content and soil pH concentration in response to stable and unstable slope conditions.	149
Table 7.3	Mean values of soil textures in different slope conditions	152
Table 7.4	Summary of overall soil color analysis by using Munsell Soil Color Chart in response to stable and unstable slope conditions.	156
Table 7.5	Relationship between soil color, soil texture and soil organic carbon	159
Table 7.6	Mean values for overall soil color variables in stable and unstable slopes	160
Table 7.7	The overall results for soil properties in response to stable and unstable slope conditions.	163
Table 9.1	Slope Soil Quality Index Values based on Soil Properties Signatures and Soil Color Variables for 120 slopes along the North South Highway (PLUS) and the East Coast Highway (LPT).	184

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1	Facilities affected by landslide in 2008(a) and 2007 (b). (Slope Engineering Branch, 2009)	21
Figure 2.2	Rock slope failure at Bukit Lanjan caused a major toll highway leading to the capital of Kuala Lumpur to be cut off for more than 6 months	24
Figure 2.3	Debris flow at Gua Tempurung, North South Highway	24
Figure 2.4	Debris flow at Km 52.5, Karak Highway	25
Figure 2.5	Common Range of Slope Gradients in Malaysia. (Highlands and Hill slope Development of Planning Guidelines, 2005)	26
Figure 2.6	Effect of slope gradient on slope stability. (Cerdà A., 2007)	28
Figure 2.7	Shallow slope failure (Gue, S.S., & Tan, Y.C, 2006)	34
Figure 2.8	Phases of natural soils (Brady, N.C. & Weil, R.R, 2002)	37
Figure 2.9	Stages of formation of soils (McCarthy, 1982)	38
Figure 2.10	Soil horizons (McCarthy, 1982)	39
Figure 2.11	Soil tend to become acidic through hydrolysis process	41
Figure 2.12	Slope position, runoff and slope failure (Goenadi, 2003).	43
Figure 2.13	Global Classification of soil shows that soil in Malaysia is mostly oxisol and ultisols.	47
Figure 2.14	Oxisols soils	47
Figure 2.15	Soil degradation map, Malaysia soil falls under very degraded and degraded soil. (Source: <a href="https://makewealthhistory.org/-global-soil-degradation/">https://makewealthhistory.org/ - global-soil-degradation/</a> )	48
Figure 2.16	Chemical Properties of Oxisols (Sumner, 2002)	54
Figure 2.17	USDA textural triangle of sand, silt and clay (USDA, 2010)	56
Figure 2.18	Munsell color chart to indicate soil color	57
Figure 2.19	Physical Properties of Oxisols (Sumner, 2002)	59

Figure 2.20	A profile of a ferralsol (oxisol) with indication of different horizons. Source: <a href="http://www.colorado.edu/.../geog_1011_f02/study2_02.html">www.colorado.edu/.../geog_1011_f02/study2_02.html</a>	61
Figure 3.1	Location map of Section C2 and Section C3 along the North-South Highway	74
Figure 3.2	Stable slopes soil covered by vegetation	74
Figure 3.3	Unstable slopes soil	74
Figure 3.4	Location map of the East Coast Highway (LPT) –Phase 1	76
Figure 3.5	Stable slope soil covered by vegetation	76
Figure 3.6	Unstable slope soil	77
Figure 3.7	Ten different points selected randomly at stable slope covered with vegetation at the depth of 200 mm.	79
Figure 3.8	Soil Sample Preparations	80
Figure 3.9	Acid Digestion Preparations	83
Figure 3.10	Samples Preparation for Heavy Metal Analysis using ICP-MS	86
Figure 3.11	ICP-MS daily optimisation check (Qurratu, 2016)	88
Figure 3.12	Workflow for analytical performance, Method 6020B (Qurratu, 2016)	88
Figure 3.13	Statistical Analysis Frameworks for Heavy Metal and Micronutrient Analysis	89
Figure 3.14	Ten g of sieved soil was weighed by using the analytical balance. 5 ml of standard potassium dichromate ( $K_2Cr_2O_7$ ) and 10 ml of concentrated sulfuric acid ( $H_2SO_4$ ) were added to it using a pipette. Then mixture was shaken and left at room temperature in a fume hood for 16-18 hours.	90
Figure 3.15	Equipment set up for soil CEC analysis.	92
Figure 3.16	10 g of sieved soil was weighed by using an analytical balance for soil pH determination.	93
Figure 3.17	Equipment set up for soil texture analysis by using Pipette method.	94
Figure 3.18	5YR page from Munsell Soil Color Chart book. On both pages, Hue was shown in the top left corner, value was shown along the left side, and Chroma was shown along the bottom. During	

	the Munsell portion of this study, after recording the Munsell colour code for a sample, the code was then translated into the color descriptor and actual color name using the corresponding color diagram page.	95
Figure 3.19	10g of sieved soil was placed in a polystyrene cell and was placed horizontally under spectrophotometer on a working table. Each sample was measured randomly at three points to get the accurate reading.	96
Figure 3.20	White calibration readings based on standard calibration	98
Figure 4.1	The average of Al content ( $\text{mg.kg}^{-1}$ ) in soil samples for stable and unstable slopes (Mean value $\pm$ standard deviation ** Values from nine replicates ( $n = 9$ ))	101
Figure 4.2	The average of Fe content ( $\text{mg.kg}^{-1}$ ) in soil samples for stable and unstable slopes (Mean value $\pm$ standard deviation ** Values from nine replicates ( $n = 9$ ))	102
Figure 4.3	The average of Cr content ( $\text{mg.kg}^{-1}$ ) in soil samples for stable and unstable slopes (Mean value $\pm$ standard deviation ** Values from nine replicates ( $n = 9$ ))	103
Figure 4.4	The average of Mn content ( $\text{mg.kg}^{-1}$ ) in soil samples for stable and unstable slopes (Mean value $\pm$ standard deviation ** Values from nine replicates ( $n = 9$ ))	104
Figure 4.5	The average of Pb content ( $\text{mg.kg}^{-1}$ ) in soil samples for stable and unstable slopes (Mean value $\pm$ standard deviation ** Values from nine replicates ( $n = 9$ ))	105
Figure 4.6	The average of Zn content ( $\text{mg.kg}^{-1}$ ) in soil samples for stable and unstable slopes (Mean value $\pm$ standard deviation ** Values from nine replicates ( $n = 9$ ))	106
Figure 4.7	The average of Cu content ( $\text{mg.kg}^{-1}$ ) in soil samples for stable and unstable slopes (Mean value $\pm$ standard deviation ** Values from nine replicates ( $n = 9$ ))	107
Figure 4.8	The average of Ni content ( $\text{mg.kg}^{-1}$ ) in soil samples for stable and unstable slopes (Mean value $\pm$ standard deviation ** Values from nine replicates ( $n = 9$ ))	108
Figure 5.1	Mean of Soil pH in Oxisols for stable and unstable slopes (Mean value $\pm$ standard deviation ** Values from three replicates ( $n = 3$ ))	112

Figure 5.2	Mean of Soil total Organic Carbon in Oxisols for stable and unstable slopes (Mean value $\pm$ standard deviation ** Values from three replicates (n = 3)	113
Figure 5.3	Mean of CEC value in Oxisols for stable and unstable slopes (Mean value $\pm$ standard deviation ** Values from three replicates (n = 3)	114
Figure 5.4	The average of Ca content ( $\text{mg.kg}^{-1}$ ) in soil samples for stable and unstable slopes (Mean value $\pm$ standard deviation ** Values from nine replicates (n = 9)	115
Figure 6.1	The L*a*b* values are displayed on the built in graphic display following each reading. The colour ranges indicated all 120 soil samples were between Red-Yellow colors.	125
Figure 6.2	The average of variable L* in Oxisols for stable and unstable slopes	130
Figure 6.3	The average of variable a* in Oxisols for stable and unstable slopes	131
Figure 6.4	The average of variable b* in Oxisols for stable and unstable slopes.	132
Figure 6.5	The average of variable c* in Oxisols for stable and unstable slopes	133
Figure 6.6	The average of variable h* in Oxisols for stable and unstable slopes	134
Figure 6.7	Distribution of soil texture particles in Oxisols for stable and unstable slopes	136
Figure 8.1	Development of Slope Failure Rating System (SFRS).	182

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

%	Percent	mL	Mililiter
<	Less than	ICP-MS	Inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry
>	More than	IETC	International Environmental Technology Center
°C	Degree celcius	IUCN	International Union for the Conservation of Nature
MΩ	Mega-ohm	KED	Kinetic energy discrimination
Al	Aluminium	Kg	Kilogram
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>12</sub> S <sub>3</sub>	Aluminium sulfate	KOH	Potassium hydroxide
ANOVA	Analysis of Variance	L	Liter
BOD	Biochemical Oxygen Demand	mg/kg	Milligram per kilogram
CCOHS	Canadian Centre for Occupational Health and Safety	mg/L	Miligram per liter
Cd	Cadmium	Mg	Magnesium
CEC	Cation exchange capacity	MgO	Magnesium Oxide
CO <sub>2</sub>	Carbon dioxide	MHLG	Ministry of House and Local Government
COD	Chemical Oxygen Demand	Mn	Manganese
Cr	Chromium	MnSO <sub>4</sub>	Manganese (II) Sulfate
Cu	Copper	M <sub>1</sub>	Stock concentration
DI	Deionized	M <sub>2</sub>	Desired concentration
DNA	DeoxyriboNucleic Acid	MS	Murashige and Skoog
DO	dissolved oxygen	NEB	Nebulizer
EPA	Environment Protection Agency	NH <sub>3</sub> -N	Ammoniacal Nitrogen
Fe	Iron	Ni	Nickel
FeSO <sub>4</sub> .7H <sub>2</sub> O	Ferrous sulfate heptahydrate	Pb	Lead
G	Gram	PFA	perfluoroalkoxy polymer
H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	Hydrogen peroxides	pH	Potential of hydrogen
H <sub>2</sub> O	Water	ppb	Parts perbillion
HNO <sub>3</sub>	Nitric acid	ppm	Parts permillion
H+	Hydrogen ion	PSII	photosystem II
HCl	Hydrochloric acid	USEPA	United States Environmental Policy Agency
QC	Quality control	UV	ultraviolet
		V <sub>1</sub>	Volume of stock needed

STD	Standard mode	V <sub>2</sub>	Final volume
TDS	Total dissolve solid	WWF	World Wide Fund For Nature
U	Uranium	Zn	Zinc
μg/L	Microgram perliter		
USD	United States Dollar		

# **CHAPTER ONE**

## **INTRODUCTION**

### **1.1 RESEARCH BACKGROUND: SOIL EROSION AND GLOBAL AGENDA**

United Nations (UN) and other international organizations have produced numerous reports in relation to the need to emphasize on soil studies and the establishment of adequate soil information. World leaders had adopted the United Nations Millennium Declaration in September 2000 to set out the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs). Series of references and recommendation regarding soil health and the relationship between unhealthy soil and poor soil management were made and reinforced in the MDG reports (UN Millennium Project, 2005). An annual report of Human Development was published by UNDP to measure and evaluate the developmental progress since 1990. The information regarding soils only started to be reported in 2003 Human Development Report and soils were only mentioned in few parts of the report which generally directed on the soil degradation and nutrient depletion and other issue such as indigenous soil was also stated in the sequential reports. Moreover, the latest report regarding soils discussed on the significance of soil to perform as sinks and sources of greenhouse gases, soil fertility failure, soil erosion and the effects to the future development (UNDP, 2007). Essentially, the information on soils with other related information and their revolution such as new technologies to solve problems regarding soils in the reports are very crucial as this global agenda is frequently asked and utilized by the other scientific disciplines, society, decision and policy makers.

Healthy soils are needed by all communities and societies for various reasons such as for economic and social well-being and provision of ecosystem goods and services (Millennium Ecosystem Assessment, 2005). Thus, it is essential to monitor the quality of soils and to gather the information on their functions and properties. With these information, numerous benefits can be gained including protection of the environment via interactions between soils, water and air, maintenance of habitats and biodiversity, preservation of archaeological residues, establishment of a platform for structure and provision of raw resources (Blum, 2005). The European Communities Framework Directive has formed the foundation for the proposed legislative protection of soils and has recognised the necessities for soil protection and management within the UK policy framework via the recognised implication of these functions (Commission of the European Communities, 2006a, b; SEPA, 2001; Defra, 2004; Environment Agency, 2004; Towers, 2006). However, these policies face several challenging scientific issues as soils are amongst the most complex systems on the planet.

Soils have been categorised as one of the main features of the natural resources in terrestrial ecosystems. In order to manage and monitor soils at local, regional and national-scales, there is a need to improve the list of requirements in the policy. Yet, it remains unclear which properties of soils are most appropriately monitored due to the extensive series of goods and services and the inherent chemical, physical and biological complexities contained in soils. The physio-chemical properties of soils have hitherto provided the fundamental context in which such functions operate and have clear utility in assessing ecological status and the majority of soil processes are driven by the soil biota. Nevertheless, a mechanistic understanding of the interactions between soil biodiversity and its role is indisputably complex and continuously

indescribable both in relation to the soil as an ecosystem in itself or as part of a greater ecosystem (Bardgett et al., 2005; Fitter et al., 2005; Hooper et al., 2005).

Generally, industrialized and developing countries give great impacts to Nature such as soil erosion and land degradation resulting from the increasing population and enlargement of settlements and life-lines which are located at hazardous areas. To supervise these natural hazards, major forces and rational land-use procedures by the third world countries are necessary. But, they are causing difficulties to them since the costs for the works are expensive. Same goes to the industrialized societies as they are unwilling to pay the costs in structural procedures that can minimize natural risks. In addition, the soil erosion trend has increased significantly owing to improper changes in land usage and ranked 10th among the most devastating natural disasters in the world occurring across almost all terrains with steep slopes singled out as the most susceptible to sliding (Schuster and Highland, 2003; Highland and Bobrowsky, 2008; Leroy and Grachera, 2013). Marques (2007) reported an annual rate of soil erosion of 30–40 tonne/ha in developed countries of Asia, Africa and South America. On a global scale, the annual loss of 75 billion tons of soil costs the world about US\$400 billion per year or approximately US\$70 per person per year (Eswaran, 2008). Soil erosion from catchments with natural forests is minimal, but levels of soil erosion tend to increase when natural forest is changed to tree crop plantations. Significant dissimilarities usually depend on the soil properties, site influences and management practices (Towers, 2006).

### **1.1.1 Soil Erosion and Highway Construction Industry**

One of the factors increasing the soil loss is the road construction that involves the processes of cutting and filling the slopes, removal of forest vegetation and