

PROTOZOA CULTIVATING METHOD FOR ASIAN
SEABASS LARVAE USING VEGETABLES AND DRY
FISH POWDER

BY

SYAFIQAH HANANI BINTI MOHD SHAHIDIN

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirement for the
degree of Master of Science (Biosciences)

Kulliyyah of Science
International Islamic University Malaysia

JULY 2021

ABSTRACT

Seed production of marine finfish in Malaysia is still facing problem particularly live feed for starter diet. Aquaculturists are currently using rotifers of the S or SS type, which have sizes from 100 to 200µm. Early stage larvae of some marine finfish species select feeds less than 100µm. In nature, protozoa are consumed by the early stage of marine finfish larvae. Protozoa is a common term for aquatic microorganisms that has been identified to be suitable live feed, as their body sizes are less than 100µm. However, there are very limited studies regarding protozoa culture methods.

Thus, the objective of this study is to examine the density changes of Different Protozoa Species (DPS) using batch and continuous culture methods. Then, Asian seabass larvae were reared using live feeds; rotifers, DPS, and *Euplotes encysticus*.

In batch culture method, DPS and one protozoa species; *Euplotes encysticus* were cultured for the duration of eight days. The diets for DPS and *E. encysticus* culture were given only at the first day of experiment. DPS and *E. encysticus* were continuously cultured for the duration of 11 days in the continuous culture method. Every day, 10%, 20%, and 30% of cultured water was harvested and replaced with new water and diets for DPS and *E. encysticus*. In Asian seabass larval rearing experiment, four types of live feed; rotifer, DPS, *E. encysticus*, and mixed (rotifer, DPS, and *E. encysticus*) were given to larvae from 2 to 10 day-after-hatching. Number of seabass larvae in each group was counted every day and their survival rates were determined.

The result of batch culture method showed that three and two days were optimum to produce the highest density (2463 and 1037 ind/mL) for DPS and *E. encysticus*. Next, the result of continuous culture method showed that 10% and 20% were optimum harvesting amount to produce the highest density of DPS and *E. encysticus*. The discussion of comparison between batch and continuous culture methods showed that the batch culture was the best method for DPS and continuous culture was the best method for *E. encysticus*. The recommended live feed for the starter diet of Asian seabass was mixed live feeds which consist of rotifer, DPS, and *E. encysticus*.

In conclusion, batch culture method is recommended method to culture DPS, continuous culture method is recommended for *E. encysticus*, and mixed live feed is recommended for the larval rearing of Asian seabass.

خلاصة البحث

إن إنتاج يرقات الأسماك البحرية في ماليزيا ،ولا سيما الأعلاف الحية لنظام غذائي أولي، لا يزال يمثل تحديًا. الروتيفر من النوع S أو SS، بمقاسات تتراوح من 100 إلى 200 متر يستخدمها مربو الأحياء المائية حاليًا. تفضل بعض يرقات الأسماك البحرية الوجدات التي يقل طولها عن 100 متر. في الطبيعة ، تستهلك الأوليات البروتوزوا في المراحل المبكرة من يرقات الأسماك البحرية. نظرًا لأن أحجام أجسامهم أقل من 100 متر، فإن البروتوزوا هي كلمة شائعة للكائنات الحية الدقيقة المائية التي تم اكتشافها كعلف حي مقبول. ومع ذلك ، لا يوجد سوى عدد قليل من التحقيقات حول طرق زراعة الأولي. الهدف من هذه الدراسة هو استخدام طرق الاستزراع الدفعي والمستمر لتقييم تغيرات الكثافة لأنواع مختلفة من البروتوزوا DPS . تم بعد ذلك إطعام يرقات القاروص الآسيوي الروتيفر الحي DPS ، ، و “*Euplotes encysticus*”. تمت زراعة DPS ونوع واحد من البروتوزوا ، *Euplotes encysticus* لمدة ثمانية أيام في نهج الاستزراع الدفعي. تم إعطاء النظام الغذائي المزروع DPS و *E. encysticus* فقط في اليوم الأول من التجربة. في نهج الاستزراع المستمر تمت تربية DPS و *E. encysticus* بشكل مستمر لمدة 11 يوم، كل يوم يتم أخذ 10% و 20% و 30% من المياه المستزرعة وتزويدها بالمياه العذبة والأعلاف لـ DPS و *E. encysticus* على التوالي. تم استخدام أربعة أنواع من الأعلاف الحية في تجربة تربية يرقات القاروص الآسيوية: الروتيفر DPS ، ، E. من 2 إلى 10 أيام بعد الفقس ، تم إعطاء اليرقات الروتيفر و DPS و *E. encysticus* بالإضافة إلى خليط (الروتيفر ، DPS و *E. encysticus*). تم حساب عدد يرقات القاروص في كل مجموعة ، وتم حساب معدلات بقائها. أنتج أسلوب الاستزراع الدفعي أقصى كثافة (2463 و 1037 إندون / مل) لـ DPS و *E. encysticus* بعد ثلاثة أيام ويومين على التوالي. أظهرت نتائج طريقة الاستزراع المستمر أن كميات الحصاد 10% و 20% كانت الأمثل لإنتاج أعلى كثافة من DPS و *E. encysticus*. تم العثور على الاستزراع الدفعي ليكون أفضل نهج لـ DPS بينما وجد أن الاستزراع المستمر هو أفضل طريقة لـ *E. encysticus* بعد مقارنة طرق الاستزراع الدفعي والمستمر. تم نصح الأعلاف الحية المختلطة المحتوية على الروتيفر و DPS و *E. encysticus* لبدء النظام الغذائي لأسماك القاروص الآسيوي. للتليخيص، تم تحديد طريقة الاستزراع الدفعي لـ DPS ، ويوصى باستخدام طريقة الاستزراع المستمر لبكتريا *E. encysticus* ، ويوصى باستخدام العلف الحي المختلط لتربية يرقات القاروص الآسيوية.

APPROVAL PAGE

I certify that I have supervised and read this study and that in my opinion, it conforms to acceptable standards of scholarly presentation and is fully adequate, in scope and quality, as a thesis for the degree of Master of Science (Biosciences)

.....
Yukinori Mukai
Supervisor

.....
Normawaty Mohammad Noor
Co-Supervisor

I certify that I have read this study and that in my opinion it conforms to acceptable standards of scholarly presentation and is fully adequate, in scope and quality, as a thesis for the degree of Master of Science (Biosciences)

.....
Nur Nazifah Mansor
Internal Examiner

.....
Sitti Raehanah Muhamad Shaleh
External Examiner

This thesis was submitted to the Department of Biotechnology and is accepted as a fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science (Biosciences).

.....
Mardiana Mohd. Ashaari
Head, Department of Biotechnology

This thesis was submitted to the Kulliyyah of Science and is accepted as a fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science (Biosciences)

.....
Shahbudin bin Saad
Dean, Kulliyyah of Science

DECLARATION

I hereby declare that this thesis is the result of my own investigation, except where otherwise stated. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted as a whole for any other degrees at IIUM or other institutions.

Syafiqah Hanani binti Mohd Shahidin

Signature.....

Date

INTERNATIONAL ISLAMIC UNIVERSITY MALAYSIA

**DECLARATION OF COPYRIGHT AND AFFIRMATION OF
FAIR USE OF UNPUBLISHED RESEARCH**

**PROTOZOA CULTIVATING METHOD FOR ASIAN SEABASS
LARVAE USING VEGETABLES AND DRY FISH POWDER**

I declare that the copyright holders of this thesis are jointly owned by the student and IIUM.

Copyright © 2021 Syafiqah Hanani binti Mohd Shahidin and International Islamic University Malaysia. All rights reserved.

No part of this unpublished research may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise without prior written permission of the copyright holder except as provided below


1. Any material contained in or derived from this unpublished research may be used by others in their writing with due acknowledgement.
2. IIUM or its library will have the right to make and transmit copies (print or electronic) for institutional and academic purposes.
3. The IIUM library will have the right to make, store in a retrieved system and supply copies of this unpublished research if requested by other universities and research libraries.

By signing this form, I acknowledged that I have read and understand the IIUM Intellectual Property Right and Commercialization policy.

Afirmed by Syafiqah Hanani binti Mohd Shahidin

.....
Signature

.....
Date



This thesis is specially dedicated to:

The loves of my life

*Abah
(Mohd Shahidin bin Kitan)*

*Mak
(Norma binti Ariffin)*

Families and Friends

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

In the Name of Allah, The Most Gracious, The Most Merciful. Praise be upon Him for granting me strength, patience and perseverance throughout the completion of this study. With His Bless, Love and Mercy, nothing is impossible in this world.

I would like to express my sincerely gratitude to my supervisor, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Yukinori Mukai for his guidance, advices, valuable suggestions, patience and continuous encouragement throughout the completion this study. I will always appreciate and very grateful to get someone like you as my supervisor. My gratitude also goes to my co-supervisor, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Normawaty Mohammad Noor for her valuable knowledge, experiences, and kindness towards me. Not forgettable, both of my examiners; Asst. Prof. Dr. Nur Nazifah Mansor and Assoc. Prof. Ts. Dr. Sitti Raehanah Muhamad Shaleh for their suggestions to improve my thesis.

I would like to sincerely appreciate #myaquateam especially Dr. Tan Nai Han and Khairul Muttaqin Ismail and others, all IIUM staff (especially Bro. Azizul, Bro. Masrul, Bro. Khairul, Bro. Taufik, Bro. Azim, Sis. Adah, Sis. Lin, Sis Fatiya, Sis Suriya, Sis. Nurul, and others) for their assistant throughout my study. I would also like to thank to my best friends that always be there; Sofia Shahira, Mas Aniza, Nik Sarah Syahidah, and Najwa Hamna Ishak. Not forgettable; all my bros; Ruhan, Mutt, Nai, Mirul, Hakim, Sola, Mizan, Fadil, and all pg's clan. Specially thanks also tribute to my friends in pg; Wani, Izni, Tihah, Kak Nisa, Dayang, Huda, Kak Tihah, Nad, Nad, Nad, Nurin, and others. Also to my crazy teams; Jemah Wahab: Ruhan, hakim, Fitri, and Alia. Thanks also for my new running team: Kuantan Runner Team (Kurt) and my big families and close cousins. Unforgettable, all clans of Xyleen Services Sdn Bhd. Thanks for everything, everyone!

Next, I would like to acknowledge my hobbies during my master journey: Running, Diving, Hiking, Basketball, Badminton, Zumba and other games that I played every day during my stress time.

Last but not least, my special appreciation goes to both of my lovely parents; Norma binti Ariffin and Mohd Shahidin bin Kitan, not forgettable my brothers; Muhamad Firdaus and Muhamad Naquiuddin, my sister-in-law, Norhashimah Borhan, my cute and adorable nieces; Amni Sofiyah, Auni Faqeehah, and Adni Fariyah, and last but not least, my only hero and one of my biggest supporters; Mohd NuzulShafwan bin Aris for their love and supports especially during my difficult period.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Abstract.....	i
Abstract in Arabic.....	ii
Approval Page.....	iii
Declaration.....	iv
Acknowledgements.....	vii
Table of Contents.....	viii
List of Tables.....	x
List of Figures.....	xii
List of Abbreviations.....	xiv
List of Symbols.....	xv
CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1 Research Background.....	1
1.2 Problem Statements.....	3
1.3 Significance of The Study.....	4
1.4 Research Hypothesis.....	5
1.5 Research Objectives.....	5
CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW.....	6
2.1 Live Feed for Fish Seed Production.....	6
2.2 Different Types of Live Feed for Fish Seed Production.....	6
2.2.1 Copepods.....	6
2.2.2 Protozoa (Ciliates).....	8
2.2.3 Artemia.....	9
2.2.4 Rotifers.....	10
2.3 Protozoa.....	13
2.3.1 Taxonomy of Protozoa.....	13
2.3.2 Protozoa as A Live Feed for Fish Larvae.....	14
2.4 Protozoans Species.....	15
2.4.1 <i>Euplotes sp.</i>	17
2.4.2 <i>Euplotes encysticus</i>	17
2.5 Protozoa Culture.....	19
2.5.1 Culture Methods.....	19
2.5.2 Diets Used to Culture Protozoa.....	21
2.6 Protozoa as Larval Diet.....	22
2.7 Asian Seabass.....	23
CHAPTER THREE: MATERIALS AND METHODOLOGIES.....	26
3.1 Materials.....	26
3.1.1 Equipment and Apparatus.....	26
3.1.2 Chemical and Reagent.....	27
3.1.3 Other materials.....	27
3.2 Methodologies.....	28
3.2.1 Overview of The Methodology.....	28
3.2.2 Seawater and Different Protozoa Species (DPS) Specimens.....	29

3.2.3 Asian Seabass Larval Specimen.....	29
3.2.4 Diets Preparation.....	30
3.2.5 Batch Culture of Different Protozoa Species (DPS) and <i>Euplotes encysticus</i>	31
3.2.5.1 Batch Culture of DPS.....	31
3.2.5.2 Statistical Analysis.....	32
3.2.5.3 Batch Culture <i>Euplotes encysticus</i>	33
3.2.5.4 Statistical Analysis.....	33
3.2.6 Continuous Culture of Different Protozoa Species (DPS) and <i>Euplotes encysticus</i>	34
3.2.6.1 Continuous culture Different Protozoa Species (DPS).....	34
3.2.6.2 Statistical Analysis.....	35
3.2.6.3 Continuous culture <i>Euplotes encysticus</i>	36
3.2.6.4 Statistical Analysis.....	357
3.2.7 Asian Seabass Larval Rearing Experiment.....	37
3.2.7.1 Statistical Analysis.....	39
CHAPTER FOUR: RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS.....	40
4.1 Batch Culture of Different Protozoa Species (DPS) and <i>Euplotes encysticus</i>	40
4.1.1 Different Protozoa Species Density.....	41
4.1.2 <i>Euplotes encysticus</i>	41
4.1.3 Discussion on Batch Culture of Different Protozoa Species (DPS) and <i>Euplotes encysticus</i>	42
4.2 Continuous Culture of Different Protozoa Species (DPS) and <i>Euplotes encysticus</i>	44
4.2.1 Different Protozoa Species (DPS).....	44
4.2.2 <i>Euplotes encysticus</i>	46
4.2.3 Discussion on Continuous Culture of Different Protozoa Species (DPS) and <i>Euplotes encysticus</i>	49
4.2.4 Discussion on the Comparison between Batch and Continuous Culture Methods of Different Protozoa Species (DPS) and <i>Euplotes encysticus</i>	52
4.3 Asian Seabass Larval Rearing.....	56
4.3.1 Results (First Experiment).....	56
4.3.2 Results (Second Experiment).....	58
4.3.3 Stomach Area.....	60
4.3.4 Discussion on Asian Seabass Larval Rearing.....	63
CHAPTER FIVE: CONCLUSION AND FUTURE RECOMMENDATIONS....	68
5.1 Conclusion.....	68
5.2 Future Study and Recommendations.....	69
REFERENCES.....	70
APPENDIX : STATISTICAL ANALYSIS FOR ASIAN SEABASS LARVAL REARING.....	81
ACHIEVEMENTS.....	87

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1	Taxonomic Classification of <i>Artemia</i>	9
Table 2.2	Taxonomic Classification of <i>Branchioides picatilis</i>	11
Table 2.3	Types of rotifers cultured in the hatcheries	12
Table 2.4	Taxonomic Classification of Protozoa	13
Table 2.5	The Material Used as a Diets to Culture Protozoa by the Previous Studies	21-23
Table 2.6	Taxonomic Classification of Asian Seabass	24
Table 3.1	List of Equipment and Apparatus	26
Table 3.2	List of Chemical	27
Table 3.3	List of Chemical	27
Table 4.1	Results of One-Way ANOVA on the DPS density/mL for 8DBC.	41
Table 4.2	Results of One-Way ANOVA on the <i>E. encysticus</i> Density/mL for 8DBC.	41
Table 4.3	Results of Two-Way ANOVA on DPS Density/mL That Cultured Using Continuous Culture Method for the Duration of 11 Days.	45
Table 4.4	Result of Tukey's Post Hoc Analysis on the DPS Density/mL That Cultured Using Continuous Culture Method for the Duration of 11 Days	45-46
Table 4.5	Result of Two-Way ANOVA and Tukey's Test on the <i>E. encysticus</i> Density/mL That Cultured Using Continuous Culture Method for the Duration of 11 Days	47
Table 4.6	Result of Tukey's Post Hoc Analysis on the <i>E. encysticus</i> Density/mL That Cultured Using Continuous Culture Method for the Duration of 11 Days	48

Table 4.7	Results of One-Way ANOVA on the Survival Rates of Asian Seabass Larvae Fed on the Different Types of Live Feed from 4 to 10 Day-after-Hatching.	57
Table 4.8	Results of One-Way ANOVA on the Survival Rates of Asian Seabass Larvae Fed on the Different Types of Live Feed from 3 to 10 day-After-Hatching.	59
Table 4.9	Results of One-Way ANOVA on The Stomach Area (μm^2) of Asian Seabass Larvae Fed on the Different Types of Live Feed from 3 to 10 day-after-Hatching.	61



LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1	Copepods development stages	7
Figure 2.2	Life cycle of <i>Artemia</i>	10
Figure 2.3	Rotifer with length measurement under microscope	12
Figure 2.4	Some species of Protozoa	16
Figure 2.5	The picture of <i>Euplotes sp</i> (bigger) and <i>Euplotes encysticus</i> (smaller)	18
Figure 2.6	An adult of Asian Seabass (<i>Lates calcarifer</i>)	24
Figure 2.7	Asian Seabass larvae of 2 day-after-hatching under microscope	25
Figure 3.1	Napa cabbage and Chinese cabbage before become powdered for DPS culture	30
Figure 3.2	Fish meal (on the left) and powdered vegetables (on the right) used as nutrients for DPS culture	31
Figure 3.3	Plankton Counting Plate	32
Figure 3.4	Summary of methodology for the batch culture of Different Protozoa Species (DPS)	33
Figure 3.5	Summary of methodology for the batch culture of <i>Euplotes encysticus</i>	34
Figure 3.6	Summary of methodology for the continuous culture of Different Protozoa Species (DPS)	36
Figure 3.7	Summary of methodology for the continuous culture of <i>Euplotes encysticus</i>	37
Figure 3.8	Summary for the method of Seabass Larval Rearing experiment	39
Figure 4.1	Changes of DPS and <i>E. encysticus</i> densities in 8DBC	40
Figure 4.2	DPS Density/mL That Cultured by Continuous Culture Method in 11 Days	44

Figure 4.3	Total Harvested DPS That Cultured by Continuous Culture Method for 10%, 20% and 30% Harvesting Group in the Duration of 11 Days	46
Figure 4.4	<i>E.encysticus</i> Density/mL That Cultured by Continuous Culture Method in 11 Days	47
Figure 4.5	Total Harvested <i>E.encysticus</i> That Cultured by Continuous Culture Method for 10%, 20% and 30% Harvesting Group in the Duration of 11 Days	49
Figure 4.6	Comparison of Total Harvested DPS and <i>Euplotes encysticus</i> That Cultured Using Batch and Continuous Culture Methods for the Duration of 6 Days	53
Figure 4.7	Survival Rates (%) of Asian Seabass Larvae Fed with Different Live Feed from 4 to 10 Day-After-Hatching	56
Figure 4.8	Survival rates (%) of Asian Seabass Larvae Fed with Different Live Feed from 3 to 10 Day-After-Hatching	58
Figure 4.9	Stomach Area (mm ²) of Asian Seabass Larvae Fed with Each Live Feed from 2 to 10 Day-After-Hatching	60
Figure 4.10	The picture of Seabass larvae on hatching day and 1 day-after-hatched	61
Figure 4.11	The picture of Seabass larvae from 2 day-after-hatched until 10 day-after-hatched fed on Rotifer, DPS, <i>E.encysticus</i> , and Mixed Group	62


LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

FAO	Food and Agriculture Organization
SR	Survival Rates
DPS	Different Protozoa Species
8DBC	Eight day batch culture



LIST OF SYMBOLS

%	Percent
°C	Degree Celsius
G	Gram
Kg	Kilogram
L	Litre
ml	Millilitre
µl	Microlitre
Ppt	Parts per thousand
SD	Standard deviation
SE	Standard error



CHAPTER ONE

INTRODUCTION

1.1 RESEARCH BACKGROUND

According to Richards et al. (2001), Richards et al. (2003), Yaowu et al. (2009), Beveridge et al. (2013), and Ibrahim et al. (2014), fish is one of the sources of animal protein for human consumption. The demand for fish has increased due to the increasing of human population year by year. The two sectors that provide fish for human are fisheries and aquaculture.

In 2018, the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) reported that fisheries are not capable of providing sufficient supplies of fish for humans. In fisheries, the fish is captured in the wild such as in the sea, rivers, or lakes. More fish are captured from the wild to fulfill the demand of fish which leads to overfishing, thus causing the fish resources to decrease (Beveridge et al., 2013).

Aquaculture is the farming of fish, mollusks, crustaceans and aquatic plants under controlled freshwater and seawater conditions (Food and Agriculture Organisation of the United Nations, 1988). The production of fish can be increased by aquaculture (Adewumi and Olaleya, 2011). FAO (2018) reported that aquaculture provided 48% of the total fish production in 2016. Aquaculture can be done in marine water and freshwater environment. Many fish species can be cultured in the whole of the world. Aquaculture can answer the demand of seafood. It also can generate income for fish farmers. According to Pomerey (2002), Apines-Amar et. al. (2012), Jerry (2013), and Shapawi et. al. (2014), marine finfish such as seabass, groupers, and snapper can generate high income due to their high demand in many countries in

Southeast Asia region. Ibrahim et al. (2014) and Yusoff et al. (2016) showed that there are many species being cultured in Malaysia includes grouper, seabass, red tilapia, African catfish, sutchi catfish and climbing perch.

Malaysia is located in equatorial region and belongs to a tropical rainforest climate. Marine finfish larvae in tropical area have small size bodies and small size mouths. This is because of the theory of fish biology, that fish spawn the small size and large number of eggs in high water temperature area (Bone et al. 1996). Based on the studies done by Doi et al. (1997), Nagano et al. (2000), and Hagiwara et al. (2014), they said that groupers, Napoleon wrasse and snappers have small bodies and small mouth openings in their early larval stage, however, these fish are commercially important in tropical regions.

High mortality occurs during marine finfish early larval stage (Ch'ng and Senoo, 2008). The newly hatched larvae are small in size and their mouth sizes also small at their first feeding in tropical region. Early stage larvae of some marine finfish species, such as grouper and snapper select feeds less than 100 μm . So, suitable live feeds with smaller body size than 100 μm are needed for seed production. Therefore, seed production of marine finfish is more difficult in tropical regions than in temperate regions due to lack of suitable live starter feed in the tropical regions.

As a result, the newly hatched larvae of marine finfish at tropical regions are smaller in size than larvae at temperate region. When the larvae are ready to eat, usually they are given rotifer as their first feeding diet. The rotifer was given to the larvae to avoid starvation, thus increasing the survival rates of the larvae.

However, the small mouth size of the larvae makes the larvae difficult to feed on rotifer. So, protozoa which is single-celled organisms that have smaller size than

rotifer, but highly nutritious used by aquaculturist as an alternative of first feeding diet for marine finfish larvae.

First feeding diet is very important to the larvae as it will determine the future of the larvae survival rates. Enough feeding during the early stage of larvae also important to ensure that the larvae are not starves. As a result, the larval survival rates will increase accordingly. Thus, many important marine finfish can be produced in future to fulfill the protein demand of human.

1.2 PROBLEM STATEMENTS

Currently, aquaculturists use S or SS type rotifers, which have size from 100 to 200 μm for marine finfish larvae as their starter diet. However, as the mouths of larvae of some marine finfish (such as grouper and snapper) are smaller than rotifer, the larvae are not able to feed on rotifer. This condition causes the larvae to starve and show low survival rates. Trecee and Davis (2012) stated that some species of larvae with a smaller mouth gap need smaller prey than rotifers. Ohs et al. (2013) reported that some marine fish larvae have small mouth gap, so they can eat only small size feeds.

Protozoa which have various body sizes, approximately around 20 to 100 μm have been identified to be suitable live feeds for larval first feeding. This is due to the smaller sizes of protozoa that can fit into the mouth of some marine finfish larvae such as grouper and snapper. Thus, providing the suitable live feeds for the larvae can increase their survival rates. However, as protozoa studies are still limited, there is no study about types of culture methods and efficient methods for the mass production of protozoa.

Some previous studies showed that the survival rates of marine finfish larvae were improved using protozoa as starter diets. According to Rhodes and Phelps (2004), they

got success in rearing red snapper using protozoa as a starter diet. In addition, Nagano et al. (2000) also studied larval rearing about grouper *Epinephelus septemfasciatus* using ciliated protozoans. This is due to the small mouth sized of marine finfish that only accept the small feeds at their first feeding. Ohs et al. (2013) reported that some marine fish larvae that have small mouth gap cannot eat large live food organisms. Sufficient amount of protozoa were needed as they are important live feed for the larvae first feeding.

Thus, it is important to study the culture methods of protozoa and the efficient methods for a mass production of protozoa. There are very limited studies regarding starter diet of marine finfish using protozoa and there are still no studies about types of culture methods for protozoa. Researchers only used batch culture to culture protozoa. So, we still do not know the efficiency of other culture method, for example continuous culture method. So, in the present study, protozoa were cultured by both batch and continuous culture methods. After that, the larval rearing experiment of one type of marine finfish larvae; Asian seabass larvae was conducted using rotifer and protozoa as their first feeding to determine their survival rates.

1.3 SIGNIFICANCE OF THE STUDY

The current experiments are essential to determine the most efficient method to culture different protozoa species (DPS) using batch or continuous culture method. By obtaining the most efficient culture method of DPS, the sufficient amount of live feed can be served for starter diet of marine finfish larvae. The usage of DPS as starter diets for marine finfish larvae can improve the larval survival rates. Then, the survival and also growth rates of these fish species could be increased. Results from these experiments can be used by fish farmers to increase the production of marine finfish.

1.4 RESEARCH HYPOTHESIS

- i. Batch culture method will produce higher total amount of different protozoa species (DPS) in 3 days of cultivation period.
- ii. Continuous culture method will produce higher total amount of different protozoa species (DPS) with 10% of harvesting amounts per day.
- iii. Survival rate of Asian seabass larvae will be higher using rotifer with different protozoa species (DPS) as their first feeds than only rotifer feeding group.

1.5 RESEARCH OBJECTIVES

- i. To examine the density changes of different protozoa species (DPS) and *Euplotes encysticus* by the batch culture method for 8 cultivation days.
- ii. To examine the density changes of different protozoa species (DPS) and *Euplotes encysticus* by continuous culture method with different harvesting groups of 10%, 20%, and 30% per day.
- iii. To determine which method between batch and continuous producing the highest number of different protozoa species (DPS) and *Euplotes encysticus*.
- iv. To determine the survival rates of Asian seabass larvae using different starter diets which are rotifer, different protozoa species (DPS), and *Euplotes encysticus*.

CHAPTER TWO

LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 LIVE FEED FOR FISH SEED PRODUCTION

Live feed is very important as the foods for fish larvae. Many kinds of fish larvae need the live feed. There are many types of live feeds that are including protozoa, rotifers, *Artemia*, and copepods. The survival rates of marine fish under artificial conditions will increase with the proper nutritional composition and suitable size of live feed (Ohs et al., 2013). The live feeds are much more acceptable compared to artificial feeds (Das et al., 2012). Das mentioned that the artificial feed cannot be given to the larvae of fish due to the bigger size of artificial feed compared to the mouth gap of fish larvae. Moreover, artificial feeds are not moving and cannot be detect by the fish larvae. According to Ohs et al. (2013) live feeds have essential nutrients that important for marine fish larvae. According to Walford et al. (1991), Desvillettes et al. (1997), Zhukova & Kharlamenko (1999) and Decamp et al. (2001) enough live feed with ideal size was needed by fish larvae for growth.

2.2 DIFFERENT TYPES OF LIVE FEED FOR FISH SEED PRODUCTION

As been mentioned earlier, there are many types of live feed that exists in nature and also in artificial conditions (aquaculture).

2.2.1 Copepods

Treece and Davis (2000) stated that the common zooplankton in both freshwater and brackishwater are copepods. Most of larvae and juveniles of many finfish and

crustaceans in natural is depend on copepods as their food. According to Stottrup (2000), Molejon and Lajonchere (2003), and Kleppel et al. (2005), marine copepods contain many important nutrients such as protein, lipid, carbohydrates, and enzymes that vital for the larval survival, growth, digestion, and metamorphosis. Nauplii, one of the larval stages of the copepods have been an appropriate diets for many marine finfish larvae (Jepsen et al., 2013). The development stages of the copepods showed in Figure 2.1. Cutts (2003) and Drillet et al. (2006b) reported that harpacticoid copepods are epi-benthic copepods (pelagic nauplii) was proven to has many nutritional qualities compared to Artemia and rotifers. Calanoid copepod, *Acartia sp.* was successfully fed by the red snapper (*Lutjanus argentimacula*) compare to the rotifers that bigger in size (Schippe et al., 1999). Rajkumar & Vasagam, 2006 reported that calanoid copepod, *A.clausii* was useful to be a live-food for *L.calcarifer* at the age of 14 day-after-hatched.




Figure 2.1 Copepods development stages

Gopakumar and Santhosh (2009) reported that most of marine fish larvae consume marine copepods in nature because copepods contain high levels of DHA and PUFA. Some aquaculturists used copepods as live feed in hatcheries. However, Olivotto et al. (2005) reported that the copepods which are fed by the larvae in natural environment not suitable to be used in aquaculture because copepods are difficult to culture. Moreover, copepods are too big to be a first feeding for marine finfish larvae that usually have small mouth gap. The sizes of copepod are around 0.2 to 2.5 mm (Caramujo, 2015; Daniela et al. 2010).

2.2.2 Protozoa (Ciliates)

Ciliates are important as the first-feeding for fish larvae because ciliates are abundant in coastal waters (Kamiyama 1994). Study by Fukami et al. (1999) reported that the gut contents of most fish larvae in Japanese waters contained protozoa, proving that some of the fish larvae consumed protozoa as their starter diet. There are also some previous studies that mentioned about the role of protozoa as a good starter diet for fish larvae in hatcheries. According to Nagano et al. (2000) fish larvae can feed on ciliates at first-feeding in hatcheries. This statement was supported by Rhodes and Phelps (2006), *Fabrea salina* which is one type of ciliates was used in their experiment as the first feeding of Red snapper, *Lutjanus campechanus* which has small mouth gapes. According to Thompson *et al.* (1999) the larvae of Carpas shrimp, *Penaeus paulensis* have better growth rates when fed with flagellates and ciliates. Curds (1975) stated that there are various range of body sizes for protozoa, which are around 25 to 300 μm . Most of the ciliates have the smallest size among live feeds in nature (Taniguchi 1978). The small body sizes of protozoa have an advantage that the